

MICHAEL J. HERSEK State Public Defender PETER R. SILTEN Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Bar No. 62784 **ELIAS BATCHELDER** Deputy State Public Defender 1111 Broadway, 10th Floor Oakland, California 94607

Telephone: (510) 267-3300

Fax:

(510) 452-8712

email: silten@ospd.ca.gov

Attorneys for Appellant

SUPREME COURT FILED

AUG 06 2015

Frank A. McGuire Clerk

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

٧.

DONTE LAMONT MCDANIEL,

Defendant and Appellant.

No. S171393

Los Angeles Superior Ct. No. TA074274

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

DEATH PENALTY

MICHAEL J. HERSEK State Public Defender PETER R. SILTEN Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Bar No. 62784 **ELIAS BATCHELDER** Deputy State Public Defender 1111 Broadway, 10th Floor

Oakland, California 94607

Telephone: (510) 267-3300

Fax:

(510) 452-8712

email: silten@ospd.ca.gov

Attorneys for Appellant

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

٧.

DONTE LAMONT MCDANIEL,

Defendant and Appellant.

No. S171393

Los Angeles Superior Ct. No. TA074274

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

TO THE HONORABLE TANI CANTIL-SAKAUYE, CHIEF JUSTICE. AND TO THE HONORABLE ASSOCIATE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA:

Appellant Donte Lamont McDaniel, through his attorney, the State Public Defender, requests that this Court take judicial notice pursuant to

Evidence Code sections 452, subdivision (d), and 459, subdivision (a) of the *Batson/Wheeler*¹ proceedings in co-defendant Kai Harris's separately tried capital case. (See *People v. Kai Harris*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. TA74314 at 10 CT 2743-2744, 2754-2755, and 11 RT 1959-2172.)² The prosecutor who prosecuted both appellant and Mr. Harris was Los Angeles County Deputy District Attorney Halim Dhanidina. In both appellant's case and Harris's, Mr. Dhanidina was found to have violated *Batson/Wheeler*. In Mr. Harris's case, the court declared a mistrial and a new jury was empaneled. Following the retrial, Mr. Harris received the death penalty. Mr. Harris's automatic appeal is pending before this Court in *People v. Harris*, No. S178239.

The *Batson/Wheeler* proceedings in Mr. Harris's case are relevant to the Court's consideration of appellant's Argument I ("The Prosecutor Violated *Batson* and *Wheeler* in His Peremptory Challenge of Prospective Juror No. 28") in that they support appellant's argument that Mr. Dhanidina's decision to strike an African-American prospective juror from appellant's jury was improperly influenced by race.

¹ Batson v. Kentucky (1986) 476 U.S. 79; People v. Wheeler (1978) 22 Cal.3d 258.

² "CT" refers to the Clerk's Transcript in Mr. Harris's case, and "RT" refers to the Reporter's transcript in Mr. Harris's case. Copies of the relevant CT and RT pages in Mr. Harris's case are attached to this motion as Exhibit A.

Appellant's request for judicial notice is based on the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities and the files and records in this case.

Dated: August 6, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

MICHAEL J. HERSEK State Public Defender

PETER R. SILTEN

Supervising Deputy State Public Defender

ELIAS BATCHELDER

Deputy State Public Defender

P.+ Ull

Attorneys for Appellant

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. THE TRANSCRIPTS AND MINUTE ORDER OF CO-DEFENDANT'S TRIAL ARE PROPER SUBJECTS FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Evidence Code section 459, subdivision (a) provides, in relevant part, that the "reviewing court . . . may take judicial notice of any matter specified in Section 452." Among the items set forth in Evidence Code section 452 which may be judicially noticed are: "(c) official acts of . . . judicial departments of . . . any state of the United States and (d) "records of (1) any court of this state" (Evid. Code, § 452, subds. (c) & (d)(1).) Evidence Code section 453 converts permissive judicial notice into mandatory judicial notice whenever a party seeking judicial notice has advised each adverse party of the items sought to be judicially noticed and provided them with sufficient information concerning the items sought to be judicially noticed.

Attached to this request is one volume of reporter's transcripts, and related minute orders, from the case of *People v. Kai Harris*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. TA74314, an automatic appeal which is currently pending before this Court. (See attached Exh. A.)

The documents listed above are "records" of a court of the state of California, as defined by Evidence Code section 452, subdivision (d)(1). In addition, the minute orders appellant asks to be judicially noticed reflect "official acts" as defined by Evidence Code section 452, subdivision (c). A copy of this request has been served on each adverse party. Accordingly, appellant submits that the requested items may be judicially noticed by this court pursuant to section 459. (See *People v. Howard* (2010) 51 Cal.4th 15, 43, fn. 21 [granting motion for judicial notice transcripts in co-defendant's

trial].)

II. THE DOCUMENTS ARE RELEVANT TO APPELLANT'S CLAIM OF *BATSON/WHEELER* ERROR

Even if a matter is a proper subject of judicial notice, it must still be relevant. (See e.g., *People v. Payton* (1992) 3 Cal.4th 1050, 1073.) The documents at issue demonstrate that a mistrial due to a *Batson/Wheeler* violation was granted in the co-defendant's penalty phase retrial within months of the alleged *Batson/Wheeler* violation at issue in appellant's case. Because the records show that the same prosecutor violated *Batson/Wheeler* twice within the span of several months, these documents are unquestionably relevant.

Under *Batson*, pattern and practice evidence has always been admissible to assist in the showing of discrimination required to make out a claim. (See *Batson*, *supra*, 476 U.S. at p. 80 [inference of discrimination could be supported by showing that the prosecutor "in case after case . . . is responsible for the removal of Negroes who have been selected as qualified jurors"]; see also *Miller-El v. Cockrell* (2003) 537 U.S. 322, 346-347 [historical evidence of discrimination by the prosecutor's office " is relevant to the extent it casts doubt on the legitimacy of the motives underlying the State's actions in petitioner's case"].)

The evidence in Mr. Harris's case is probative even though it arose shortly after appellant's trial. (See *Williams v. Woodford* (9th Cir. 2005) 396 F.3d 1059, 1064 (Rawlinson, J., dis. from denial of rehg. en banc) [arguing that evidence that prosecutor "continued to engage in this reprehensible and unconstitutional practice [of *Batson* violations] after Williams' trial" should have been considered in support of claimed discrimination]; see also *U.S. v. Hughes* (8th Cir. 1988) 864 F.2d 78, 79

[judicial notice taken of the frequency of the charge of systematic exclusion of black jurors in the Eastern District of Missouri in criminal cases]; *Riley* v. *Taylor* (3d Cir. 2001) 277 F.3d 261, 280) [office's strikes in other cases "within one year" of trial relevant to *Batson* inquiry].)

As this Court has recently recognized, the issue in *Batson/Wheeler* cases is not simply whether the trial court erred in not finding discrimination, but whether the public's "confidence in the rule of law" suffers by an unduly rigid method of review that – by ignoring highly relevant evidence – permits discrimination to occur without consequence. (See *People v. Scott* (2015) 61 Cal.4th 363, 390 [allowing for consideration of discriminatory statements made by the prosecutor even if made subsequent to the trial court's non-erroneous denial of prima facie case].) To ensure that the interests of justice are served, this Court has not hesitated to take into account evidence that was not necessarily placed before the trial court by the parties. (See *People v. Lenix* (2008) 44 Cal.4th 602, 622 [comparative analysis must be undertaken by reviewing court for the first time on appeal even if not presented to the trial court].)

Looking to the Title VII context from which the *Batson/Wheeler* doctrine derives, courts frequently take into consideration discriminatory conduct that post-dates the alleged act at issue. (See, e.g, *Ryder v*. *Westinghouse Elec. Corp.* (3d Cir.1997) 128 F.3d 128, 132–133 [age-discriminatory comments made by CEO and other supervisors one year after plaintiff's termination were relevant to show managerial attitudes]; *Ansell v. Green Acres Contracting Co.* (3d Cir.2003) 347 F.3d 515, 524–525 [subsequent discriminatory conduct may be relevant to finding of discrimination].)

In appellant's case the prosecutor claimed that, because the victims

and many of the prosecution witnesses were black, he could have no motivation to excuse black jurors. (5 RT 1076-1077.) Obviously, there are invidious stereotypes other than the existence of shared racial identity which may tempt prosecutors to allow race to infect their decision-making. (See, e.g., *People v. Williams* (2013) 56 Cal.4th 630, 652 [trial court espoused stereotype that "[b]lack women are very reluctant to impose the death penalty"].) If nothing else, the fact that the same prosecutor – in case involving the same crimes, with the same African American victims and witnesses – was found to have violated the tenets of *Batson/Wheeler* undermines his protestations that race could not have possibly affected his decisions. In short, the instant documents subject to the request for judicial notice are relevant to appellant's claim. Therefore, the motion should be granted.

CONCLUSION

For each of the reasons set forth herein, this Court should grant appellant's motion for judicial notice.

Dated: August 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. HERSEK State Public Defender

PETER R. SILTEN

Supervising Deputy State Public Defender

1.+ CLM

ELIAS BATCHELDER

Deputy State Public Defender

Attorneys for Appellant

MINUTE ORDER SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DATE PRINTED: 02/23/09

CASE NO. TA074314

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

V5. DEFENDANT 02: KAI HARRIS

INFORMATION FILED ON 08/02/04.

COUNT 01: 187(A) PC FEL - MURDER:
COUNT 02: 187(A) PC FEL - MURDER.
COUNT 03: 664-187(A) PC FEL - ATTEMPTED MURDER.
COUNT 04: 664-187(A) PC FEL - ATTEMPTED MURDER.
COUNT 05: 215(A) PC FEL - CARJACKING.

ON 02/23/09 AT 930 AM IN CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT 108

CASE CALLED FOR JURY TRIAL IN PROGRESS

PARTIES: MICHAEL JOHNSON (JUDGE) DONNA PEALE (CLERK)
SABA MCKINLEY (REP) HALIM DHANIDINA (DA)
LORA JOHNSON (REP2)

DEFENDANT IS PRESENT IN COURT, AND REPRESENTED BY JOHN B SCHMOCKER BAR PANEL ATTORNEY

BAIL SET AT NO BAIL

MATTER IS CALLED FOR RE-TRIAL OF DEATH PENALTY PHASE.

VOIR DIRE COMMENCES WITH PANEL A.

OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

DEFENSE WITNESSES ARTISIA PRICE, JAMEKA GLASPIE, CARL WILLIAMS JR. AND MARTELIS DAVIS ARE PLACED ON CALL TO THE DEFENSE.

IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

VOIR DIRE RESUMES.

DEFENSE REQUEST A WHEELER/BATTEN MOTION.

JURY TRIAL IN PROGRESS HEARING DATE: 02/23/09

PAGE NO. 1

CASE NO. TA074314 DEF NO. 02

DATE PRINTED 02/23/09

JUROR NUMBER P9765 IS REQUESTED TO RETURN ON WEDNESDAY AT 9:00 A.M. WITH ALL REMAINING JURORS WHO ARE ADMONISHED.

PARTIES ARGUE THE WHEELER/BATTEN MOTION. THE COURT GRANTS THE MOTION. THE PEOPLE REQUEST THE COURT TO WITH HOLD THE RULING UNTIL TOMORROW AT 1:30 P.M. WHEN THE PEOPLE WILL SUBMIT CASE LAW AND FURTHER ARGUMENT.

COURT ORDERS AND FINDINGS:

-THE COURT ORDERS THE DEFENDANT TO APPEAR ON THE NEXT COURT DATE.

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:

02/24/09 130 PM JURY TRIAL IN PROGRESS. DIST CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT 108

CUSTODY STATUS: DEFENDANT REMANDED

JURY TRIAL IN PROGRESS HEARING DATE: 02/23/09

PAGE NO. 2

MINUTE ORDER SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DATE PRINTED: 02/25/09

CASE NO. TA074314

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEFENDANT 02: KAI HARRIS

INFORMATION FILED ON 08/02/04.

COUNT 01: 187(A) PC FEL - MURDER.
COUNT 02: 187(A) PC FEL - MURDER.
COUNT 03: 664-187(A) PC FEL - ATTEMPTED MURDER.
COUNT 04: 664-187(A) PC FEL - ATTEMPTED MURDER.
COUNT 05: 215(A) PC FEL - CARJACKING.

ON 02/24/09 AT 130 PM IN CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT 108

CASE CALLED FOR JURY TRIAL IN PROGRESS

PARTIES: MICHAEL JOHNSON (JUDGE) DONNA PEALE (CLERK) LORA JOHNSON (REP) HALIM DHANIDINA (DA)

DEFENDANT IS PRESENT IN COURT, AND REPRESENTED BY JOHN B SCHMOCKER BAR PANEL ATTORNEY

BAIL SET AT NO BAIL

**DEATH PENALTY PHASE -DDA HALIM DHANIDINA MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING AGGRAVATING FACTOR OF 3/22/94.

MARK THARP IS SWORN AND TESTIFIES ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE.

PARTIES ARGUE THE MOTION.

THE COURT RULES THE SEARCH MAY BE ADMITTED AS RELFECTED IN THE OFFICIAL NOTES OF THE COURT REPORTER.

THE PEOPLE ARGUE FOR THE COURT NOT TO DECLARE A MISTRIAL BASED UPON WHEELER/BATSON. THE COURT AFTER REVIEWING THE PEOPLE'S MOTION AND HEARING FROM DEFENSE COUNSEL DECLARES A MISTRIAL.

MISTRIAL MOTION IS GRANTED BASED ON THE WAYING OF EVIDENCE.

JURY TRIAL IN PROGRESS HEARING DATE: 02/24/09

PAGE NO.

•

CASE NO. TA074314 DEF NO. 02

DATE PRINTED 02/25/09

THE COURT DETERMINES THE DEFENSE SUSTAINED ITS BURDEN OF PROOF UNDER BATSON. THE COURT DOES NOT FIND ANY KIND OF INVIVIOUS CONDUCT OR OTHER MISCONDUCT BY THE PROSECUTION, IT'S SIMPLY A FACTOR OF WAYING THE EVIDENCE.

PARTIES ALL AGREE THAT ALL QUESTIONNAIRES AND SIGNATURE PAGES FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE DESTROYED.

PARTIES AGREE THAT TRIAL WILL START ON 8/17/09 AS 8 OF 10.

FURTHER TRIAL READINESS IS SET FOR 6/5/09.

JUROR INFORMATION SHEETS FROM PANEL A AND B ARE ORDERED

SEALED AND PLACED IN THE COURT FILE.

JURORS ORDERED TO RETURN ON 2/25/09 WILL BE RELEASED OFF THE RECORD WITHOUT THE DEFENDANT OR COUNSEL PRESENT.

COURT ORDERS AND FINDINGS:

-THE COURT DECLARES A MISTRIAL.

-THE COURT ORDERS THE DEFENDANT TO APPEAR ON THE NEXT COURT DATE.

WAIVES STATUTORY TIME.

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT: 06/05/09 830 AM JURY TRIAL (RE-TRIAL) DIST CENTRAL DISTRICT DEPT 108

CUSTODY STATUS: DEFENDANT REMANDED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT.

SUPERIOR COURT NO.

VS.

TA074314-02

KAI HARRIS,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

DEC 15 2009

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE MICHAEL JOHNSON, JUDGE PRESIDING REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL FEBRUARY 23 & 24, 2009

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 300 SOUTH SPRING STREET NORTH TOWER, SUITE 5001 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90013

FOR THE APPELLANT:

IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 11 OF 16 SABA MC KINLEY, CSR NO. 9051 PAGES 1958 THRU 2172, INCL. OFFICIAL REPORTER



1 CASE NUMBER: TA074314 PEOPLE VS. KAI HARRIS 2 CASE NAME: 3. LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA MONDAY; FEBRUARY 23, 2009 DEPARTMENT NO. 108 HON. MICHABL JOHNSON, JUDGE 4 SABA MC KINLEY, CSR NO. 9051 5 REPORTER: 9:55 A.M. 6 TIME: 7 APPEARANCES: 8 DEFENDANT HARRIS, PRESENT WITH 9 COUNSEL, JOHN SCHMOCKER, ATTORNEY 10 AT LAW AND LYNDA VITALE, ATTORNEY 11 AT LAW; HALIM DHANIDINA, DEPUTY 12 DISTRICT ATTORNEY, REPRESENTING 13 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 14 15 CALIFORNIA. 16 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 17 HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE 18 PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE 19 JURORS:) 20 21 THE COURT: PEOPLE VS. HARRIS. 22 THE DEFENDANT AND COUNSEL PRESENT. 23. MR. SCHMOCKER, YOU HAVE SOME WITNESSES? 24 MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, I DO, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE FIRST ONE I'D LIKE ORDERED BACK WOULD BE 26 ARTRISIA PRICE. SHE'S PRESENT HERE IN THE PINK SUIT. 27 28 THIS IS JAMEKA GLASPIE STANDING BY HER.

THE COURT: WHEN WOULD YOU LIKE THEM -- WOULD 1 2 YOU LIKE THEM ORDERED BACK OR TO BE PLACED ON CALL OR 3 WHAT'S YOUR PLEASURE? MR. SCHMOCKER: I'D LIKE THEM TO BE PLACED ON 4 CALL -- ORDERED BACK ON CALL. 5 6 THE COURT: FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE, IT'S NOT NECESSARY FOR YOU TO WAIT IN THE COURTHOUSE UNTIL YOU'RE 7 CALLED AS A WITNESS, BUT YOU WILL BE ON CALL, WHICH 8. MEANS THAT ONCE MR. SCHMOCKER OR ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE 9 DEFENSE TEAM CALLS YOU AND TELLS YOU TO COME TO THE 10 11 COURTHOUSE, YOU MUST AGREE TO BE HERE AT THE TIME THEY 12 TELL YOU. 13 DO YOU BOTH AGREE TO THAT? 14 MS. PRICE: YES. 15 MS. GLASPIE: YES. 16 THE COURT: THEN YOU'RE FREE TO GO SUBJECT TO 17 THAT UNDERSTANDING. MR. SCHMOCKER: I ALSO HAVE A NUMBER OF OTHER 18 19 WITNESSES. ONE IS CARL WILLIAMS, JR. MR. DAVIS: MARTELIS DAVIS. 20 MR. SCHMOCKER: IF THE REST OF THEM COULD BE 21 ORDERED BACK, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: FOR THE -- FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE 23 NOT (SIC) IN THE COURTROOM, IT'S THE SAME UNDERSTANDING, 25 THAT IT'S FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. IT'S NOT NECESSARY FOR YOU TO WAIT OUT IN THE HALLWAY OR TO EVEN BE IN THE 26 COURTHOUSE UNTIL YOU'RE CALLED AS A WITNESS, BUT YOU 27 MUST AGREE THAT WHEN MR. SCHMOCKER OR ANOTHER MEMBER OF

28

```
THE DEFENSE TEAM CALLS YOU AND TELLS YOU TO COME BACK TO
1
   THIS COURTROOM? THEN YOU'LL BE HERE AT THE TIME THEY
2
3
    TELL YOU.
            DO YOU EACH AGREE TO DO THAT?
4
5:
            AN UNIDENTIFIED WITNESS: YES.
            AN UNIDENTIFIED WITNESS: YES.
6
7
            AN UNIDENTIFIED WITNESS: YES.
            THE COURT: THEN, YOU'RE FREE TO GO SUBJECT TO
8
    THAT UNDERSTANDING.
9
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
10
    YOUR HONOR.
11
            THE COURT: ARE WE READY TO ADDRESS THE
12
13
    STIPULATIONS?
14
            MR. DHANIDINA: I THINK SO.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WE'RE READY, YOUR HONOR.
15
16
            THE COURT: WHO'S GOING TO STATE THEM?
            MR. DHANIDINA: I WILL.
17
18
            THE COURT: LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT BOTH
    SIDES EXCHANGED PROPOSED JURORS TO BE EXCUSED BASED UPON
19
    THE WRITTEN QUESTIONNAIRES, AND HAVING REVIEWED THEIR
20
    PROPOSALS, THE PARTIES ARE READY TO STIPULATE.
21
             MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU. IS THE NUMBER OKAY
22
    OR YOU WANT THE INITIAL AND THE NUMBER?
23.
             THE COURT: IT WOULD BE EASIER WITH INITIAL.
24
             MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THE FOLLOWING JURORS ARE
25
    JURORS THAT THE PEOPLE AND THE DEFENSE HAVE STIPULATED
26
    TO EXCUSING FOR CAUSE IN THIS CASE:
27
             G-4661.
28
```

```
THE COURT: LET'S GO SLOWLY HERE.
1
2
            MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.
3.
            THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
4
            MR. DHANIDINA: G-3083.
5
            THE COURT: NEXT.
6
            MR. DHANIDINA: 0-1355.
7
            THE COURT: NEXT.
8
           MR. DHANIDINA: Z-1993.
9
            THE COURT: NEXT.
10
            MR. DHANIDINA: H-2186.
11
            THE COURT: NEXT.
12
            MR. DHANIDINA: S-4222.
13
            THE COURT: NEXT.
14
            MR. DHANIDINA: V-3237.
            THE COURT: NEXT.
15
16
            MR. DHANIDINA: N-1951.
17
            THE COURT: NEXT.
18
            MR. DHANIDINA: T-0206.
19
            THE COURT: I'M SORRY. JUST A SECOND HERE.
            MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.
20
21
            THE COURT: T-0206.
22
            NEXT.
23
            MR. DHANIDINA: YES.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IT'S ON THE FRONT PAGE OF
24
    THE -- FIRST PAGE, SECOND GROUP FROM THE BOTTOM. SECOND
25
    ONE.
26
         THE COURT: I FOUND IT. I'M READY FOR THE
27
28
    NEXT.
```

1	MR. SCHMOCKER: I APOLOGIZE.
2	MR. DHANIDINA: M-6314.
3	THE COURT: YES.
4	MR. DHANIDINA: B-7054.
5	THE COURT: YES.
6	MR. DHANIDINA: G-7991.
7	THE COURT: YES.
8:	MR. DHANIDINA: N-2217.
9.	THE COURT: YES.
10	MR. DHANIDINA: S-6634.
11	THE COURT: YES.
12.	MR. DHANIDINA: B-4817.
13	THE COURT: YES.
14	MR. DHANIDINA: P-0059.
15	THE COURT: YES.
16	MR. DHANIDINA: P-7436.
17	THE COURT: YES.
18	MR. DHANIDINA: R-0140.
19	THE COURT: YES.
20	MR. DHANIDINA: P-9597.
21	THE COURT: YES.
22	MR. DHANIDINA: B-8629.
23	THE COURT: YES.
24	MR. DHANIDINA: H-5246.
25	THE COURT: YES.
26	MR. DHANIDINA: D-3343.
27	THE COURT: YES.
28	MR. DHANIDINA: M-8295.

```
1
            THE COURT: YES.
2.
            MR. DHANIDINA: AND V-3635.
            THE COURT: BOTH SIDES AGREE TO THE EXCUSAL OF
3
4
    THESE JURORS FOR CAUSE?
5
            MR. DHANIDINA: YES.
           MR. SCHMOCKER: YES.
6
            THE COURT: THERE WAS ONE OTHER JUROR THAT I
7
    HAD HAD AN ISSUE WITH, AND THAT'S S-8640, WHO WAS ON THE
8
9
    SECOND PAGE NEAR THE BOTTOM.
10
            MR. DHANIDINA: DO I NEED TO READ THAT JUROR'S
11.
    NAME?
12
         THE COURT: SHE IS PREGNANT.
            MR. DHANIDINA: YOU KNOW WHAT, THAT WAS A NAME
13
14
    I INTENDED TO READ. I MAY HAVE SKIPPED OVER IT.
15
            THE COURT: I DIDN'T HEAR IT.
16
            THE CLERK: I DIDN'T EITHER.
17
            MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S ONE WE AGREED TO ALSO.
18
            MR. SCHMOCKER: I'M LOOKING FOR THAT ONE RIGHT
19
    NOW .
            THE COURT: IT'S ON PAGE 2, THE SECOND GROUP
20
   FROM THE BOTTOM.
21.
            MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S RIGHT.
22
23:
            THE COURT: IN THE MIDDLE, S-8640.
            HER --
24
           MR. SCHMOCKER: YES. WE HAVE THAT SCRATCHED
25
26
    OUT.
            THE COURT: HER CONTENT IS NOT REMARKABLE, BUT
27
    SHE'S EIGHT-AND-A-HALF WEEKS (SIC) PREGNANT AND IS DUE
28
```

```
ON MARCH 28 AND HAS GREAT CONCERNS ABOUT HER ABILITY TO
1
2
   PARTICIPATE, AS WELL AS THE FACT THAT SHE HAS MANY
   DOCTOR APPOINTMENTS.
3
            BOTH SIDES AGREE TO S-8640?
4:
            MR. DHANIDINA: YES. THANK YOU.
5
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
6
            THE CLERK: WAS M-8404 CALLED?
7
            MR. DHANIDINA: M-8404?
8
            THE CLERK: YES.
9
            MR. DHANIDINA: I DON'T THINK SO.
10
            THE COURT: NO.
11
            THE CLERK: OKAY.
12
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THERE WAS ONE OTHER THAT I WAS
13
    HAVING TROUBLE WITH. I THINK WE ADDRESSED IT.
                                                     I THINK
14
    IT WAS 6208. THIS IS THE ONE THAT HAD THE DIFFERENT
15
    NUMBER.
16
            MR. DHANIDINA: RIGHT.
17
            MR. SCHMOCKER: I WILL SEE IF I CAN FIND IT
18
    AGAIN. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT NUMBER WAS.
19
            MR. DHANIDINA: IT WAS ONE WHO WE BELIEVE IS
20
    3458.
21
            MS. VITALE: RIGHT.
22
            MR. DHANIDINA: BUT SHE WROTE DOWN 6208.
23
             THE COURT: THERE IS A JUROR THAT I NOTICED THE
24
    SAME THING FOR. SHE MARKED HER QUESTIONNAIRE AS M-6208.
25
             MS. VITALE: YES.
26
             THE COURT: HOWEVER, HER TRUE IDENTIFICATION
27
    NUMBER IS M-3458. SHE IS ON THE FIRST PAGE, FOURTH
28
```

1 GROUP. 2 MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY GOOD. 3. THE COURT: LET ME SEE IF THERE WERE ANY 4 OTHERS. 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE). 7 THE COURT: ONE THAT WAS SOMEWHAT ILLEGIBLE WAS 8 9 THE JUROR WHO HAD WRITTEN SHE HAS A CAST, K-6804. 10 MR. SCHMOCKER: YES. 11 THE COURT: SHE WAS ACTUALLY PRETTY LEGIBLE I 12 THOUGHT. 13 MR. DHANIDINA: I THOUGHT SO. MR. SCHMOCKER: WHEN SHE HAD TROUBLE, SHE PUT 14 15 IT DOWN MORE THAN ONCE. 16 THE COURT: THOSE WERE THE ONLY NUMBER ERRORS THAT I SAW OF THE JURORS WHO SURVIVED. THERE WERE SOME 17 THAT WE STIPULATED WERE IN ERROR. I DID CORRECT THEM ON 18 THE FACE OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE. 19 THOSE JURORS CAN BE EXCUSED IN THE HALLWAY. 20 THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT WE'RE WORKING 21 OFF OF THE RANDOM LIST, WHICH INCLUDES THE FULL NAME OF 22 23 THE JURORS, AS WELL AS THE IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS THAT 24 WE'RE USING FOR CONVENIENCE. THE PROCEDURE THAT I'D LIKE TO FOLLOW IS THE 25 SAME AS WE DID IN THE FIRST TRIAL, AND JUST SO EVERYONE 26 MAY REMEMBER, I'LL GIVE SOME BRIEF WELCOMING REMARKS, 27 AND THEN CALL UP THE FIRST 27 JURORS INTO THE JURY SEATS 28

IN THE JURY BOX.

3:

1.2:

I WILL GO THROUGH SOME PRELIMINARY REMARKS. IF YOU HAVE ANY, YOU CAN SUGGEST THEM, BUT I THOUGHT THE ONES THAT WERE MOST PERTINENT WERE UNJOINED PERPETRATOR, JUST TO SIMPLY POINT OUT THAT THE NAME DONTE MC DANIEL WILL BE MENTIONED IN THE CASE. HE'S NOT HERE. THERE ARE MANY REASONS THAT HE'S NOT HERE. THEY'RE ALL IRRELEVANT. AND THEY'RE SIMPLY TO FOCUS ON THE ISSUES PRESENTED HERE.

I'LL ALSO MENTION GANGS, AS NOTED IN THE
QUESTIONNAIRE. THERE WERE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE BOUNTY
HUNTER BLOODS, AS WELL AS OTHER EXPERIENCES WITH GANGS
THAT PEOPLE HAVE HAD. THAT THE EVENTS IN THIS CASE WERE
IN A GANG NEIGHBORHOOD, SO MANY OF THE PEOPLE INVOLVED
IN THE CASE MAY BE IDENTIFIED WITH GANGS, AS WELL AS THE
PEOPLE THAT THEY HEAR ABOUT DIRECTLY, SUCH AS THE
DEFENDANT, MR. BROOKS AND A NUMBER OF THE WITNESSES.

I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT GANG INVOLVEMENT IS
NOT A FACTOR IN AGGRAVATION OR MITIGATION. IT'S SIMPLY
PART OF THE BACKGROUND OR BACKDROP FOR THE CASE.
EVIDENCE MAY BE RELEVANT TO EXPLAIN WHY PEOPLE ACTED IN
CERTAIN WAYS, AND WE'RE LOOKING FOR JURORS WHO CAN
SIMPLY CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE REGARDING GANGS FOR VALID
PURPOSES AND NOT JUST REACT BY SAYING THINGS LIKE, IF A
WITNESS IS A GANG MEMBER, MUST BE A LIAR. IF BROOKS WAS
A GANG MEMBER, WHO CARES IF HE WAS KILLED, OR IF THE
DEFENDANT'S A GANG MEMBER, HE DESERVES SOME FORM OF
PUNISHMENT.

4 5

6:

AND THEN GO OVER AGAIN THE CRITERIA REGARDING
THE DEATH PENALTY, MUCH AS I DID AT THE PRELIMINARY
STAGE, JUST TO REFRESH THEIR MEMORY AS TO THE PROCEDURES
AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE.

MR. DHANIDINA: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: THEN I WOULD GO THROUGH THE
QUESTIONNAIRES WITH EACH JUROR. THERE ARE A COUPLE OF
AREAS THAT I FLAGGED TO CLARIFY. I WOULD ALSO ASK THE
JURORS IF THEY HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER TO ADD, AND THEN AT
THAT POINT I WOULD TURN IT OVER TO THE ATTORNEYS WITH
THIS GROUP OF 27. I'M LOOKING AT APPROXIMATELY 40, 45
MINUTES, SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES, PER SIDE, WITH
THIS GROUP. YOU NEED MORE, YOU CAN CERTAINLY TELL ME
THAT, BUT THAT'S SORT OF A TARGET.

ONCE WE'VE COMPLETED YOUR QUESTIONS, I'LL RECEIVE ANY MOTIONS FOR CAUSE AFTER THE JURY HAS LEFT.

ONCE WE'VE RESOLVED MOTIONS FOR CAUSE, FOR THOSE JURORS
THAT REMAIN, WE'LL EXERCISE PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES, AND
ONCE WE'VE DONE THAT, WE DON'T HAVE A JURY, WE'LL CALL
UP MORE JURORS AND GO THROUGH THE SAME KIND OF
PROCEDURES.

DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT?

MR. DHANIDINA: NO. THANK YOU.

MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT SOUNDS FINE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY AREAS THAT YOU WANT ME TO GO INTO PRELIMINARILY, BESIDES THOSE THAT I IDENTIFIED?

MR. DHANIDINA: I THINK THE ONLY OTHER THING

THAT'S WORTH BRINGING UP AT THIS POINT IS TO REMIND THE 1 JURORS OF THEIR ROLE AS PENALTY PHASE JURORS, AS OPPOSED 2 TO HAVING TO DETERMINE GUILT OR INNOCENCE. 3 THE COURT: YES. 4. MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. 5 THE COURT: AS SOON AS WE'RE READY TO CALL THEM 6 7 IN, WE'LL HAVE THEM COME IN. 8 (BRIEF PAUSE). 9 10 THE COURT: JUST FOR YOUR INFORMATION, A JUROR 11 HAS SUBMITTED A NOTE. IT'S ON THE SECOND PAGE, FIRST 12 NAME ON THE SECOND GROUP, R-3749. YOU'RE WELCOME TO 13 LOOK AT THIS NOTE, BUT IT'S QUITE SHORT. HE BASICALLY 14 SAYS: 15 FIVE MONTHS AGO I WAS 16 DIAGNOSED WITH PROSTATE CANCER AND 17 UNDERWENT A RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY. 18 SINCE THEN I HAVE HAD TO USE THE 19 RESTROOM OFTEN, AND IT'S HARD FOR 20 ME TO SIT FOR LONG PERIODS OF 21 TIME. 22 LAST WEEK IT WAS VERY 23 DIFFICULT FOR ME TO SIT WITHOUT 24 GOING TO THE RESTROOM. I WOULD 25 LIKE TO ASK IF I CAN BE EXCUSED. 26 I'M PREPARED TO KEEP HIM HERE AND SEE HOW 27 THINGS GO. 28

1	
1	IF YOU BOTH HAVE ANY DIFFERENT THOUGHTS, YOU'RE
2	WELCOME TO EXPRESS THEM.
3	MR. SCHMOCKER: MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT,
4.	YOUR HONOR? I'M LOOKING FOR HIS NUMBER.
5	THE COURT: YES.
6	MR. SCHMOCKER: 3749.
7	THE COURT: R-3749.
8	MR. SCHMOCKER: I'D AGREE TO STIPULATE TO HIS
9	REMOVAL.
10	MR. DHANIDINA: I AGREE WITH THE COURT. MAYBE
11	WE SHOULD SEE HOW IT GOES THIS MORNING. IF IT BECOMES
12	UNBEARABLE FOR THE JUROR, WE CAN REASSESS.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THERE'S NO STIPULATION.
14	WE'LL KEEP HIM HERE.
15	THE CLERK: READY?
16	THE COURT: YES. WE'RE READY.
17	
18	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
19	HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE
20	OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS:)
21	
22	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.
23	WELCOME BACK TO DEPARTMENT 108.
24	YOU MAY REMEMBER. I'M JUDGE MICHAEL JOHNSON.
25	THIS IS THE CASE OF PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
26	CALIFORNIA VERSUS KAI HARRIS.
27	THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS HALIM DHANIDINA.
28	THE DEFENSE ATTORNEYS ARE JOHN SCHMOCKER AND

1 LYNDA VITALE. AND MR. HARRIS IS SEATED AT THE TABLE AS 2 WELL.

4.

б

FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR
FILLING OUT YOUR QUESTIONNAIRES. YOU, AS WELL AS SOME
OF THE JURORS WHO HAVE BEEN EXCUSED, WE APPRECIATE IT.
YOU WERE VERY COMPLETE. THAT HELPS US A GREAT DEAL.

WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO TODAY IS ASK SOME FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS. WE'RE GOING TO CALL JURORS UP INTO THE JURY BOX AND BEGIN THE PROCESS WHICH WILL BE THE SECOND PHASE OF JURY SELECTION.

WE WILL CALL YOU UP AT RANDOM. THERE ARE NUMBERS ON EACH SEAT, SO WE'LL ASSIGN YOU TO A PARTICULAR SEAT.

SEAT NUMBER 1 IS IN THE TOP ROW ALL THE WAY TO
MY LEFT. SEAT NUMBER 2 IS NEXT TO THAT AND SO FORTH. A
TOTAL OF 27 JURORS WILL BE CALLED UP TO THESE SEATS.

THEN I WILL ASK YOU SOME FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
REGARDING YOUR QUESTIONNAIRES, SOME THINGS THAT OCCURRED
TO ME AS I WENT THROUGH THEM.

YOU'RE ALSO WELCOME TO ADD ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. IN OTHER WORDS, IF YOU'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THINGS A LITTLE BIT AND MAYBE YOU'VE NOW HAD SOME FIRMER IDEAS ABOUT SOME OF THE ISSUES, OR IF YOU FORGOT TO ADD SOMETHING TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU THOUGHT ABOUT AS YOU DROVE HOME OR THAT SORT OF THING, YOU'RE WELCOME TO ADD THOSE.

ONCE I'VE DONE THAT, THEN THE ATTORNEYS WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS, AND

THEY TOO WILL ASK VARIOUS JURORS SOME FOLLOW-UP 1 1 OUESTIONS OR ASK ABOUT OTHER THINGS CONCERNING THE CASE. PLEASE KEEP IN MIND THAT YOU ARE ALL UNDER 3 OATH. YOU'RE UNDER THE SAME OATH THAT YOU TOOK THE 4 FIRST DAY THAT YOU WERE HERE. YOU SHOULD MAKE SURE THAT 5 ALL OF YOUR ANSWERS ARE TRUTHFUL AND COMPLETE. 6 IF THERE'S SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD FIND 7 EMBARRASSING OR DIFFICULT TO TALK ABOUT IN FRONT OF 8 EVERYONE, IF THERE'S SOMETHING PERSONAL THAT YOU JUST 9 DON'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT IN FRONT OF EVERYONE, PLEASE 10 DON'T AVOID THE QUESTION, BUT JUST LET ME KNOW THAT YOU 11. WOULD PREFER TO TALK ABOUT IT MORE PRIVATELY. THEN I'LL 12 CALL JURORS OVER TO THE SIDE AND WE CAN TALK WITH THE 13 LAWYERS ONLY ABOUT THOSE ISSUES THAT YOU REGARD AS 14 SENSITIVE OR EMBARRASSING TO TALK ABOUT IN FRONT OF 15 16 EVERYONE. THAT ALL BEING SAID, WE WILL CALL YOU UP TO THE 17 SEATS. 18 WE WILL USE THE FIRST LETTER OF YOUR LAST NAME, 19 THE LAST FOUR NUMBERS OF YOUR JUROR BADGE. 20 PLEASE COME UP TO THE SEATS AS INDICATED. 21 THE CLERK: D-3563, YOU'LL BE SEAT NUMBER 1. 22 IT'S IN THE TOP ROW. 23 S-3050, SEAT 2. 24 G-4450, SEAT 3. 25 26 G-4450. (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE). 27

THE COURT: G-4450. [NAME REDACTED].

28

```
JUROR [NAME REDACTED]. [NAME REDACTED].
1
2
            THE CLERK: I WILL CHECK IN THE JURY ROOM AND
    SEE IF HE LEFT.
3
            THE COURT: [NAME REDACTED].
5
            NOT HERE?
                (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE).
6
7
            THE COURT: DO THE PARTIES AGREE THAT THIS
8
    JUROR CAN GO TO THE END OF THE LIST, AND WE'LL CHECK ON
    HIS LOCATION?
10
            MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S FINE.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT'S AGREEABLE, YOUR HONOR.
11
            THE COURT: B-7993, YOU'LL BE SEAT NUMBER 3.
12
            R-5857, SEAT 4.
13
            T-5208, SEAT 5.
14
            P-9765, SEAT 6.
15
            H-4884, SEAT 7.
16
             V-4528, SEAT 8.
17
             J-0750, YOU'LL BE SEAT NUMBER 9.
18
            THE CLERK: R-6693, SEAT 10.
19
             MR. SCHMOCKER: I'M SORRY. WHAT NUMBER WAS
20
21
    THAT?
             THE CLERK: R-6693.
22
23
             M-3458 SEAT 11.
24
             M-3458
                 (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE).
25
             THE COURT: M-3458. [NAME REDACTED].
26
             MR. DHANIDINA: WANT TO TRY 6208?
27
             THE WITNESS: OH, I'M SORRY.
28.
```

```
THE COURT: I THINK YOU PUT DOWN 6208 ON YOUR
1
2
   QUESTIONNAIRE. IT'S M-3458.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: WHAT NUMBER?
3
            THE COURT: SEAT 11.
4
5
            THE CLERK: B-9815, SEAT 12.
            D-5849, SEAT 13.
6
7
            J-2466, SEAT 14.
            M-7169, SEAT 15.
8
            K-6084, SEAT 16.
9
            J-9579, SEAT 17.
10
            J-6556, SEAT 18.
11
            B-7054, SEAT 19.
12
13
            THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE.
14
            THE CLERK: I'M SORRY.
            THE COURT: THAT JUROR'S EXCUSED.
15
             THE CLERK: R-8493, SEAT 19.
16
             A-1180, SEAT 20.
1.7
             R-34 -- I'M SORRY. 3749, SEAT 21.
18
             A-0298, SEAT 22.
19
             G-6179, SEAT 23.
20
            C-6782, SEAT 24.
21
             R-9855, SEAT 25.
22
             V-4099, SEAT 26.
23
24
             G-6745, SEAT 27.
25
             THE COURT: EVERYONE IS SEATED.
             WELCOME.
26
             LET ME GO OVER, FIRST OF ALL, SOME BROAD ISSUES
27
28
    THAT WERE RAISED IN A COUPLE -- OR MORE THAN A COUPLE --
```

1 A NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES, AND JUST TO REITERATE A FEW 2 THINGS.

11.

12.

FIRST OF ALL, THE QUESTIONNAIRE MENTIONED A
PERSON WHO IS NOT HERE, THAT IS DONTE MC DANIEL, AS
BEING INVOLVED IN CONDUCT THAT IS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE.

ALTHOUGH YOU'LL HEAR ABOUT HIM IN THE EVIDENCE,
HE'S NOT A PARTY, AND HE'S NOT -- OBVIOUSLY NOT IN THE
COURTROOM. THERE ARE MANY REASONS WHY A PERSON WHO IS
ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN CONDUCT THAT IS THE
SUBJECT OF A CRIMINAL CASE MAY NOT BE INVOLVED IN THE
TRIAL OF THE CASE. NONE OF THOSE REASONS ARE RELEVANT,
AND WE'RE NOT GOING TO EXPLAIN ANY OF THEM BECAUSE IT
JUST DOESN'T MATTER.

WE WANT YOU TO BE AWARE THAT YOUR JOB IS SIMPLY TO FOCUS ON THE ISSUES THAT RELATE TO THE DEFENDANT WHO IS HERE, KAI HARRIS. YOU OF COURSE WILL HEAR AND CONSIDER EVIDENCE REGARDING DONTE MC DANIEL, BUT HE'S NOT GOING TO BE INVOLVED IN THIS CASE, AND YOU SHOULD NOT BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.

ANOTHER BROAD ISSUE THAT CAME UP CONCERNS
GANGS. AS WE TOLD YOU, WE EXPECT THAT THERE WILL BE
GANG EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE GROUP CALLED THE BOUNTY
HUNTER BLOODS IN NICKERSON GARDENS HOUSING AREA.

I EXPECT, ACTUALLY, THAT THERE WILL BE
ARGUMENTS AND CONTENTIONS THAT MANY OF THE PEOPLE
INVOLVED IN THIS CASE ARE INVOLVED IN THAT GANG.
OBVIOUSLY THE PEOPLE CONTEND THAT THE DEFENDANT,

28 KAI HARRIS, WAS INVOLVED IN THE GANG. THEY WILL CONTEND

THAT DONTE MC DANIEL WAS INVOLVED IN THE GANG. I THINK
THERE WILL ALSO BE EVIDENCE THAT GEORGE BROOKS, ONE OF
THE PEOPLE KILLED, WAS INVOLVED IN THE GANG. AND THERE
MAY BE OTHER PEOPLE WHO COME IN AND TESTIFY OR WHOSE
NAMES MAY BE MENTIONED IN THE CASE AS ALSO BEING
INVOLVED IN THE GANG.

21.

THE SIMPLE FACT IS THAT THE EVENTS IN THIS CASE OCCURRED IN A NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE MANY PEOPLE ARE IN SOME WAY INVOLVED WITH OR WHO ASSOCIATE WITH THIS PARTICULAR GANG OR OTHERS, AND THAT'S JUST PART OF THE BACKDROP OR FACTS OR BACKGROUND OF THIS CASE.

KEEP IN MIND, GANG INVOLVEMENT IS NOT A FACTOR
IN AGGRAVATION OR MITIGATION AS IT CONCERNS THE ISSUES
TO BE PRESENTED TO THE JURY. IT'S SIMPLY PART OF THE
BACKDROP AND BACKGROUND OF THIS CASE.

EVIDENCE OF GANG MEMBERSHIP MAY BE RELEVANT IN VARIOUS WAYS. IT MAY BE HELPFUL TO UNDERSTAND WHY CERTAIN CONDUCT OCCURRED. IT MAY BE HELPFUL TO UNDERSTAND WHY CERTAIN WITNESSES TESTIFY IN THE WAY THAT THEY DO, BUT AGAIN, IT'S NOT A FACTOR IN AGGRAVATION OR MITIGATION. IT'S JUST PART OF THE OVERALL BACKGROUND OF THE CASE.

WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR ARE JURORS WHO CAN
CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE FOR THESE VALID PURPOSES
AND NOT JURORS WHO JUST REACT AND WHO SAY, WELL, IF YOU
TELL ME THAT A CERTAIN PERSON WAS INVOLVED IN A GANG,
I'M GOING TO REACT IN A CERTAIN WAY. IF THE DEFENDANT
WAS INVOLVED IN A GANG, THAT'S JUST GOING TO LEAD ME TO

A CERTAIN CONCLUSION ABOUT PUNISHMENT. IF A WITNESS SAYS THAT HE OR SHE IS INVOLVED IN A GANG, I'M NOT GOING TO BELIEVE ANYTHING THAT THAT PERSON HAS TO SAY. OR IF YOU HEAR THAT MR. BROOKS, ONE OF THE PEOPLE KILLED, WAS INVOLVED IN A GANG, WE DON'T WANT JURORS WHO SAY, WELL, THEN, YOU KNOW, HE DESERVED WHAT HE GOT, OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

WHAT WE WANT ARE JURORS WHO APPRECIATE THAT THE GANG ISSUES ARE PART OF THE CASE AND WHO LOOK AT THEM IN THE APPROPRIATE WAY TO EXPLAIN CONDUCT OR TO EXPLAIN WITNESSES.

THE LAST BIG ISSUE I WANT TO GO OVER WITH YOU AGAIN IS THE PENALTY PROCEDURE AND THE ISSUES RELATED TO THAT. WE TALKED ABOUT THAT A GOOD DEAL LAST WEEK WHEN YOU WERE HERE. THERE WERE SOME THINGS MENTIONED IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE, BUT I JUST WANT TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORIES AND SUMMARIZE SOME OF THE PROCEDURES AND THE ISSUES.

REEP IN MIND THAT I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU

DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS AT A LATER POINT IN TRIAL, AND

THOSE WILL GOVERN YOUR DECISION MAKING. WHAT I WANT TO

SAY NOW, AGAIN, IS TO HELP PUT THINGS IN CONTEXT SO THAT

WHEN WE ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT YOU SAID IN YOUR

QUESTIONNAIRE, OR THE OTHER ISSUES, YOU'LL HAVE IN MIND

THE PROCEDURES.

FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS ONLY A PENALTY TRIAL.

THERE ARE NO ISSUES OF GUILT. THOSE HAVE BEEN

DETERMINED EARLIER. THE ONLY ISSUE TO BE DETERMINED BY

THE JURY IS THE APPROPRIATE PENALTY.

DURING THE TRIAL, THE PROSECUTION PUTS ON

EVIDENCE OF WHAT WE CALL AGGRAVATING FACTORS. THOSE ARE

BASICALLY BAD THINGS OR NEGATIVE THINGS ABOUT THE

CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE OR THE DEFENDANT AND HIS

BACKGROUND. THOSE ARE THINGS THAT THE PROSECUTION

CONTENDS SHOULD PUSH THE JURY IN THE DIRECTION OF A

DETERMINATION OF NEGATIVE THINGS ABOUT THE DEFENDANT.

THE DEFENSE CAN PUT ON EVIDENCE OF WHAT WE CALL MITIGATING FACTORS. THOSE ARE ESSENTIALLY GOOD THINGS OR POSITIVE THINGS ABOUT THE DEFENDANT AND HIS PAST, OR OTHER FACTORS WHICH THEY CONTEND SHOULD LEAD TOWARD A PENALTY DETERMINATION MORE FAVORABLE TO THE DEFENDANT.

IT'S THE JOB OF THE JURY TO CONSIDER ALL OF THESE PIECES OF EVIDENCE THAT ARE INTRODUCED, ALL OF THE FACTORS, AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING, AND TO WEIGH THEM, TO CONSIDER ALL OF THESE CIRCUMSTANCES IN REACHING THE APPROPRIATE PENALTY.

ALTHOUGH WE USE THE TERM "WEIGHING," YOU SHOULD KEEP IN MIND THAT THE PROCESS IS ACTUALLY SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT TO DESCRIBE. IT'S NOT JUST TALLYING UP. IT'S NOT PUTTING TOGETHER A LIST AND SAYING, WELL, HERE ARE THE AGGRAVATING FACTORS, HERE ARE THE MITIGATING FACTORS, AND THEN PUTTING TOGETHER THE NUMBERS AND PICKING THE ONE WHICH HAS THE MOST NUMBERS. THAT'S NOT IT AT ALL.

IT IS A MATTER IN WHICH JURORS ARE FREE TO
ASSIGN THEIR OWN VALUE TO EACH FACTOR BASED ON WHAT YOU
THINK IS IMPORTANT. YOU CAN INCLUDE MORAL AND

28.

SYMPATHETIC VALUE. WE'RE GOING TO GIVE INSTRUCTIONS
THAT WILL DESIGNATE CERTAIN THINGS AS AGGRAVATING OR
MITIGATING, BUT YOU SHOULD LOOK AT THEM IN YOUR OWN WAY,
IN YOUR OWN PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE. THAT'S WHAT THE
INSTRUCTIONS WILL TELL YOU.

THE INSTRUCTIONS WILL SAY THAT IF AT THE END OF THIS WEIGHING PROCESS, WHERE YOU LOOK AT ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AND ASSIGN VALUES TO IT, IF THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE OUTWEIGHS THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE, THEN THE JURORS ARE TOLD THAT THEY MUST VOTE FOR THE PENALTY OF LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT PAROLE.

I SAY "MUST" BECAUSE THE JURORS HAVE NO CHOICE.

IF THE FACTORS IN MITIGATION OUTWEIGH THE FACTORS IN

AGGRAVATION, THEN THE PENALTY MUST BE LIFE IN PRISON

WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE.

ONLY IF THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE MAY THE JURORS VOTE FOR DEATH. I SAY "MAY" BECAUSE EVEN IN THAT CIRCUMSTANCE, THE JURORS HAVE A CHOICE. EVEN IF THEY DETERMINE THAT THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE, THE JURORS, BASED UPON ALL THEIR EVALUATION OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE, CAN, IF THEY CHOSE TO DO SO, SHOW MERCY AND VOTE FOR LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE AS A PENALTY.

WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR, AS WE TOLD YOU LAST
WEEK AND REITERATED IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE, ARE JURORS WHO
HAVE THE ABILITY TO FAIRLY JUDGE THE EVIDENCE AND WHO
WILL FOLLOW THE LAW, NOT THEIR OWN PREFERENCE, BUT

FOLLOW THE LAW, THE INSTRUCTIONS AND THE FRAMEWORK THAT
I WILL TELL YOU AND WILL DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE
PENALTY.

4:

11.

12.

NOW, I KNOW THAT IN FILLING OUT THESE
QUESTIONNAIRES AND RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS IN COURT,
IT'S SOMETIMES DIFFICULT BECAUSE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
ABSTRACT PRINCIPLES. WE OBVIOUSLY CAN'T FILL YOU IN ON
ALL THE EVIDENCE. THAT'S WHAT THE TRIAL IS ALL ABOUT.
WE'RE NOT ASKING ANY OF YOU TO PREDICT A RESULT. WE'RE
NOT ASKING ANY OF YOU TO PREDICT, YES, FROM WHAT YOU'VE
TOLD ME, I'M GOING TO VOTE THIS WAY OR I'M GOING TO VOTE
THAT WAY. THAT'S NOT WHAT WE'RE ASKING ABOUT HERE.

WHAT WE ARE ASKING IS WHETHER YOU CAN ENGAGE IN THE PROCESS IN A FAIR AND OPEN-MINDED WAY. IN OTHER WORDS, WHETHER, BASED ON ALL THE THINGS THAT WE TELL YOU, YOU CAN SAY, YES, I'M UP FOR THIS JOB. I CAN FAIRLY JUDGE THESE FACTORS. I CAN WEIGH THE AGGRAVATING AND THE MITIGATING THINGS IN THE WAY THAT YOU'VE TOLD ME, AND I CAN FOLLOW THE LAW, AND I CAN CONSIDER A DECISION BETWEEN LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE AND THE DEATH PENALTY. I CAN DO THAT. I HAVE AN OPEN MIND, AND I CAN LOOK AT THOSE FACTORS AND WEIGH THEM IN THE WAY THAT YOU DESCRIBED.

AGAIN, I KNOW IT'S HARD BECAUSE IT'S SOMEWHAT
ABSTRACT. WHAT WE'RE ASKING, BASICALLY, IS WHETHER YOU
THINK FROM WHAT WE TELL YOU, YOU CAN APPROACH THIS WITH
AN OPEN MIND. IF YOU CAN'T, NO ONE'S GOING TO CRITICIZE
YOU. IF YOU SAY, YOU KNOW, I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THIS AND

```
1
   I REALLY DO BELIEVE THAT I CAN'T APPROACH THIS WITH AN
   OPEN MIND. I FEEL SO STRONGLY ABOUT THIS ISSUE OR I
2.
   FEEL SO STRONGLY ABOUT THAT ISSUE THAT I CANNOT GIVE YOU
3
   MY ASSURANCE THAT I'LL APPROACH THIS IN AN OPEN-MINDED
4
   WAY, THEN TELL US. NO ONE S GOING TO CRITICIZE YOU.
5
            IF YOU'RE UP FOR THE TASK AND YOU CAN TELL US,
6
    YES, I KNOW I CAN DO THIS IN AN OBJECTIVE, OPEN-MINDED
7
8
    WAY, THEN THAT'S WHAT WE'RE INTERESTED IN.
            I'VE SAID ENOUGH.
9
            LET ME GO THROUGH THE QUESTIONNAIRE.
10
            FIRST, I'LL START WITH THE JUROR IN SEAT 1.
11
            HOW ARE YOU TODAY?
12
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: GOOD. HOW ARE
13
    YOU?
14
            THE COURT: FINE, THANK YOU.
15
            I REVIEWED YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE. DID YOU HAVE
16
    ANYTHING TO ADD, ANY CHANGES OR ANY THOUGHTS THAT YOU
17
    DID NOT PUT IN YOUR ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE?
18
    ANYTHING NEW?
19
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: AS OF NOW IT
20
    STAYS THE SAME.
21
             THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
22
            JUROR NUMBER 2, GOOD MORNING.
23
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9-3050: GOOD MORNING.
24
          THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
25
    ADDITIONS?
26
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. S-3050: NO, I DID NOT.
27
             THE COURT: YOU HAD SAID, IN RESPONSE TO SOME
28
```

```
OF THE QUESTIONS ABOUT ANY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR PERSONAL
1
2
   BELIEFS THAT COULD MAKE IT HARD FOR YOU TO JUDGE THE
3
   CASE, THAT IT WAS A LITTLE HARD FOR YOU TO DECIDE AND
   WRITE IT DOWN IN YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE.
4
5
            HAVE YOU ANY FURTHER THOUGHTS ABOUT THAT?
6
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. S-3050: THE THOUGHTS
7
    THAT I HAVE, I GUESS, ARE WITHIN ME. IT'S HARD TO PUT
    THEM ON PAPER, BUT I BELIEVE I CAN BE FAIR.
8
9
            THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT WE'RE SAYING
10
    IS IT'S FINE TO HAVE -- EVERYONE HAS THEIR OWN PERSONAL.
11
    RELIGIOUS AND PHILOSOPHICAL BELIEFS. NO ONE'S ASKING
12
    YOU OR ANYONE ELSE TO CHANGE THAT, BUT WHAT YOU HAVE TO
    DO IS FOLLOW THE LAW.
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. S-3050: YES. AND I
14
    UNDERSTAND THAT.
15
            THE COURT: CAN YOU DO THAT?
16
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. S-3050: YES, I CAN.
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
18
19
            JUROR NUMBER 3. GOOD MORNING.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: GOOD MORNING.
20
21
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
22
    ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
23
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: NO.
            THE COURT: I HAD A COUPLE OF AREAS.
24
            YOU SAID THAT YOU HAD PERSONALLY TAKEN SOME
25
    CLASSES IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AREA, FORENSIC SCIENCE
26
    ACADEMY?
27
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: CORRECT.
28
```

```
THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY PLANS TO PURSUE A
1
2
   CAREER IN LAW ENFORCEMENT?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: NO. NOT AT THIS
3
   TIME. I TOOK IT BECAUSE I WAS ALWAYS INTERESTED IN IT.
4
            THE COURT: INTERESTED IN IT?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: CORRECT.
6
            THE COURT: SO THERE WASN'T ANYTHING --
7
            WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT IT THAT LED YOU NOT TO
8
9.
    PURSUE A CAREER IN LAW ENFORCEMENT?
10
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993:
                                           NO.
            THE COURT YOU JUST DECIDED ON ANOTHER AVENUE?"
11
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993:
                                           YEAH. I'M FINE
12
    WHERE I'M AT. IT WAS JUST SOMETHING I WANTED TO DO.
13
            THE COURT! ALL RIGHT. YOU DID SAY THAT IN
14
    REGARD TO PEOPLE CLOSE TO YOU WHO ARE VICTIMES OF CRIME,
15
    THAT YOUR BEST FRIEND SON WAS SHOT?
16
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: CORRECT.
17
            THE COURT: AND WAS KILLED?
18:
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: CORRECT.
19
            THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
20.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: 2002.
21
             THE COURT: IS THIS -- THE YOUNG MAN SOMEONE
22.
    THAT YOU HAD HAD CONTACT WITH YOURSELF?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES.
24:
             THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
25
    EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR IF
26
     YOU WERE SELECTED IN THIS CASE?
27
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993; NO.
28:
```

```
THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND IT'S YOUR OBLIGATION
1
   TO PUT IT ASIDE AND NOT LET IT AFFECT THE WAY YOU
2.
3 |
   EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE HERE AS TO ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: CORRECT.
5
           THE COURT: JUST EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE THAT'S
   PRESENTED TO YOU. CAN YOU DO THAT?
6
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES.
7
           THE COURT: THANK YOU.
8
           JUROR NUMBER 4, GOOD MORNING.
9
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: GOOD MORNING,
10
   YOUR HONOR.
11
         THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
12
13.
   ADDITIONS?
14
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: NOT AT THIS
   TIME, YOUR HONOR.
15
            THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE
16
17
   PENALTY DETERMINATION IN THIS CASE?
18
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: I'LL BE FAIR.
19
   LIKE YOU SAY. I'LL JUST STATE IT BY THE FACTS OF THE
20
   LAW AND --
21
            THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINIONS ABOUT THE
   DEATH PENALTY WITHOUT REGARD TO THE TRIAL? IF YOU WERE
22.
    HAVING A CUP OF COFFEE WITH YOUR FRIENDS AND SOMEBODY
23
    RAISED THE ISSUE OF THE DEATH PENALTY, WOULD YOU HAVE
24
    ANY OPINIONS ON THAT?
25
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: WELL, I WOULD
26
    HAVE TO SEE THE FACTS FIRST. NOT REALLY, YOUR HONOR.
            THE COURT: I'M TALKING JUST IN VERY GENERAL
28
```

```
TERMS, NOT ABOUT THE TRIAL, BUT IN TERMS OF WHAT'S GOOD
1
   FOR THE STATE OR GOOD FOR SOCIETY. WOULD YOU HAVE ANY
2
   VIEWS ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY?
3
   SOME PEOPLE DON'T. AND I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT YOU'RE
4
   DIFFERENT: A LOT OF PEOPLE DO. AND THAT'S WHAT I'M
5
    JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND A LITTLE.
6
7
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: NO, I DON'T.
           THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
8
            JUROR NUMBER 5, GOOD MORNING.
9
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: GOOD MORNING,
10
    SIR.
11
12
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
    ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
13
14
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO. NOT AT THIS
15
   TIME.
            THE COURT: YOU ALSO SAID THAT SOME PEOPLE
16
   CLOSE TO YOU HAVE BEEN THE VICTIM OF HOMICIDE, A COUSIN
17
18
    AND --
19
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: A CLOSE FRIEND
20
   OF THE FAMILY.
21
            THE COURT: AND THEN A DAUGHTER'S EX-BOYFRIEND?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES, SIR.
22
            THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO DID THOSE
23: l
    HAPPEN?
24
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: THAT WAS LAST
25
   YEAR.
26
            THE COURT: WHICH ONE?
27
28.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: PARDON?
```

```
THE COURT: WHICH ONE WAS THAT? THE FRIEND OR
1
2
   COUSIN?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO. A FRIEND.
3
            THE COURT: A COUSIN?
4.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO FRIEND.
5
            THE COURT: AM I WRONG? DID I MISREAD THAT YOU
6
7
   HAD A COUSIN --
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO. I THINK I
8
   PUT COUSIN FOR ANOTHER PART. I MAY HAVE DONE THAT BY
9
10
   MISTAKE. I HAD A FRIEND OF THE FAMILY.
            THE COURT: I'M SORRY. YOU HAD A COUSIN WHO
11
   WAS ACCUSED?
12
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES.
13
            THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
14
15
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: I'D SAY A GOOD
    TEN YEARS.
16
            THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT EITHER OF
17:
    THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A
18
19
    JUROR?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO. SIR.
20
            THE COURT: THE SITUATION INVOLVING YOUR
21
   DAUGHTER'S EX-BOYFRIEND, IS THAT --
22
            DID YOU KNOW HIM?
23
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES.
24
            THE COURT: SO WERE YOU CLOSE TO HIM?
25:
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NOT REALLY.
26
    MEAN, I KNEW OF HIM. HE HAD COME TO OUR HOUSE.
27
    BECAME -- BEFRIENDED THE FAMILY, BUT IT WASN'T SOMETHING
28
```

```
THAT WE WERE, YOU KNOW, REAL CLOSE.
1
2
            THE COURT: CAN YOU GIVE ME SOME IDEA OF THE
3
   CIRCUMSTANCES OF WHAT HAPPENED TO HIM? IN OTHER WORDS,
4
   WAS IT A RANDOM STREET CRIME?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES, SIR.
5
           THE COURT: WAS IT SOMEONE THAT HE KNEW?
6
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO. IT WAS A
7
    RANDOM STREET CRIME.
8
            THE COURT: ANY KIND OF GANG OVERTONES?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: I BELIEVE SO.
10
    IT'S STILL IN THE PROCESS OF BEING INVESTIGATED.
11
            THE COURT: YOU THINK YOU CAN PUT THAT ASIDE
12
    AND JUDGE THIS CASE FROM THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED?
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES, SIR. I
14
15
    CAN.
16
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YOU'RE WELCOME.
            THE COURT: JUROR NUMBER 6, GOOD MORNING.
18
19
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. P-9765: GOOD MORNING.
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
20:
    ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
21
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. P-9765: NOT AT THIS
22
    TIME, NO.
23
             THE COURT: WELL, I DIDN'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
24
    FOR YOU.
25
             YOU DID TELL US ABOUT AN APPOINTMENT THAT YOU
26
    HAVE, AND I TOOK A NOTE OF THAT.
27
28.
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. P-9765: OKAY.
```

1 THE COURT: JUST AS I FAILED TO MENTION, Ź JUROR 3, YOU TOLD US YOU HAVE AN OBLIGATION IN THE MIDDLE OF MARCH. I MADE NOTE OF THAT TOO. I DON'T 3 THINK WE'RE GOING TO INTERFERE WITH THAT. 4 5 I KNOW EVERYTHING FOR JUROR 6. JUROR 7, GOOD MORNING. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. H-4884: MORNING. THE COURT: HOW ARE YOU TODAY? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. H-4884: FINE. 9 THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR 10 ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. H-4884: NO. 12 THE COURT: YOU HAVE ALSO SAID THAT YOU HAVE 13 SOME RELIGIOUS BELIEFS. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. H-4884: YEAH, I DO. 15 BELIEVE IN THE TEN COMMANDMENTS, THOU SHALL NOT KILL. 16 17 THE COURT: WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO FOLLOW THE LEGAL PRINCIPLES THAT WE GIVE IN THE INSTRUCTIONS IN 18 THIS CASE, OR WOULD YOUR RELIGIOUS VIEWS HAVE SOME 19 20 INFLUENCE? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. H-4884: YES, IT WOULD, BECAUSE I SAID ALSO IN MY STATEMENT THAT I BELIEVE IN 22 THE DEATH PENALTY. IF THE PERSON THAT WAS THE MURDERER 23 THOUGHT OUT AND KILLED THAT PERSON AND KILLED THEM, THEN 24 HE GETS THE DEATH PENALTY. IF HE ONLY SHOT HIM OR 25 KILLED HIM ACCIDENTALLY, THEN MAYBE HE DOESN'T GET. THE 26 DEATH PENALTY. THAT'S MY VIEWPOINT. I DON'T KNOW. 27

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I BELIEVE THE

```
INSTRUCTIONS ARE GOING TO INDICATE THAT EVEN IN THE CASE
1
2
   OF SOMEONE WHO INTENTIONALLY KILLED ANOTHER HUMAN BEING.
   ALL OF THESE FACTORS THAT I TALKED ABOUT, AGGRAVATING
3
   AND MITIGATING, STILL COME INTO PLAY. SO IT WOULD BE
   POSSIBLE FOR A JUROR FOLLOWING THE LAW TO DETERMINE THAT
5
    THE DEATH PENALTY IS NOT WARRANTED FOR SOMEONE WHO WAS
6
7
   AN INTENTIONAL KILLER.
            IF YOU WERE INSTRUCTED IN THAT WAY, WOULD YOU
8
    BE ABLE TO FOLLOW THAT INSTRUCTION, OR WOULD YOUR OWN
9
    PERSONAL VIEWS BE WHAT YOU WOULD FOLLOW?
10
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. H-4884: I HAVE RESPECT
11
   FOR THE LAW, AND I WOULD FOLLOW YOUR INSTRUCTIONS.
12
            THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
13
            JUROR NUMBER 8, GOOD MORNING.
14
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4528: GOOD MORNING.
15
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
16
    ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4528: JUST ONE TRIVIAL
18
    THING. I HAVE AN APPOINTMENT ON MARCH 10TH WITH A
19
    NEUROLOGIST IN THE MORNING.
20
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I MADE A NOTE OF THAT.
21
            YOU EXPRESSED YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE PENALTY
22
    ISSUES IN THE CASE. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER -- ANYTHING
23
    FURTHER TO ADD ON THAT? ANY OTHER THOUGHTS OR THINGS
24
    THAT HAVE OCCURRED TO YOU?
25
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4528: NO, I DON'T
26
    THINK SO. I SUPPORT THE DEATH PENALTY.
27
             THE COURT: YOU SAID --
28:
```

PARDON ME? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4528: I REALLY HAVEN'T GIVEN MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES ANY THOUGHT. I GUESS I'M 3 NOT EVEN SURE WHAT IT MEANS. I WOULD HAVE TO WAIT AND 5 LISTEN TO WHAT I HEAR IN COURT. THE COURT: YOU SAID, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT 6 7 "MY VIEWS ARE MORE COMPLEX THAN AN EYE FOR AN EYE." 8 WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4528: WELL, I CIRCLED THE NUMBER 1, FIRST OF ALL, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT I 10 11 THOUGHT MY FEELINGS WERE. THEN WHEN I BEGAN TO ANSWER THE QUESTION, I THOUGHT THIS REALLY DOESN'T FIT WHAT I 12 THINK. I HAD ALREADY CIRCLED IT, SO I SAID I'M NOT 13 GOING TO BEGIN AGAIN. THAT'S WHY I SAID WHAT I SAID. 14 15 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 16 JUROR NUMBER 9, GOOD MORNING. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: GOOD MORNING, 18 SIR. THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO 19 20 YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES. 21 22 THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: SIR, I DO NOT 23. BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY. 24 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. 25 26 AS I HOPE -- I'VE TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO OTHERS.

EVERYONE CAN HAVE THEIR OWN PERSONAL VIEWS, BUT IT 'S

THE JOB OF THE JURORS TO NOT REACT AUTOMATICALLY ONE WAY

27

```
OR THE OTHER BASED UPON THEIR PERSONAL VIEWS, BUT TO
1
2
   FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS AND ENGAGE IN THE WEIGHING AND
3
   CONSIDERATION OF ALL THE EVIDENCE.
4
            WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO DO THAT?
5
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES.
            THE COURT: I'M NOT TRYING TO TWIST YOUR ARM.
6
7
    I M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. IN OTHER WORDS, DO YOU
    THINK YOU COULD DO THAT?
8
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES.
9.
            THE COURT: YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAD A FRIEND
10
11
    WHO WAS SHOT, WHO WAS WORKING AS A GUARD AT A LIQUOR
12
    STORE?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES, SIR.
13
            THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
14
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: THREE YEARS AGO.
15
16
            THE COURT: YOU NEED TO PUT THAT ASIDE AND
17
    FOCUS ON THE EVIDENCE HERE. CAN YOU DO THAT?
18
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750:
19
            THE COURT: YOU INDICATED THAT YOU THINK IT
    MIGHT BE HARD FOR YOU TO JUDGE SOMEONE ELSE'S LIFE?
20
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES, SIR. YOU
21
    HIT IT ON THE NOSE. THAT'S IT.
22
             THE COURT: WE'RE NOT ASKING ANYONE TO DO THAT
23
    IN A DIRECT SENSE. IN OTHER WORDS, WE'RE -- NO ONE IS
24
    GOING TO BE SAYING, YOU KNOW, THIS IS A GOOD PERSON OR A
25
    BAD PERSON. IT'S CERTAINLY SOMETHING THAT'S INVOLVED IN
26.
    WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE. IT'S OBVIOUSLY DETERMINING THE
27
    OUTCOME.
28
```

```
1
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: WELL, SIR, I'M A
2
    VERY SENSITIVE PERSON. I DO NOT WANT TO JUDGE NOBODY'S
    LIFE LIKE THAT. I REALLY DON'T. I CAN'T REALLY HANDLE
3
4.
    IT.
            THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU WERE PICKED ON THIS
5
6
    JURY, HOW DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD DEAL WITH THAT? WOULD
7
    YOU DO YOUR DUTY, OR WOULD YOU SAY, JUDGE, I CAN'T
8
    HANDLE THIS?
9
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: NO. I WILL DO
10
    WHAT I'M SUPPOSED TO DO.
11
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
12:
            WE'LL GO DOWN TO THE SECOND ROW.
13
            JUROR IN SEAT 10, GOOD MORNING.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: GOOD MORNING.
14
            THE COURT: HOW ARE YOU?
15
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: GOOD, THANK YOU.
16
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
17
    ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
18
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: NO. SIR.
19
20
            THE COURT: I DID NOT HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP
21
    QUESTIONS.
22
            JUROR 11, GOOD MORNING.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: GOOD MORNING.
23
            THE COURT: DON'T FEEL BAD ABOUT PUTTING THE
24
    WRONG NUMBER DOWN. I KNOW IT'S CONFUSING, AND YOU'RE
    NOT THE ONLY ONE.
26
27
            WE UNDERSTOOD.
            I WAS JUST A LITTLE CURIOUS ABOUT YOUR ANSWER
28
```

```
ABOUT JURIES. HAVE YOU SERVED ON A JURY BEFORE?
1
2.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES, SIR.
3
            THE COURT: ABOUT HOW MANY TIMES?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: ONE TIME, SIR.
4
5
    IT WAS A CIVIL CASE.
            THE COURT: ONE CIVIL CASE?
6
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES. IN 2003.
7.
8
            THE COURT: HAVE YOU BEEN CALLED OTHER TIMES?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES, SIR. I'VE
9
10
    BEEN CALLED, BUT I WASN'T PICKED -- I WASN'T SELECTED.
11
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
12
            JUROR IN SEAT 12, GOOD MORNING.
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: GOOD MORNING.
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
14
    ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
15
16
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: AFTER -- I'M NOT
17
    SURE I CAN BE IMPARTIAL, HAVING MY SON KILLED.
            THE COURT: RIGHT.
18
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: IT'S STILL TOO
19
20
    FRESH.
            THE COURT: RIGHT. THAT WAS IN DECEMBER OF
21
    2007?
22
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: (NODS HEAD UP
23
    AND DOWN).
24
            SO JUST OVER A YEAR AGO.
25
            THE COURT: YOU DID INDICATE THAT IT MIGHT BE
26
27
    HARD FOR YOU TO DEAL WITH.
            HAVE YOU GIVEN MORE THOUGHT TO THAT?
28
```

```
1
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: YEAH. YEAH.
2
   IT'S STILL REALLY -- I'M NOT SURE I CAN BE IMPARTIAL.
3
   IT'S --
4
            THE COURT: SURE.
5
           I THINK --
6
            WOULD IT BE --
7
            WITHOUT REGARD TO WHETHER YOU WERE IMPARTIAL OR
8
   NOT, WOULD IT BRING BACK A LOT OF BAD MEMORIES THAT
9
   WOULD MAKE YOU EMOTIONAL?
10
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: OH, YEAH.
11
            THE COURT: IT KIND OF LOOKS THAT WAY. YOU'RE
12
   TEARING UP A LITTLE BIT.
13
           THANK YOU.
14
            JUROR IN SEAT 13, GOOD MORNING.
15.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: GOOD MORNING,
16
   YOUR HONOR.
            THE COURT: HOW ARE YOU?
17
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849? FINE, THANK YOU.
18
19
           THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: YES, I HAVE A
20
21
   CHANGE.
22
            ON ONE OF THE QUESTIONS ABOUT ANY CLOSE FRIEND
    OR FAMILY MEMBERS, I'M NOT SURE IF THE QUESTION WAS HAVE
23
   THEY BEEN INVOLVED IN GANGS OR HAVE THEY BEEN A VICTIM
24
   OF A GANG INCIDENT. I HAVE A VERY CLOSE FRIEND WHOSE
25.
    SON WAS SHOT AND KILLED BY A GANG MEMBER.
26
         THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
27
28
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: APPROXIMATELY
```

NINE YEARS AGO. 1 THE COURT: YOU'VE HEARD MY DISCUSSION WITH 2... 3 SOME OF THE OTHER JURORS. YOU WOULD NEED TO PUT THAT ASIDE AND JUDGE THIS CASE ONLY FROM THE EVIDENCE 5 PRESENTED. 6 CAN YOU DO THAT? 7 PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. D-5849: ABSOLUTELY. THE COURT: OKAY. WERE THERE ANY OTHER ISSUES 8 9 THAT YOU WANTED TO ADD? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: NO, THANK YOU. 10 THE COURT: YOU WORK AS A PROSECUTOR FOR THE 11 12 CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: YES, I DO. 13 THE COURT: YOU ALSO HAVE SOME FAMILY AND 14 FRIENDS INVOLVED IN THE COURTS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT, I 15 THINK, FROM WHAT YOU INDICATED. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: THAT'S CORRECT. 17 18 THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT 19 BACKGROUND THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: NO. 21. THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, YOU UNDERSTAND YOU'RE A PROSECUTOR IN YOUR JOB, BUT YOU'RE NOT A 22 PROSECUTOR AS A JUROR? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: I UNDERSTAND. 24 THE COURT: YOU NEED TO DECIDE THIS CASE RIGHT 25. DOWN THE MIDDLE. 26: 27 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: I UNDERSTAND. THE COURT: CAN YOU DO THAT? 28

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: YES, I CAN. 2. THE COURT: HOW MUCH OF YOUR WORK HAS INVOLVED 3 STREET GANGS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: A GREAT DEAL OF 4 MY WORK HAS INVOLVED STREET GANGS AS I AM A NEIGHBORHOOD 5 PROSECUTOR. SO I WORK IN A COMMUNITY. THAT COMMUNITY 6 7 DOES HAVE GANG MEMBERS. THE COURT: IN WHAT WAY DOES IT COME UP? IN 8 OTHER WORDS, DO YOU GET INVOLVED WITH GANG INJUNCTIONS, 9 OR IS IT JUST SORT OF, AS I WAS KIND OF DESCRIBING 10 EARLIER, A BACKDROP AS TO OTHER KINDS OF CRIMES OR 11 12 SOCIAL ISSUES THAT COME UP? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: I'M NOT ONE OF 14 THE GANG INJUNCTION ATTORNEYS, BUT I DEAL WITH EDUCATING 15 THE COMMUNITY ABOUT QUALITY OF LIFE CRIMES. MANY OF THE 16 PEOPLE WHO CROSS MY PATH ARE GANG MEMBERS WHO I HAVE 17 PROSECUTED, BUT MAINLY I'M WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY ON 18 ABATING CRIME AND DEALING WITH MISDEMEANOR CRIMES. 19 THE COURT: YOU INDICATED, IN RESPONSE TO A 20 LATER QUESTION, THAT IN GENERAL, AS A MATTER OF POLICY, 21 YOU'RE AGAINST THE DEATH PENALTY? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: IN GENERAL. AS I MENTIONED ALSO, DEPENDING ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES, YOU 2:3 KNOW, I POSSIBLY COULD GO THE OTHER DIRECTION. 25 THE COURT: WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO LOOK AT AND CONSIDER ALL OF THE EVIDENCE IN REACHING THE APPROPRIATE 27 PENALTY?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: YES. I BELIEVE

```
1
   I COULD.
           THE COURT: THANK YOU.
2
3
            JUROR 14, GOOD MORNING.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: GOOD MORNING.
4
5
            THE COURT: HOW ARE YOU TODAY?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: VERY WELL.
6
    THANK YOU. HOW ARE YOU?
7
            THE COURT: I'M VERY WELL, THANK YOU.
8
9
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: GOOD.
            THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
10
11
    ADDITIONS?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: I DO HAVE AN
12
13
    ADDITION: I RECALL A QUESTION WHEREBY IF YOU HAD A
14
    FAMILY MEMBER OR A FRIEND, SOMEONE CLOSE TO YOU IN --
    SERVING TIME. I DO HAVE A FAMILY MEMBER CURRENTLY
15
    SERVING TIME.
16
17
            THE COURT: COULD YOU TELL US ABOUT THAT.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: I KNOW VERY
18
    LITTLE ABOUT IT. A BROTHER. HE WAS SENTENCED TWO YEARS
19
    AGO FOR INAPPROPRIATE RELATIONSHIP WITH A FEMALE UNDER
20
21
    18.
            THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
22
    EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR?
23.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: NOT AT ALL.
24
            THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
25
26
            YOU INDICATED YOU HAVE A TRIP PLANNED, AND I'VE
27
    MADE A NOTE OF THAT.
            YOU INDICATED, IN REGARD TO THE QUESTIONS ABOUT
28.
```

```
PENALTY, THAT YOU'VE NEVER REALLY BEEN CONFRONTED WITH
1
2
   THIS BEFORE AND HADN'T GIVEN IT A LOT OF THOUGHT.
3
   YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER THOUGHTS, HAVING FILLED OUT THE
   QUESTIONNAIRE, COME BACK HERE TODAY, HEARD ME AND SOME
5
   OTHER PEOPLE TALK ABOUT THIS, DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER
    THOUGHTS ABOUT YOUR OWN VIEWS?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: NO, I DON'T.
7
8
            THE COURT: IF YOU WERE SELECTED AS A JUROR, DO
9
   YOU THINK YOU'D BE ABLE TO ENGAGE IN THE WEIGHING OF
    EVIDENCE IN THE WAY THAT I DESCRIBED EARLIER?
10
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: ABSOLUTELY.
11
12
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: THANK YOU.
13
14
           THE COURT: JUROR 15, GOOD MORNING.
15
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: GOOD MORNING.
16
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
   ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: THE ONLY THING
18
    IS I'VE BEEN TAPPED FOR MARCH 19TH MORNING FUNCTION, AND
19
20
    I'D LIKE TO PARTICIPATE.
            THE COURT: I DON'T THINK WE'LL INTERFERE WITH
21
22
   THAT, BUT I'VE MADE A NOTE OF IT.
23
            THANK YOU.
            I DIDN'T HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS.
24
            JUROR 16, GOOD MORNING.
25
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: GOOD MORNING.
26
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
27
    ADDITIONS?
28
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: YEAH. I --
1
   THIS, (INDICATING), THAT CREATES THE MICKEY MOUSE LIKE
2...
3:
   HANDWRITING YOU'RE LOOKING AT, I HAVE TWO APPOINTMENTS
   COMING UP LATE IN THE DAY TO HAVE THIS REMOVED AND
4.
5
   REPLACED.
            THE COURT: LATE TODAY?
6
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: LATE IN THE DAY.
7
           THE COURT: LATE IN DAYS IN THE FUTURE?
8
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: RIGHT.
9
           THE COURT: OKAY, DO YOU HAVE THE DATES?
10
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: ONE WILL BE THIS
11
12.
   THURSDAY.
            THE COURT: OKAY.
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: I HAVE A 3:50
14
    APPOINTMENT. I'M GOING TO WORK WITH THE DOCTOR TO SEE
15
    IF I CAN GET A SATURDAY APPOINTMENT FOR THE SECOND ONE.
16
17
            THE COURT: YOUR HANDWRITING ACTUALLY WASHIT
    ALL THAT BAD FOR SOMEWHERE WEARING A CAST.
18
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: THANK YOU,
19
   YOUR HONOR.
20
            THE COURT: I COULD UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU WROTE.
21
   DON'T FEEL BAD ABOUT IT.
22
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: THAT'S QUITE
23:
24
    SCARY.
            THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT HAVING A
25
    CAST THAT WOULD INTERFERE WITH YOUR ABILITY TO BE A
    JUROR? I KNOW YOU HAVE A LITTLE TROUBLE WRITING. ANY
27
    KIND OF PAIN OR SENSITIVITY?
28
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: NOT ON DRUGS FOR
1
2.
   THE PAIN, AND THE PAIN IS THERE, BUT IT'S NOT THAT BAD,
3:
   NO. NOT AT ALL.
            THE COURT: OKAY. GIVEN THE LIMITATIONS OF
4
   WHAT LITTLE YOU COULD WRITE, DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER
5
   THOUGHTS OR VIEWS ABOUT THE PENALTY OR ANY OF THE ISSUES
6
7
   IN THIS CASE THAT YOU WANT TO ADD?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: NO. I THINK I'M
8
   BY NATURE A VERY FAIR PERSON. I THINK I COULD LOOK AT
   ANY INFORMATION THAT COMES MY WAY FAIRLY AND
10
11
    IMPARTIALLY.
           THE COURT: THANK YOU.
12
           JUROR 17, GOOD MORNING.
13
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-9579: GOOD MORNING.
14
           THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
15
   ADDITIONS?
16
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-9579: NO.
            THE COURT: I DIDN'T HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP
18
19
   QUESTIONS.
20
            JUROR 18, GOOD MORNING.
     PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: GOOD MORNING.
21
   SIR.
22
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
23
   ADDITIONS?
24
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: YES. I DO HAVE
25
   AN ADDITION. I FORGOT. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS STATING,
26
    DO WE HAVE A FAMILY MEMBER OR A FRIEND THAT HAS BEEN
27
    CONVICTED, I BELIEVE -- IT WAS SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I
28
```

```
FORGOT I HAVE A HALF-SISTER WHO!'S MARRIED. MY
1
2.
    BROTHER-IN-LAW IS IN JAIL. HE WAS CONVICTED. HE'S BEEN
3
    IN THERE ABOUT 25 YEARS. SHE MARRIED HIM IN THERE. I
    DON'T KNOW HIM PERSONALLY, BUT I DID FAIL TO PUT THAT ON
4
    THERE. I FORGOT.
5
6
            THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT
7
    WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS?
8
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: NO.
            THE COURT: YOU HAVE ANY FEELINGS ABOUT HOW
9
    FAIRLY HE WAS TREATED IN HIS COURT PROCEEDINGS?
10
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: NO. BECAUSE I
11
   DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS REALLY.
12
13
            THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR ADDING THAT.
14
            GO DOWN TO THE FIRST ROW.
            JUROR IN SEAT 19, GOOD MORNING.
15
16
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-8493: GOOD MORNING.
17
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-8493: NO.
18
            THE COURT: I DIDN'T HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP.
19
            JUROR IN SEAT 20, GOOD MORNING.
20
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. A-1180: GOOD MORNING.
21
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
22
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. A-1180: NO.
23
            THE COURT: THEN I DIDN'T HAVE FOLLOW-UP FOR
24
25
   YOU.
26
            JUROR IN SEAT 21, GOOD MORNING.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: GOOD MORNING,
2.7
    YOUR HONOR.
28:
```

,	MILE COLUMN DED VOIL HAVE ANYMITMOS
1	THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NO.
3.	THE COURT: YOU WORK AT THE SHERIFF'S
4	DEPARTMENT?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: YES, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: WHAT IS
7	WHAT KIND OF WORK DO YOU DO THERE DAY TO DAY?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749; COMPUTER.
9	COMPUTER SPECIALIST. TROUBLESHOOTING.
LO.	THE COURT: ARE YOU ONE OF THE PEOPLE WHO MAKE
Li	SURE THAT THE COMPUTERS RUN PROPERLY?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: YES.
13	THE COURT: AS OPPOSED TO BEING INVOLVED IN A
14	CERTAIN COMPUTER TYPE FUNCTION, LIKE PUTTING TOGETHER A
15	DATABASE?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NO.
17	THE COURT: YOU'RE ONE OF THE PEOPLE WHO KEEP
18	IT RUNNING?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: YES.
20	THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR
21	EXPERIENCE WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THAT WOULD
22	AFFECT YOU ONE WAY OR THE OTHER?
23.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NO, YOUR HONOR.
24	THE COURT: WOULD YOU FEEL ANY PRESSURE TO
25	DECIDE THIS CASE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER BASED UPON YOUR
26	AFFILIATION WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT?
27	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NO.
28	THE COURT: ONE OF THE QUESTIONS ASKS JURORS TO

```
PUT THEMSELVES INTO ONE OF FOUR GROUPS:
1
2
           THOSE WHO FEEL THEY WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE
3
    FOR DEATH, THOSE WHO FEEL THEY WOULD AUTOMATICALLY VOTE
    FOR LIFE IN PRISON, THOSE WHO AGREE WITH THE DEATH
4
5
    PENALTY LAW BUT WHO THINK THAT THEY WOULD NEVER BE ABLE
    TO PERSONALLY VOTE FOR A DEATH VERDICT, AND THEN THE
7
    LAST GROUP BEING THOSE WHO ARE COMFORTABLE WITH THE
8
    PROCESS, WHO FEEL THE DEATH PENALTY MAY BE APPROPRIATE
9
    IN SOME CASES BUT NOT OTHERS, AND WHO WOULD FEEL THAT
    THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO FAIRLY JUDGE ALL OF THE
10
11
    EVIDENCE AND WEIGH EVERYTHING IN AN OPEN-MINDED WAY.
12
            DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA AS TO WHICH OF THOSE
    GROUPS YOU WOULD FALL INTO?
13
14
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NOT.
15
            THE COURT: YOU DON'T?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NO.
16
            THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY PERSONAL VIEWS
17
   ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY?
18
19
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NO.
20
            THE COURT: AS I WAS SAYING WITH ONE OF THE
21
    OTHER JURORS, IF YOU WERE OUT FOR COFFEE WITH SOME
    FRIENDS AND ONE OF YOUR FRIENDS SAID, YOU KNOW, I WAS
22
    JUST READING THIS ARTICLE ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, AND
    HERE'S WHAT I THINK; WOULD YOU HAVE AN OPINION ABOUT THE
24
    ISSUE?
25
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-3749: NOTHING, YOUR
26
27
    HONOR.
28
            THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
```

```
JUROR IN SEAT 22, GOOD MORNING.
2
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. A-0298: GOOD MORNING,
 3
    YOUR HONOR.
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
 4
 5
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. A-0298: I DO NOT,
՝ 6
    YOUR HONOR.
 7
            THE COURT: I DON'T HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP EITHER.
            THANK YOU.
 8
 9.
            JUROR IN SEAT 23, GOOD MORNING.
10
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: GOOD MORNING.
11
         THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
12
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: YES, SIR. I DO
   BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY, BUT I WILL FIND IT HARD
13
14
    FOR ME MYSELF TO DETERMINE THAT FOR SOMEBODY ELSE.
            THE COURT: IF YOU WERE SELECTED, WOULD YOU BE
15
16
    ABLE TO DO IT?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: I'M NOT SURE.
17
            THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT SURE?
18
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: NO.
19
             THE COURT: YOU WORK FOR THE CUSTOMS AND BORDER
20
    AGENCY?
21
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: CORRECT.
22
23
             THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF THINGS DO YOU DO EACH
    DAY?
24
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: IT VARIES. SOME
25
    DAYS I WORK IN THE CUSTOMS SIDE CHECKING FOR NARCOTICS
26
    OR OTHER PROHIBITIVE ITEMS. SOME DAYS I WORK IN
27
     IMMIGRATION. SOME DAYS I WORK FOR COUNTERTERRORISM.
28
```

```
THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE VARIOUS LOCATIONS WHERE
1
2
    YOU WORK?
3
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: IT'S IN THE
4
   AIRPORT, LAX.
5
            THE COURT: BASICALLY AT THE AIRPORT?
6
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: YES.
7
            THE COURT: YOU'VE BEEN DOING THAT ABOUT TWO
8
    YEARS?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: CORRECT.
9
10
            THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
    EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS ONE WAY OR THE
11
12
    OTHER AS A JUROR?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6179: NO, SIR.
13
14
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
15
            JUROR 24, GOOD MORNING.
16
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. C-6782: MORNING, YOUR
17
    HONOR.
18
            THE COURT: HOW ARE YOU TODAY?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. C-6782: VERY GOOD.
19
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONS OR
20
   CORRECTIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
21
22
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. C-6782: NO, SIR.
            THE COURT: YOU'VE BEEN WORKING A NUMBER OF
23
    YEARS AS A COMMUNICATIONS SUPERVISOR AT A 911 CENTER?
24
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. C-6782: THAT'S CORRECT,
25
    SIR.
26
            THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
27
    EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR?
28:
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. C-6782: NO. YOUR HONOR.
1
2
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
           JUROR 25, GOOD MORNING.
3
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: HI.
4
5
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES OR
6
   ADDITIONS TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
7
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: YEAH. I KNOW
8.
   THIS SOUNDS REALLY SELFISH, BUT IT'S NOT THE DEATH
    PENALTY OR THE OTHER OPTION. I JUST LIKE DON'T KNOW --
9
    I KNOW SOMEBODY HAS TO DECIDE WHAT'S RIGHT FOR THIS
10
    DEFENDANT, HIM, BUT LIKE I DON'T KNOW THAT I FEEL LIKE I
11
12
    COULD DECIDE FOR SOMEBODY ELSE WHAT'S RIGHT. IT GIVES
    ME ANXIETY JUST THINKING ABOUT IT IN DETERMINING HOW
13
14
    SOMEONE'S LIFE IS GOING TO END UP.
15
            THE COURT: IF YOU WERE SELECTED IN THIS CASE,
16
    HOW DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD REACT?
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: UM, I DON'T
18
    KNOW.
19
            THE COURT: PARDON ME?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: I DON'T KNOW
20
    REALLY. I'VE NEVER DONE ANYTHING LIKE THIS BEFORE.
21
22
            THE COURT: YOU'VE NEVER BEEN ON A JURY?
23
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: NO.
            THE COURT: I GUESS WHAT I'M GETTING AT IS
24
    SOMETIMES JURORS SAY, WELL, YOU KNOW, I'M UNCOMFORTABLE
25
    WITH THE PROCESS, BUT IF I'M SELECTED, I'LL DO IT.
26
    OTHERS WILL SAY, YOU KNOW, I JUST -- I DON'T THINK I
27
    COULD EVER DO THIS, NO MATTER WHAT YOU TELL ME. I'M
28
```

```
TRYING TO GET SOME SENSE WHERE YOU THINK YOU FALL INTO
1
2
   THAT.
3
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: I MEAN, I THINK
4
   I COULD, YOU KNOW, FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS AND TRY MY BEST.
5
            THE COURT: WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO DISCUSS THE
6
   CASE WITH YOUR FELLOW JURORS?
7
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: YEAH.
            THE COURT: LISTEN TO WHAT THEY HAD TO SAY?
9
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: UH-HUH.
10
            THE COURT: AND ULTIMATELY MAKE A DECISION FOR
11
    YOURSELF? OR WOULD THAT BE THE STICKING POINT?
12
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-9855: I THINK I WOULD
13
   CONSIDER.
14
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
            THE JUROR IN SEAT 26, GOOD MORNING.
15
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: GOOD MORNING,
16
17
   YOUR HONOR.
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
18
19
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: NOTHING
20
   WHATSOEVER, YOUR HONOR.
21
            THE COURT: YOU ARE A COURT INTERPRETER?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: YES, I AM.
22
            THE COURT: YOU'VE WORKED IN THE STATE COURTS?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: YES, I HAVE.
24
             THE COURT: NOW, YOU'VE BEEN IN THE FEDERAL
25
    COURT FOR THE LAST EIGHT YEARS OR SO?
26:
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: YES.
27
28
             THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
```

1	EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: NO.
3	THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER
4	DO YOU INTERPRET FOR WITNESSES AND FOR
5	DEFENDANTS?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: I DO BOTH
7	WITNESSES AND DEFENDANTS.
8	THE COURT: HAVE YOU EVER WORKED IN A CASE
9	INVOLVING THE DEATH PENALTY?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: I BELIEVE I
11	WORKED ON ONE CASE, BUT THAT WAS MANY YEARS AGO, AND I
1:2	CANNOT REMEMBER THE DETAILS.
13	THE COURT: WAS IT A TRIAL WHEN WITNESSES CAME
14	IN TO TESTIFY AS OPPOSED TO A PRETRIAL PROCEEDING?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: IT WAS I
16	BELIEVE IT WAS AT THE PRETRIAL, PRELIM, PRELIMINARY
17	HEARING.
18	THE COURT: SO I GUESS WHAT I'M REALLY GETTING
19	AT IS DO YOU RECALL EVER PARTICIPATING THROUGH MOST OF A
20	CAPITAL CASE?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: NO.
22	THE COURT: A TRIAL?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: I HAVE NEVER
24	PARTICIPATED IN A WHOLE TRIAL.
25	THE COURT: LIKE SOME OF THE OTHER JURORS, YOU
26	INDICATED PERSONALLY YOU HAVE RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE
27	DEATH PENALTY AS AN APPROPRIATE POLICY FOR THE STATE; IS
28	THAT ACCURATE?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: THAT IS CORRECT,
1
2
    YES.
            THE COURT: AS I READ IT, YOU SAY THAT YOU
3
    THINK YOU CAN PUT THAT ASIDE AND FOLLOW THE ROLE AS
4
    INDICATED IN THE INSTRUCTIONS; IS THAT RIGHT?
5
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: YES. THAT'S
6
    CORRECT.
7
            THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS ON THAT?
8
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099:
                                           NO.
9
            THE COURT: ANY RESERVATIONS?
10
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4099: NONE WHATSOEVER.
11
            THE COURT: THANK YOU.
12
            JUROR 27, GOOD MORNING.
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6745: GOOD MORNING,
14
    YOUR HONOR.
15
            THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONS OR
16
17
    CORRECTIONS?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. G-6745: NO.
18
             THE COURT: I DIDN'T HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP
19
   OUESTIONS.
20
             THAT COMPLETES ALL OF MY QUESTIONS.
21
             WE PROBABLY SHOULD TAKE A SHORT BREAK SO PROPLE
22
    CAN STRETCH THEIR LEGS AND USE THE RESTROOM AND SO
23
    FORTH.
24
25
            LET'S RETURN AT 11:35. 11:35.
            YOU'LL RETURN TO THE SAME SEATS WHERE YOU ARE
26
27
    NOW.
             THOSE OF YOU IN THE AUDIENCE NEED TO RETURN AS
28
```

```
WELL. 11:35.
1
2
            PLEASE DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE.
3
4
                (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
5
6
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
7
                HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE
                PRESENCE OF THE PROSPECTIVE
8
9
                JURORS:)
10
11
            THE COURT: ARE WE READY?
         MR. DHANIDINA: YES.
12
13
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WE'RE READY.
            THE COURT: LET'S BRING THEM IN.
14
15
            THE CLERK: THAT JUROR DID LEAVE.
16
            THE COURT: WHICH JUROR?
17
            THE CLERK: B-7993.
18
            THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LEFT THE BUILDING?
            THE CLERK: YES. HE ANSWERED YES, AND THEN
19
20
    HE -- I GUESS HE EXCUSED HIMSELF. HE WANTED TO GO FROM
21
    THE BEGINNING, THOUGH. I REMEMBER.
22
            THE COURT: I'M PREPARED TO HAVE HIM CALLED AND
    DIRECTED TO COME BACK ON WEDNESDAY, UNLESS YOU ALL FEEL
23
24
    OTHERWISE.
            MR. DHANIDINA: IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE
25
26
   TO ME.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: I'M THINKING.
27
            THE COURT: WE CAN DECIDE BY THE END OF THE
28
```

```
DAY.
1
            MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. THANKS.
2
3.
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
4
                HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE
5
                OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS:)
6
7
            THE COURT: EVERYONE IS PRESENT.
8
            NOW THE ATTORNEYS GET TO ASK FOLLOW-UP
9
    QUESTIONS, AND WE'LL START FIRST WITH THE DEFENSE.
10
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11
            THE COURT: MR. SCHMOCKER.
12
            MR. SCHMOCKER: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND
13:
14
    GENTLEMEN...
            I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS. I WON'T BE SPEAKING
15
    WITH EVERYONE, BUT I'M NOT TRYING TO -- I JUST TRY TO
16
    COVER THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO COVER. I WILL TELL YOU
17
    THIS AS A PREFACE, IS ANYBODY NERVOUS?
18
19
            GOOD.
             I'M NERVOUS TOO. IT'S KIND OF THE WAY IT IS.
20
    WE'LL WORK IT THROUGH TOGETHER, I HOPE.
21
22
            JUROR NUMBER 3.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: UH-HUH.
23
            MR. SCHMOCKER: ARE YOU NERVOUS?
24
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES.
25
            MR. SCHMOCKER: I NOTICED WHEN YOU WERE TALKING
26
    TO THE JUDGE -- IT'S A REALLY NICE ATMOSPHERE IN THIS
27
     COURT FOR A COURTROOM; WOULDN'T YOU AGREE?
28
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. 2 MR. SCHMOCKER: I NOTICED WHEN YOU WERE SPEAKING TO THE JUDGE, YOUR ANSWERS WERE PRETTY SHORT. 3. 4 WAS THAT BECAUSE WERE YOU NERVOUS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: PROBABLY. 5 6 MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK THAT --CAN YOU SEE YOURSELF --7 YOU KNOW A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE CASE. YOU KNOW 8 MY CLIENT'S BEEN CONVICTED OF MURDER. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: CORRECT. MR. SCHMOCKER: THERE ARE TWO PEOPLE MURDERED 11 ACTUALLY, THE SAME EVENT. THAT INFORMATION WAS GIVEN TO 12 YOU IN THE JURY QUESTIONNAIRE; YOU RECALL THAT? 13. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT JUST GIVES US A SPECIAL 15 CIRCUMSTANCE. THAT SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE IS DOUBLE 16 17 HOMICIDE. THAT MEANS HE'S ELIGIBLE FOR THE DEATH 18 PENALTY. 19 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT MEANS -- THAT DOESN'T 21 22 MEAN --THAT MEANS THAT NO CASE IS GOING TO COME 23 BEFORE -- COMES BEFORE A JURY ON THE ISSUE OF DEATH 24 UNLESS THERE IS A SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE LIKE DOUBLE 25 26 HOMICIDE. 27 YOU WITH ME? 28 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES.

1 MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY, CAN YOU IMAGINE A 2 CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE YOU WOULD VOTE FOR LIFE -- FOR LIFE 3 WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE IN A CASE WHERE THERE 4 WAS A DOUBLE HOMICIDE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: I'VE NEVER BEEN 5 ON A JURY, SO I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO -- I DON'T KNOW. 6 I'D HAVE TO LOOK AT ALL THE EVIDENCE OR KNOW WHAT'S 7 8 GOING ON. I WOULDN'T KNOW ANYTHING OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. 9 MR. SCHMOCKER: WELL, THE JUDGE IS GOING TO 10 11 INSTRUCT YOU ON THE CASE, RIGHT? YOU'LL FOLLOW HIS 12 INSTRUCTIONS; IS THAT CORRECT? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. MR. SCHMOCKER: ONE OF THE THINGS HE 14 PREINSTRUCTED YOU ON WAS ON A POINT OF AGGRAVATION WHERE 15 16 HE SAID THAT AGGRAVATING FACTORS MUST SUBSTANTIALLY 17 OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING FACTORS. 18 UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES YOU COULD VOTE FOR DEATH; IS THAT FAIR? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. 20 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU THINK YOU COULD DO THAT? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. 22 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU THINK THAT IF THE 23 CIRCUMSTANCES -- THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES AND 24 MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES JUST WEIGH THE SAME, DO YOU 25 THINK YOU COULD VOTE FOR LIFE UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES? 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-7993: YES. 27 MR. SCHMOCKER: GOOD. 28

1 | THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 2 JUROR NUMBER 1, YOU DESCRIBED YOURSELF AS A FOLLOWER, IS THAT FAIR TO SAY, ON THE JURY 3 4 QUESTIONNAIRE? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: YES. 6 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT MR. HARRIS ON THIS ISSUE OF PENALTY IS ENTITLED TO YOUR INDIVIDUAL 7 OPINION, NOT JUST THE OPINION OF EVERYBODY ELSE? DOES 8 9 THAT MAKE SENSE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: YES. YES, IT 10 WOULD BE MY OPINION. 11 MR. SCHMOCKER: SO YOU WILL OFFER YOUR OPINION 12 TO THE JURY AND TO THE COURT IN REGARDS TO THIS MATTER, 13 14 CORRECT? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: YES. MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK THAT UNDER THE 16 RIGHT CIRCUMSTANCES -- UNDER THE RIGHT CIRCUMSTANCES 17 18 THAT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO VOTE FOR DEATH? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: IT DEPENDS ON 19 THE MITIGATING FACTORS AND -- I'M SORRY. I'M JUST 20 21 NERVOUS. MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU WOULD CONSIDER DEATH IN 22 REGARDS TO THIS CASE, WOULDN'T YOU? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: YEAH. I MEAN, 24 IT CAN GO BOTH WAYS. I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE 25 26 CASE. MR. SCHMOCKER: I GUESS THAT WOULD BE MY NEXT 27 QUESTION. WOULD YOU INDEED CONSIDER LIFE AS A 28

```
POSSIBILITY IN REGARDS TO THIS CASE ALSO?
1
2
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: YES.
3
           MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU'RE EQUALLY ATTUNED TO
   EITHER ONE OF THOSE PENALTIES; IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?
4
5
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-3563: THAT'S CORRECT.
8
           MR. SCHMOCKER: IS THERE ANYBODY IN THE JURY
   BOX, OR AMONG THE 27 CALLED SO FAR, WHO DISAGREE WITH
7
8
    JUROR NUMBER 1?
            WOULD YOU RAISE YOUR HAND IF YOU DISAGREE WITH
9
    NUMBER 1.
10
           OKAY. THERE'S NO HANDS.
11
           EVERYBODY AGREES, THEN?
12
            THANK YOU.
13
            JUROR NUMBER 4. THERE IS ALSO SOME DOUBT IN MY
14
    MIND -- I DIDN'T OUITE UNDERSTAND YOU THINK YOU COULD
15
    MAKE A DECISION ON THIS CASE, ISNUT THAT RIGHT?
16
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: YES, I DO.
17
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU'LL DO YOUR BEST TO MAKE A
18
19 DECISION, RIGHT?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: YES.
20
            MR. SCHMOCKER: CAN YOU IMAGINE A SITUATION --
21
            HAVE YOU BEEN ON A JURY BEFORE?
22
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: YES, I HAVE.
23
          MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT JURY THAT YOU WERE ON, WAS
24
    THERE EVER ANY DISAGREEMENTS IN THE JURY ROOM ABOUT WHAT
25
    SHOULD BE DONE?
26
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: YES, THERE WERE.
27
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IT WAS A GROUP OF 12 OF YOU
28
```

```
1
   THAT WERE MEETING, RIGHT.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: THAT'S CORRECT.
3
           MR. SCHMOCKER: WAS THERE MORE THAN ONE
4
   OPINION? HOW MANY OPINIONS WERE THERE, LET'S SAY, AT
5
    THE BEGINNING?
6
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: AT THE
7
   BEGINNING, SOMETIMES, IT WAS LIKE 6-4, 6-3, THAT
   DISAGREE AFTER THE CASE.
8
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DURING THAT -- DURING THOSE
9
   DISAGREEMENTS, WERE YOU ABLE TO VOICE YOUR OPINION AS TO
10
11
    WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: SOMETIMES I DID.
12
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DID YOU CONSIDER THE OPINIONS
13
   OF THE OTHER JURORS?
14
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: YES.
15
            MR. SCHMOCKER: ULTIMATELY DID YOU REACH A
16
   DIFFERENT CONCLUSION THAN YOU STARTED WITH, OR NOT?
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: NO. NOT REALLY.
18
    WE WERE TRYING TO NORMALLY -- SOMETIMES THE DISCUSSION
19
    WAS KIND OF A LITTLE BIT OFF OF OUR CASE. THE PEOPLE
    WERE NOT REALLY LISTENING TO THE CASE OF WHAT WAS GOING
21
    ON. THEY WERE TRYING TO PUT THEIR OWN OPINIONS TO IT.
22
    THAT WAS ONE OF THE DISCUSSIONS THAT WE WERE TRYING TO
23.
24
    RESOLVE.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IN THIS CASE YOU'RE GOING TO
25
   OFFER YOUR INDIVIDUAL OPINION; IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?
26
27
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: ON THE FACTS,
28
   YES.
```

```
MR. SCHMOCKER: YES. YOU UNDERSTAND THAT
1
2...
   NOBODY'S EVER GOING TO TELL YOU TO VOTE FOR DEATH?
3
   NOBODY'S GOING TO ORDER YOU TO DO THAT; DO YOU
    UNDERSTAND THAT?
5
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-5857: THAT'S CORRECT.
6
            MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NUMBER 5?
7
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES, SIR.
8
            MR. SCHMOCKER: HELLO.
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: HI.
9
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU WERE A VICTIM OF A CRIME;
10
    IS THAT RIGHT, OR WAS THAT SOMEBODY CLOSE TO YOU?
11
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: SOMEONE CLOSE TO
12
    THE FAMILY, YES, SIR.
13
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IS THAT GOING TO MAKE IT
14
    DIFFICULT FOR YOU TO BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE?
15
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: NO.
16
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU'VE BEEN A JUROR BEFORE?
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES, I HAVE.
18
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WERE YOU ABLE TO REACH A
19
    DECISION? WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT, WERE YOU ABLE TO
20
    REACH A DECISION IN THAT CASE?
21
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES.
22
            MR. SCHMOCKER! YOU'RE GOING TO OFFER YOUR
23
    INDIVIDUAL OPINION IN REGARDS TO WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN,
24.
    RIGHT?
25
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: YES.
26
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK YOU CAN FAIRLY
27
    CONSIDER A VERDICT OF LIFE -- LIFE WITHOUT THE
28
```

POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: I CAN CONSIDER BOTH, DEPENDING ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND THE EVIDENCE 3 PRESENTED, YES, OF COURSE. MR. SCHMOCKER: TELL ME THIS. THE JUDGE HAS 5 TALKED -- THERE'S BEEN DISCUSSION ABOUT LIFE WITHOUT 6 7 POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT IF 8 A PERSON RECEIVES THAT SENTENCE, THAT THEY WILL REMAIN 9 IN PRISON FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIFE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. T-5208: I THINK THAT'S 10 MY BASIC UNDERSTANDING. I DON'T UNDERSTAND ANYTHING 11 DIFFERENT FROM THAT. 12 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND ANYTHING 13 14 DIFFERENT? 15 DOES ANYBODY ON THE JURY -- IS THERE ANYBODY 16 WHO DISAGREES OR DOES NOT UNDERSTAND LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE TO MEAN LIFE IN PRISON? 17 WOULD YOU RAISE YOUR HANDS. 18 IT WOULD BE FAIR TO SAY THAT EVERYBODY AGREES 19 THAT LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE MEANS LIFE? 20 JUROR NUMBER 8, DO YOU THINK YOU CAN FAIRLY 21 CONSIDER LIFE AS AN OPTION IN THIS CASE? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. V-4528: YES. 23 MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NUMBER 9, YOU HAVE SOME 24 DIFFICULTIES WITH THE CONCEPT OF THE DEATH SENTENCE; IS 25 26 THAT FAIR TO SAY? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES, SIR. 27

MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU'RE WILLING TO FOLLOW THE

```
1
   LAW IN REGARDS TO THIS CASE?
2
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES, SIR.
3
            MR. SCHMOCKER: SO IF THE JUDGE WERE TO
    INSTRUCT YOU -- HE'S GOING TO INSTRUCT YOU, YOU'LL
4
    FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT HE GIVES YOU?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES, SIR.
6
            MR. SCHMOCKER: EVEN IF YOU DON'T PERSONALLY
7
   LIKE IT?
8
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-0750: YES.
9
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, MA'AM.
10
11
            JUROR NUMBER 10, HELLO.
12
            HAVE YOU BEEN ON A JURY BEFORE?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: NO, I HAVE NOT.
13
14
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU EXPRESS YOUR OPINIONS
    WHEN ASKED?
15
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: YES.
16
17
            MR. SCHMOCKER: CAN YOU GIVE YOUR INDIVIDUAL
18
    OPINION IN REGARD TO THIS CASE AFTER YOU HEAR THE
19
    EVIDENCE?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: YES.
20:
21
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK THAT THE -- THERE
22
    WERE FOUR DIFFERENT CATEGORIES THAT WERE GIVEN
    AS POSSIBILITIES FOR HOW PEOPLE FEEL ABOUT THE DEATH
23
    PENALTY.
24.
             CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HOW YOU FEEL
25
    ABOUT IT?
26
27
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: I PICKED NUMBER
    4, THAT BASED ON WHAT THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE COURT ARE
28
```

```
1
   AND WHAT THE EVIDENCE ARE, I COULD FAIRLY ASSESS THE
2
   SITUATION.
3
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU CAN ENVISION A SITUATION
   WHERE YOU WOULD -- FOR EXAMPLE, IF WE WERE TO PROVE THAT
4
5
   THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES OUTWEIGHED THE AGGRAVATING
6
    CIRCUMSTANCES, COULD YOU -- CAN YOU SEE THAT AS A
7
    POSSIBILITY, THAT YOU WOULD VOTE -- PARDON ME -- WOULD
   YOU SEE THAT YOU WOULD VOTE FOR LIFE?
8
9
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: YES.
10
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT'S ONE OF THE OPTIONS THAT
   YOU COULD CERTAINLY ENTERTAIN?
11
12
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: YES.
13
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU REALIZE THAT YOU CAN ONLY
   VOTE FOR DEATH IF YOU FIND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES TO
14
    SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES?
15
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: CORRECT.
16
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU CAN SEE IN SOME
17
    CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES
18
    OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES, YOU D STILL VOTE
19
   FOR LIFE?
20
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: YES.
21
            MR. SCHMOCKER: NOBODY'S EVER GOING TO MAKE
22
23
   YOU, RIGHT?
24
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: NO. THAT'S
   RIGHT.
25
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU THINK YOU D BE A GOOD JUROR
26
   ON THIS CASE?
27
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. R-6693: YES, SIR.
28
```

```
1
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, MA'AM.
2
            JUROR NUMBER 11, YOU'VE BEEN ON A JURY BEFORE?
3
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES, SIR.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IS THAT A CRIMINAL OR CIVIL
4
5
    JURY?
б
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: CIVIL.
7
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WITHOUT TELLING US WHAT THE
8
    RESULT WAS, DID YOU REACH A VERDICT?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: WAS IT A
9
    VERDICT?
10
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DID YOU REACH A VERDICT?
11
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES. IT WAS NOT
12
    GUILTY.
13
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WELL, WE WEREN'T REALLY ASKING
14
15
    FOR THAT, BUT THANKS FOR THE INFORMATION.
16
            YOU SAID THAT IT WAS A CIVIL CASE, THOUGH?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES.
17
            MR. SCHMOCKER: DURING THE COURSE OF THAT JURY
18
    DELIBERATION, WERE THERE STRONG VIEWS EXPRESSED BY
19
20
    PEOPLE?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: THERE WAS
21
22
    EXPRESS OPINION, YEAH. WE BASICALLY ALL HAD THE SAME
23
    OPINION.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU -- WERE YOU POLITE WITH
24
    EACH OTHER?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: YES, WE WERE
26
    VERY CORDIAL.
27
             MR. SCHMOCKER: ONE OF THE THINGS I THINK
28
```

```
THAT'S IMPORTANT FOR JURORS TO REALIZE IS THAT THEY HAVE.
1
2
   CERTAIN RIGHTS. YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE WELL TREATED.
   TREATED FAIRLY. IF SOMEBODY WERE TO TREAT YOU UNFAIRLY
   DURING THE COURSE OF A JURY DELIBERATION, WOULD YOU TELL
   THE FOREMAN OR TELL THE JUDGE?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: I WOULD
6
   DEFINITELY TRY TO LET THE PERSON KNOW, AND SAY WE GET
7
    ALONG THAT WAY, AS OPPOSED TO LETTING IT LINGER ON. I
8.
    WOULD DEFINITELY TELL SOMEBODY IF I FELT WE COULDN'T
9
10
    RESOLVE THE SITUATION AT THAT TIME, IF I WERE BEING
11
   MISTREATED.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU WOULD TRY TO RESOLVE IT
12
   YOURSELF FIRST?
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: ABSOLUTELY, YES.
14
15
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT
    DURING A JURY DELIBERATION THAT SOMETIMES PEOPLE HAVE
16
17
    STRONG VIEWS?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: I WOULD THINK
18
19
    SO, YES.
20
            MR. SCHMOCKER: SOMEBODY ELSE HAS A STRONG
21
    VIEW, ARE YOU WILLING TO -- ARE YOU GOING TO CHANGE YOUR
    VIEW JUST BECAUSE SOMEBODY ELSE DISAGREES WITH YOU?
22
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-3458: ABSOLUTELY NOT,
23
24
    NO.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, MA'AM.
25
            MA'AM, WE'RE CERTAINLY ALL SORRY FOR YOUR LOSS.
26
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. B-9815: THANK YOU.
27
            MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NUMBER 13, THE LAWYER?
28
```

```
YOU ARE?
1
2
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: YES, I AM.
3
            MR. SCHMOCKER: I THOUGHT THAT I HEARD THAT.
4
            DO YOU TRY CASES, OR PRESENTLY DO YOU HAVE
5
    ANOTHER ASSIGNMENT?
6
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: I DO TRY CASES.
7
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IS THAT --
8
            MAY I ASK WHAT JURISDICTION IS THAT?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: CITY OF
9
10
   LOS ANGELES.
11
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IS THAT THE WHOLE CITY, OR DO
12
    YOU WORK AT A PARTICULAR COURTHOUSE?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: I WORK OUT OF
13
14
    DIFFERENT COURTHOUSES, DEPENDING ON HOW FULL. CCB.
15
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THIS IS YOUR HOME COURT?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D-5849: THIS IS SORT OF
16
    MY HOME COURT.
17
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU.
18
19
            JUROR 14?
20
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: 14.
21
            MR. SCHMOCKER: ARE YOU --
            YOU DESCRIBED YOURSELF AS A LEADER; IS THAT
22
    RIGHT?
23
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: YES, I AM.
24
            MR. SCHMOCKER: CAN YOU TELL ME A LITTLE BIT
25
   ABOUT THAT. HAVE YOU ADOPTED LEADERSHIP ROLES?
26:
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: I'M CURRENTLY A
27
    BANK MANAGER FOR CITY BANK. BEING A BANK MANAGER, I
28
```

```
1
   HAVE TO LEAD THE TEAM.
2
          MR. SCHMOCKER: HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A BANK
3
   MANAGER?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: I'VE BEEN IN THE
    INDUSTRY 30 YEARS, BEEN A BANK MANAGER FOR ABOUT 15.
6
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU ARE WELL -- PARDON ME. YOU
7
   HAVE A LOT OF EXPERIENCE MAKING DECISIONS?
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: YES.
8
9
           MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU CAN MAKE A DECISION, YOU
10
   THINK, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ON THIS CASE?
11
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: YES.
12
           MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU UNDERSTAND THIS IS KIND OF
13
   A HIRING PROCESS? WE'RE HIRING SOMEBODY TO FILL A JOB,
14
    12 DIFFERENT PEOPLE TO FILL A JOB. MR. HARRIS IS THE
    PERSON WHO'S GOT SOME SKIN IN THE GAME. IF HE WAS --
15
           YOU THINK YOU WOULD MAKE A GOOD JUROR IN A CASE
16
   WHERE HE WAS A DEFENDANT?
17
18
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: YES, I WOULD.
19
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU THINK YOU CAN BE FAIR TO
20
   BOTH SIDES?
21
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-2466: YES.
22
           MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, MA'AM.
23.
         SIR, YOU'RE JUROR NUMBER 15?
24
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: YES.
25
            MR. SCHMOCKER: I SEE THAT YOU HAVE A VIEW ON
   THE DEATH PENALTY?
26
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: (NODS HEAD UP
27
28
    AND DOWN).
```

```
MR. SCHMOCKER: ONE OF THE POSITIONS WAS THAT
1
2
   YOU SAW THE DEATH PENALTY AS A DETERRENT?
3.
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: (NODS HEAD UP
   AND DOWN).
4
5
            MR. SCHMOCKER: WOULD YOU FAIRLY CONSIDER BOTH
   OPTIONS, LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE AND DEATH,
6
7
   IN THIS CASE?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: DEPENDING ON
8.
    WHAT WE HEAR, YES.
9
10
            MR. SCHMOCKER: IF THE AGGRAVATING FACTORS ARE
    A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN THE MITIGATING FACTORS, YOU'LL
11
    STILL VOTE FOR LIFE, WON'T YOU?
12
13
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: I COULD, YES.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU COULD DO THAT?
14
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: (NODS HEAD UP
15
    AND DOWN).
16
17
            MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU'RE GOING TO --
            THIS ISN'T JUST A PROCESS OF COUNTING UP THE
18
19
   FACTORS, YOU UNDERSTAND?
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: (NODS HEAD UP
20
21
   AND DOWN).
            AS THE JUDGE SAID, YOU HAVE TO WEIGH THEM.
22
23
            MR. SCHMOCKER: RIGHT. IT -- SOME SORT OF
    MORAL DECISION HAS TO BE MADE. WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: YES.
25
            MR. SCHMOCKER: ARE YOU WILLING TO DO THAT?
26
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. M-7169: YES, SIR.
27
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU.
28:
```

```
1
           MA'AM, WE ALL STRUGGLED THROUGH YOUR CAST.
2
   WAS JUST FUN. THE JUDGE WAS RIGHT. WE COULD READ IT.
3
   THANKS FOR GIVING US A TIP AS TO WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS.
            THIS IS DIFFICULT MATERIAL WE'RE GOING TO BE
5
   DEALING WITH. WE'RE GOING TO BE DEALING WITH THE DEATH
   OF TWO PEOPLE, QUITE POSSIBLY A DEATH SENTENCE ON A
6
   THIRD. YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE JUROR FOR
7
8
   THIS CASE?
9
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: ABSOLUTELY.
10
            MR. SCHMOCKER: MY CLIENT, OF COURSE -- WELL --
   HE'S LOOKING FOR A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR WHO ISN'T
11
12
   GOING TO VOTE AUTOMATICALLY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. WILL
13
   YOU DO THAT?
14
           PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. K-6084: YES.
15
            MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, MA'AM.
16
            JUROR 17?
17
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-9579: YES.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR 17, I DON'T HAVE ANY
18
    QUESTIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
19
            JUROR NUMBER 18, YOU THINK THAT YOU CAN BE FAIR
20.
   TO MR. HARRIS?
21
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: YES.
22
23.
            MR. SCHMOCKER: AND FAIR MIGHT BE A DEATH
24
    SENTENCE; IS THAT RIGHT?
25
            PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: YES, THAT'S
26
    RIGHT.
27
           MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU'LL CONSIDER ALL THE
    EVIDENCE IN THE CASE?
28
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: YES, OF COURSE. MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU UNDERSTAND HOW IMPORTANT 3 THIS IS, OF COURSE? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. J-6556: YES, I DO. 5 MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, MA'AM. THE COURT: MR. SCHMOCKER, IT'S JUST ABOUT 6 7 NOON. IF YOU'VE FINISHED WITH THAT SECOND ROW, PERHAPS THIS WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO BREAK FOR LUNCH. 8 MR. SCHMOCKER: IT IS. 9 THE COURT: THE TIMING'S BEEN A LITTLE BIT OFF 10 KILTER THIS MORNING, BUT WE'LL GET IT SQUARED AWAY IN 11 12 THE AFTERNOON. 13 WE'LL TAKE A BREAK FOR LUNCH, ASK EVERYONE TO 14 RETURN AT 1:30. 15 PLEASE DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE. 16 HAVE A NICE LUNCH. LEAVE THE CARDS ON THE CHAIR WHERE YOU ARE. 17 18 WE'LL SEE EVERYONE BACK AT 1:30. 19 (AT 12:01 P.M. THE NOON RECESS WAS 20 TAKEN UNTIL 1:30 P.M. OF THE SAME 21 DAY.) 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CASE NUMBER: 1 TA074314 2 CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. KAI HARRIS LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2009 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 108 HON. MICHAEL JOHNSON, JUDGE 5 REPORTER: LORA JOHNSON, CSR NO. 10119 6 TIME: 1:45 P.M. 7 - 8 APPEARANCES: 9 : DEFENDANT, KAI HARRIS, PRESENT 10 WITH COUNSEL, JOHN SCHMOCKER AND 11 LYNDA VITALE, BAR PANEL; HALIM DHANIDINA, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 12 REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE 13 14 OF CALIFORNIA. 15 16 (THE JURORS ENTERED THE 17 COURTROOM.) 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. EVERYONE IS PRESENT. 19 WE WERE IN THE MIDST OF THE DEFENSE QUESTIONS, AND 20 THAT IS WHERE WE WILL CONTINUE WITH MR. SCHMOCKER. 21 MR. SCHMOCKER: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 22 23 GOOD AFTERNOON, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. IT WON'T TAKE TOO LONG. I KNOW IT 24 25 FEELS ANXIOUS SOMETIMES, WE ALL FEEL. 26 JUROR NO. 19. PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES. 27 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU ARE A LETTER CARRIER? 28

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: AND YOU HAVE BEEN DOING THAT
3
  FOR SOME TIME?
4
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES.
5
        MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU HAVE A SUPERVISOR ROLE
6
   OR --
    PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: NO, NO SUPERVISOR
   ROLE.
8
    MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. YOU HAVE -- YOU
10
   BELIEVE THE DEATH PENALTY SHOULD BE USED IN
11
   CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES --
12
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: WOULD IT BE YOUR FIRST CHOICE
13
14 ON THAT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: NO, IT DEPENDS ON
1.5
16
   THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND WHAT IT INVOLVES.
17
         MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK YOU CAN BE
   BALANCED IN REGARDS TO THIS MATTER?
18
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES.
19
        MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU UNDERSTAND MR. HARRIS HAS
20
21 ALREADY BEEN CONVICTED?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES.
22
        MR. SCHMOCKER: IT'S A SIMPLE HOMICIDE. WHEN
23
   YOU SAY IT, IT SOUNDS AWFUL, RIGHT?
24
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES, IT DOES.
25
        MR. SCHMOCKER: BUT YOU WILL CONSIDER -- YOU
26
    WILL CONSIDER LIFE AS AN OPTION?
27
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YES, I WOULD.
28
```

```
MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR.
1
2
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-8493: YOU ARE WELCOMED.
3
         MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NO. 20, WHAT IS YOUR
  OCCUPATION?
4
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: GRAPHIC DESIGNER.
5
6
         MR. SCHMOCKER: HAVE YOU BEEN DOING THAT FOR
   SOME TIME?
7
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: YES.
 8
9
        MR. SCHMOCKER: HOW LONG?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: 25 YEARS.
10
11
        MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. NOT ALWAYS WITH THE
12 SAME GROUP, THOUGH, I TAKE IT?
13
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: NO.
14
         MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. WE ARE LOOKING FOR
15
    ANOTHER JUROR. WHAT DO YOU THINK? YOU ARE THE
16
    RIGHT JUROR FOR THIS CASE?
17
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: IF YOU ALL THINK I
18
    AM, THEN I WILL DO MY BEST.
19
         MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK -- YOU DON'T
20
    LEAN TO ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER, DO YOU?
21
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: REGARDING --
         MR. SCHMOCKER: WELL, I MEAN YOU DON'T THINK
22
23
    THAT JUST BECAUSE MR. DHANIDINA IS A NICE GUY, YOU
24
    ARE NOT GOING TO VOTE FOR HIM?
25
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: NO, THAT REALLY
    HAS NOTHING TO DO IT.
26
         MR. SCHMOCKER: RIGHT. YOU WILL LISTEN TO
27
    THE EVIDENCE?
28
```

```
1
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: SURE, YES.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
2
3:
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-1180: UH-HUH.
4.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NO. 21?
5
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-3749: YES.
б
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I NOTED THAT YOU HAD A HEALTH
7
    PROBLEM. IS THAT GIVING YOU DIFFICULTY?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-3749: YEAH. IF I SIT
8:
    DOWN AND JUST -- BUT IF I -- IF IT'S A PROBLEM.
9
10.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. IF YOU WERE SELECTED
    ON THIS JURY, YOU WOULD COMMUNICATE WITH THE JUDGE
11
    IF YOU NEEDED SOME SPECIAL ACCOMMODATION?
1.2
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-3749: DEPENDS.
13.
14
    SOMETIMES I HAVE REALLY, REALLY PROBLEM.
15
        MR. SCHMOCKER: I UNDERSTAND. OKAY.
16:
                THANK YOU, SIR.
17
                JUROR NO. 21, HI.
18
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-0298: 22.
19
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I'M SORRY. YOU CERTAINLY
20
    ARE. I CAN SEE THAT THING YOU ARE HOLDING. THANK
21
    YOU.
22
                YOU HAVE BEEN A -- HAVE YOU BEEN ON A
    JURY BEFORE?
23
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-0298: NEVER BEFORE, SIR.
24
         MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU THINK THAT WHAT THE
25
    JUDGE INSTRUCTS YOU TO DO YOU WILL DO?
26
27
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-0298: I WILL.
28
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU WILL FOLLOW HIS
```

-	INSTRUCTIONS?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-0298: YES, SIR.
3	MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU ARE RELATIVELY
4	SOFT-SPOKEN, IT SEEMS. WOULD YOU MAKE SURE YOUR
5	OPINION WOULD BE HEARD IN THE JURY?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-0298: CERTAINLY.
7	MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. IT'S IMPORTANT
8	THAT EVERYBODY GETS AS TWELVE PEOPLE ON THE
9	JURY, NOT ONE AND ELEVEN PEOPLE, RIGHT?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR A-0298: CORRECT.
11	MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR.
12	JUROR NO. 23, YOU ARE WITH ICE?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: NO, CUSTOMS.
14	MR. SCHMOCKER: WHAT IS THE NATURE OF YOUR
15	DUTIES?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: IT DEPENDS. I
17	WORK FOR THE CUSTOMS SIDE LOOKING FOR NARCOTICS,
18	OTHER SUBSTANCES. ON THE IMMIGRATION SIDE, ON THE
19	TERRORISM TEAM. IT DEPENDS INCOMING FLIGHTS.
20	MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. SO YOU DEAL WITH
21	BRADLEY PRIMARILY?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: YEAH.
23	MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. HAVE YOU BEEN A JUROR
24	BEFORE?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: NO, FIRST TIME.
26	MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR.
27	JUROR NO. 24.
28	PROSPECTIVE JUROP C-6782. VPC CTP

```
MR. SCHMOCKER: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THIS
1
   CASE THAT WOULD MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR YOU TO BE A
2
   FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR?
3
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-6782: NO, SIR.
4
        MR. SCHMOCKER: AND THE FACT THAT GANGS ARE
5
   INVOLVED IN THIS CASE DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING IN
6
   PARTICULAR TO YOU, DOES IT.
7
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-6782: NO.
8
        MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
9
               YOU EXPRESSED SOME DIFFICULTY, JUROR
10
    NO. 25, ON BEING A JUROR IN THIS CASE?
11.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: (NODS HEAD UP AND
12
    DOWN.)
13
         MR. SCHMOCKER: BUT YOU WILL DO YOUR BEST; IS
14
    THAT RIGHT?
15
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: YES.
16
        MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. IF THE JUDGE INSTRUCTS
17
    YOU AND GIVES YOU CERTAINLY INSTRUCTIONS, YOU WILL
18
19
    FOLLOW IT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: YES.
20
         MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NO. 26.
21
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: YES.
22
         MR. SCHMOCKER: GOOD AFTERNOON.
23
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: GOOD AFTERNOON.
24
          MR. SCHMOCKER: CAN YOU IMAGINE THE
25
    CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE YOU WOULD VOTE FOR LIFE
26
    WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE?
27
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: YES, I CAN.
28
```

```
1
       MR. SCHMOCKER: WOULD THE CONVERSE BE TRUE?
2
   CAN YOU IMAGINE ANY CIRCUMSTANCE WHEREBY YOU WOULD
3
   VOTE FOR DEATH?
4 .
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: THE CONVERSE COULD
5
   BE TRUE, BUT IT WOULD HAVE TO BE SOMETHING VERY
6
   SERIOUS.
7
        MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. WELL, THIS IS A
   SERIOUS MATTER. YOU WOULD AGREE, WOULDN'T YOU?
8
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: I DO AGREE.
9
        MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR.
IO 1
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: YOU'RE WELCOMED.
11
12
        MR. SCHMOCKER: GOOD AFTERNOON.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: GOOD AFTERNOON.
13
        MR. SCHMOCKER: DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU COULD BE
14
   FAIR AND BALANCED IN REGARDS TO THIS CASE?
15
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: YES, I DO.
16
        MR. SCHMOCKER: AND IF THE MITIGATING
17
   EVIDENCE OUTWEIGHS THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE, YOU
18
   WOULDN'T HAVE ANY TROUBLE VOTING FOR LIFE, WOULD
19
20
   YOU?
21
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: NO, I WOULDN'T.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: NO TROUBLE?
22
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: NO, NO TROUBLE AT
23
24
   ALL.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MA'AM.
25
26
               YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NO FURTHER
27
    QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
28
```

1 MR. DHANIDINA. MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU. 2 GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. 3 THE PANEL: GOOD AFTERNOON. 5 MR. DHANIDINA: I ALSO HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS, SOME FOR THE WHOLE GROUP AND SOME FOR PARTICULAR 7 PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BEFORE I START, THOUGH, LET ME JUST 8 PREFACE MY QUESTIONS WITH A FEW COMMENTS. 9 I THINK, AND THE JUDGE HAS ALREADY 10 SORT OF STATED THIS, WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR HERE 11 IN YOU, THE JURORS, IS HONESTY AND SOME REFLECTION 13 ON YOUR OWN FEELINGS AND YOUR OWN OPINIONS WHICH YOU HAVE ALREADY SORT OF LAID OUT A LITTLE BIT IN THE QUESTIONNAIRES. 15 I I DON'T WANT THIS PROCESS TO LEAVE YOU 16 WITH THE IDEA THAT HOLDING ONE OPINION IS BETTER 17 THAN HOLDING ANOTHER OPINION WHEN IT COMES TO THE 18 DEATH PENALTY. EVERY OPINION EXPRESSED REGARDING 19 20. THIS PENALTY IS VALID, BUT NOT EVERY OPINION NECESSARILY WOULD MAKE YOU APPROPRIATE TO SIT ON A 21 CASE LIKE THIS. 22 SO I THINK WHILE IT'S IMPORTANT FOR 23 YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT WE NEED TO HAVE JURORS WHO 24

CAN APPROACH THE CASE FAIRLY TO BOTH SIDES, IT

DOESN'T MEAN THAT IF YOU ARE NOT RIGHT FOR THIS

CASE THERE IS SOMETHING WRONG WITH YOU.

25

26

27

28

WHAT I AM HEARING A LOT IS EVERYONE

```
WANTS TO SAY THAT THEY CAN BE FAIR, EVERYONE WANTS
1
2
    TO SAY THAT THEY CAN FOLLOW THE COURT'S
    INSTRUCTIONS, RIGHT, BECAUSE HE IS THE JUDGE AND
3
   WHEN HE GIVES INSTRUCTIONS IT IS THE LAW TO FOLLOW
4
5
    THEM. BUT YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE ON A DEATH PENALTY
    CASE IF YOU DON'T BELIEVE THAT YOUR MIND CAN BE
6
    OPEN TO BOTH PENALTIES, EQUALLY OPEN, FAIRLY OPEN.
7
8:
                SO THAT MEANS IF YOU ARE CATEGORY ONE
   AND YOU BELIEVE IN AN EYE FOR AN EYE, THAT IF
9
    SOMEONE COMMITS MURDER, THEY GET THE DEATH PENALTY
10
    NO MATTER WHAT THE MITIGATION IS, THAT IS A VALID
11
    OPINION, BUT IT'S NOT THE RIGHT POSITION TO HAVE
12
    IF YOU ARE GOING TO BE ON A CASE LIKE THIS.
13
                IF YOU ARE CATEGORY TWO AND YOU THINK
14.
15
    THE DEATH PENALTY IS NEVER APPROPRIATE, AGAIN,
    IT'S A VALID OPINION BUT NOT RIGHT FOR THIS CASE.
16
17
                AND IN CATEGORY 3, WHICH I THINK IS
    THE REAL KIND OF WHERE THE RUBBER MEETS THE ROAD
18
    FOR A LOT OF YOU HERE WHERE YOU THINK THE DEATH
19
    PENALTY IS OKAY, BUT PERSONALLY KNOWING
20
    YOURSELVES, KNOWING YOUR OWN OPINIONS, IF IT CAME
21
    DOWN TO IT, YOU COULDN'T BE THE ONE TO HAVE THAT
22
    WEIGH ON YOUR CONSCIOUS I THINK IS THE WAY IT'S
23.
    BEEN EXPRESSED, THAT IS A VALID OPINION BUT NOT
24
    RIGHT FOR THIS CASE. SO WHAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR,
25
    THEN, ARE PEOPLE WHO NOT ONLY AGREE THAT IT'S OKAY
26
    TO HAVE A DEATH PENALTY, BUT CAN KEEP THEIR MINDS
27
    OPEN AND WILL LISTEN TO AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE AND
28
```

MITIGATING EVIDENCE AND CAN ACTUALLY DECIDE ONE 1 2 WAY OR ANOTHER AND BE OPEN TO BOTH. SO WHEN I ASK THESE QUESTIONS, I'M 3 GOING TO ASK SOME OF YOU PERSONALLY IN PARTICULAR JUST TO BE HONEST ABOUT THAT SO BOTH SIDES HERE CAN GET A FAIR SHAKE. 6 SPECIFICALLY, YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE 7 QUESTIONS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE WAS "DO YOU BELIEVE 8 THAT CALIFORNIA SHOULD HAVE A DEATH PENALTY?" 9 RIGHT? YOU GUYS REMEMBER GETTING THAT QUESTION. 10 SOME OF YOU SAID YES. SOME OF YOU SAID NO. 11 BY A SHOW HANDS, IF YOU COULD JUST 12 REMIND ME HOW MANY PEOPLE HERE BELIEVE THAT 13 CALIFORNIA SHOULD NOT HAVE A DEATH PENALTY. 14 IF YOU HAD TO VOTE TODAY, WOULD YOU VOTE AGAINST 15 HAVING IT? 16 ANYBODY? 17 I'M SURE I READ IT IN SOME 1.8 QUESTIONNAIRES. OKAY. WELL, I WILL APPROACH THAT 19 SPECIFICALLY, THEN, AS I GET TO SOME JURORS. 20 HOW MANY PEOPLE HERE BELIEVE THAT 21 CALIFORNIA, AS A STATE, SHOULD HAVE THE DEATH 22 PENALTY IN PLACE FOR CERTAIN CRIMES? 23 24 (THERE WAS A SHOW OF HANDS.) 25 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: I GUESS I --27 MR. DHANIDINA: THIS IS JUROR NO. 27. 28

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: 25. 2 MR. DHANIDINA: 25, PARDON ME. MAYBE, YOU'RE NOT SURE. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: UH-HUH. 4 5 MR. DHANIDINA: JUROR NO. 26. PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: I BELIEVE IN 6 7 CERTAIN CASES IT IS APPROPRIATE. MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. LET ME ASK 8 9 | SPECIFICALLY, THEN. JUROR NO. 2, MA'AM, YOU INDICATED ON 10. 11 YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE WHEN YOU WERE ASKED TO TRY TO CATEGORIZE YOURSELF, THAT YOU WERE EITHER A 2 OR A 12 4. I THINK YOU CIRCLED BOTH NUMBERS. 13 14 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: VAGUELY, YES. 15 16 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND YOU INDICATED SOMETHING ABOUT HOW YOUR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, BASED 17 ON YOUR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, YOU CAN'T REALLY DECIDE 18 WHETHER YOU COULD VOTE FOR DEATH OR NOT. 19 20 IS THAT A FAIR SUMMARY OF WHAT YOU WERE SAYING? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: WELL, ACTUALLY, IT 22 23 HAS CHANGED, MY OPINION, OVER THE WEEKEND. I SPOKE WITH A LEADER OF MY CHURCH AND GOT GUIDANCE. 24 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THAT'S AN INTERESTING 25 TOPIC WHICH IS ACTUALLY RELEVANT TO SOMETHING ELSE 26 I WAS GOING TO BRING UP. 27

WOULD YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF TO BE A

FAITHFUL PERSON WITH RESPECT TO YOUR RELIGION? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: I TRY TO BE, YES. 2 MR. DHANIDINA: I MEAN YOU WOULD -- YOU 3 4 ATTEND CHURCH REGULARLY? PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: YES, I DO. 5 MR. DHANIDINA: AND FROM TIME TO TIME, YOU 6 CONSULT WITH SOME OF THE LEADERS IN THE CHURCH 7 ABOUT IMPORTANT MATTERS? OR WAS THIS THE FIRST 8 TIME THAT YOU DID THAT? 9: PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: THIS IS ACTUALLY 10 THE FIRST TIME MAYBE ON SOMETHING THAT REALLY 11 DIDN'T PERTAIN TO WHAT GOES ON IN THE CHURCH, YOU 12 KNOW. AND WITHOUT GIVING ANY DETAILS OF THE CASE, 1.3 I WANTED TO KNOW -- BECAUSE I AM A CONVERT TO THIS 14 RELIGION -- WHERE THE CHURCH, IF THEY HAD A STANCE 15 16 ON IT. MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND I THINK THAT IS 17 NORMAL. I MEAN, AGAIN, WE ARE NOT HERE TO JUDGE 18 19 ANYONE'S PERSONAL BELIEFS. SOME PEOPLE GO TO CHURCH REGULARLY OR DIFFERENT KINDS OF CHURCHES 20 HAVE DIFFERENT SORTS OF TEACHINGS. BUT IF YOU ARE 21 SELECTED AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE, I THINK THE 22 JUDGE IS GOING TO GIVE THIS INSTRUCTION. YOU 23 CAN'T REALLY CONSULT OUTSIDE SOURCES FOR GUIDANCE 24 ABOUT YOUR JOB AS A JUROR. 25 ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT IDEA? 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: YES. 27

MR. DHANIDINA: IF --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: I FEEL I'M A VERY OPEN-MINDED PERSON.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. IF YOU WERE STRUGGLING WITH YOUR JOB IN THIS CASE -- SOME OF THE EVIDENCE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR IS GOING TO BE VERY TROUBLING. I GUARANTEE IT -- AND YOU FELT LIKE YOU NEEDED SOME ASSISTANCE, WOULD YOU SEEK THE ASSISTANCE OF, SAY, A LEADER IN YOUR CHURCH OR EVEN PRAYER TO HELP YOU DECIDE WHAT YOUR DECISION SHOULD BE IN THIS CASE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: THAT'S KIND OF
PERSONAL. PROBABLY PERSONALLY I WOULD PRAY FOR
STRENGTH MAYBE, NOT TO GET A DIVINE ANSWER ON WHAT
I SHOULD DO.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: IF THAT MAKES SENSE TO YOU.

MR. DHANIDINA: IT DOES. IT DOES.

I THINK THE REASON WHY THIS COMES UP,
AND IT'S NOT MEANT TO BE AN INTRUSION IN YOUR
PERSONAL BELIEFS BUT, YOU KNOW, BOTH SIDES, WHEN
WE HAVE A JURY THAT WE HAVE AGREED ON, ARE HOPING
AND RELYING ON THE FACT THAT THE JURY WILL BASE
THEIR DECISION ON THE INFORMATION THAT COMES OUT
IN COURT AND NOT SOME OUTSIDE SOURCE.

SO I GUESS MY QUESTION IS TO YOU,
WOULD YOU FEEL, IF YOU WERE KIND OF STUCK IN A
DIFFICULT POSITION IN YOUR OWN MIND REGARDING THIS

CASE, WOULD YOU FEEL LIKE CONSULTING ANY OUTSIDE SOURCE OR SOMETHING FROM YOUR CHURCH TO HELP YOU MAKE A DECISION?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: NO.

1.9

20:

MR. DHANIDINA: NOW, THE CLARIFICATION YOU RECEIVED OVER THE WEEKEND, WAS THAT -- I MEAN LET'S JUST BE, YOU KNOW, CLEAR ABOUT THIS. WAS IT THAT YOU AT FIRST DIDN'T THINK THE CHURCH WAS OKAY WITH THE DEATH PENALTY AND THEN AFTERWARDS YOU REALIZED THAT THE CHURCH IS OKAY WITH IT?

HOW DID THAT GO?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: I'M TRYING TO

PHRASE THIS CORRECTLY. LET'S JUST SAY THAT THE

WAY I BELIEVE, THE CHURCH ALSO AGREES WITH IT.

MR. DHANIDINA: AND IS IT BASED ON ANYTHING
IN PARTICULAR WITH RESPECT TO THE RELIGION OR, YOU
KNOW --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: NO. BECAUSE WE HAVE ARTICLES OF FAITH IN OUR CHURCH, AND IT STATES THAT WE UPHOLD THE LAWS OF THE LAND WHETHER IT BE THE PRESIDENT, A JUDGE, A MAGISTRATE AND SO FORTH.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. SO FOR EXAMPLE, THEN, IN THIS COURT YOU ARE GOING TO BE INSTRUCTED ON THE LAW, AND THE LAW IS THAT IF THE CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THIS CRIME AND SURROUNDING THE DEFENDANT IN AGGRAVATION SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE OR THE GOOD EVIDENCE ON

THE DEFENDANT'S BEHALF, THAT THE DEATH PENALTY
COULD BE APPROPRIATE.

· 21

23 -

COULD YOU -- BECAUSE YOU SEEM LIKE A

VERY REFLECTIVE PERSON TO ME -- AS YOU SIT HERE

RIGHT NOW -- YOU KNOW, WE ARE GOING TO REMOVE

OURSELVES FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR A MINUTE AND

TALK ABOUT SPECIFICS.

IN THAT CIRCUMSTANCE, AT THE END OF
THE CASE, IF YOU BELIEVE THE AGGRAVATION
SUBSTANTIALLY OUT WEIGHS THE MITIGATION, CAN YOU
COME BACK OUT HERE IN THIS COURTROOM IN FRONT OF
WHOEVER ELSE IS GOING TO BE IN HERE -- IT COULD BE
FAMILY MEMBERS FROM THE VICTIMS, FROM THE
DEFENDANT, AND THE DEFENDANT IS GOING TO BE
SITTING RIGHT THERE. CAN YOU COME BACK IN HERE
AND RENDER A VERDICT THAT SAYS KAI HARRIS, AS YOU
SIT HERE TODAY, I AM VOTING THAT YOU DESERVE TO BE
EXECUTED FOR YOUR CRIMES.

CAN YOU DO THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: YES, I CAN.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. WHILE WE ARE ON THE TOPIC, IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE HERE WHO FEELS THAT, YOU KNOW, IF THEY ARE SELECTED AS A JUROR, THEY WOULD WANT TO CONSULT OUTSIDE GUIDANCE ON HOW TO DO THE JOB, EITHER THROUGH PRAYER OR THROUGH ACTUALLY TALKING TO SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS? IS THERE ANYONE ELSE HERE WHO FEELS LIKE THEY MIGHT DO THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-9815: I WOULD DEFINITELY PRAY OR MEDITATE OVER CHOOSING THE APPROPRIATE 3 4 PATH. 5 MR. DHANIDINA: ANYBODY ELSE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: SAME HERE, SOME 6 PRAYER. JUST PERSONAL. MR. DHANIDINA: NO. 23. OKAY. 8 ANYONE ELSE? 9 NO. 18. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-6556: IT DEPENDS ON HOW 11 YOU SAID THERE ARE SOME DISTURBING THINGS. 12 KNOW, I MIGHT PERHAPS YOU KNOW NEED SOME PRAYER 13 TO, YOU KNOW, BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH IT MYSELF. 14 15 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND JUST TO CLARIFY, 16 YOU ARE NOT SAYING THAT YOU WOULD I GUESS THROUGH 17 THE COURSE OF YOUR PRAYER ASK FOR SOME SORT OF A 18 SIGN OR A MESSAGE? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-6556: NO. NO. MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THERE WAS SOME OTHER 20 HAND BACK HERE, NO. 5. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR T-5208: NO, I WOULD JUST PRAY FOR WISDOM. BASICALLY THAT IS WHAT I DO ON A 23 DAY-TO-DAY BASIS. SO I MEAN IT IS A BIG DECISION. 24 AND I WOULD JUST PRAY FOR DISCERNMENT. 25 MR. DHANIDINA: NO. 26. 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: I WOULD PRAY ALSO 27

FOR WISDOM AND FOR STRENGTH TO COME TO SOME

```
1
   DECISION.
2
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. ANYONE ELSE?
3
               NO. 13.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: I ALSO WOULD PRAY
4
   JUST FOR WISDOM AND THE STRENGTH TO MAKE THE RIGHT
5
6
   DECISION.
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AGAIN, THIS IS A VERY
7
   WEIGHTY DECISION. ONCE YOU MAKE THIS DECISION, IT
8
9
   IS ONE THAT YOU HAVE TO LIVE WITH FOR A LONG TIME,
10
   AND IF YOUR DECISION IS TO EXECUTE SOMEBODY,
11
   THAT'S -- THAT'S GOING TO BE ON YOU, RIGHT? YOU
12
   ARE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO SAY SOMEONE ELSE
13
   DECIDED, IT WILL BE YOU IF THAT IS YOUR DECISION.
   SO THAT IS SOMETHING I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE
14
15
   ALL THINKING ABOUT.
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3, YOU INDICATED
   THAT -- I DON'T WANT TO MIX UP THE FACTS, BUT ON
17
   YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE YOU INDICATED SOMETHING ABOUT
18
   YOUR CHILD'S FATHER BEING A FORMER GANG MEMBER; IS
19
20
   THAT RIGHT?
21
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: CORRECT.
22
         MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU HAVE A RELATIONSHIP
23
  WITH HIM STILL?
24
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: HE PAYS CHILD
25
   SUPPORT. THAT'S IT.
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. WAS HE A GANG MEMBER
26
   WHILE YOU WERE WITH HIM?
27
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: NO, BEFORE.
28
```

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. YOUR BEST FRIEND'S SON 1: WAS ALSO SHOT IN SORT OF A RANDOM STREET VIOLENCE 2. TYPE OF CRIME? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: HE WAS SHOT BY A 4 5 FRIEND, ACQUAINTANCE, YEAH. MR. DHANIDINA: WAS IT -- WHAT WAS THE 6. DISPUTE OVER? CAN YOU TELL US? DID YOU KNOW? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: IT WAS OVER THE 8 CAR. SUPPOSEDLY HE BORROWED THE CAR, AND THE CAR 9 WAS RETURNED WITH BULLET HOLES, BUT HE DIDN'T 10 11 DRIVE, SO --MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND THERE WAS ANOTHER 12 INSTANCE THAT WE DON'T NEED TO TALK ABOUT 13 SPECIFICALLY IN OPEN COURT RIGHT NOW WHERE YOU 14 INDICATED YOU YOURSELF WERE ACCUSED OF A CRIME AND 15 YOU WERE LATER EXONERATED, CORRECT? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: CORRECT. 17 MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU HARBOR ANY ILL 18 FEELINGS ABOUT THAT WHOLE PROCESS THAT YOU FEEL 19 LIKE YOU WERE WRONGLY ACCUSED AND CHARGES WERE 20 ACTUALLY BROUGHT? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: NO. IT WAS SO 22 LONG AGO. NO. 23 MR DHANIDINA: OKAY. 24 JUROR NO. 4, YOU SAID IN YOUR 25 QUESTIONNAIRE THAT THE DEATH PENALTY IS A DECISION. 26 THAT YOU ARE GOING TO LEAVE TO THE LAWYERS. 27

DO YOU REMEMBER SAYING THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: I BELIEVE, YES. 1 2 MR. DHANIDINA: WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: BUT -- WELL, I WAS 3 TRYING TO WRITE DOWN THAT WHAT THE OUTCOME OF THE 4 QUESTIONNAIRES COME OUT BETWEEN THE LAWYERS, THEN 5 6 I WILL MAKE MY DECISION. 7 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. SO YOU FEEL LIKE YOU COULD ACTUALLY MAKE THE DECISION, YOU ARE NOT 8 GOING TO LEAVE IT TO THE JUDGE OR THE ATTORNEYS TO 9 10 TELL YOU WHAT DECISION TO MAKE? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: NO, I'M GOING TO GO BY THE FACTS OF WHAT THE ATTORNEYS ARE 12 13 SAYING --MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: -- OF THE CASE. 15 MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU FEEL, THEN, THAT IF AT 16 THE END OF THIS CASE THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE 17 SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE, 18 DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU COULD CONSIDER TO ACTUALLY 19 20 COME BACK OUT HERE IN COURT AND TELL KAI HARRIS 21 THAT YOU ARE VOTING TO HAVE HIM EXECUTED? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: YES. MR. DHANIDINA: ARE YOU SURE ABOUT THAT? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: YES. 24 25 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6, YOU INDICATED SOMETHING WITH RESPECT TO 26 YOUR SON HAVING A SITUATION WHERE HE WAS ACCUSED 27

OF SOMETHING AND THEN RELEASED; IS THAT RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: YES.

19:

23:

24.

MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU HAVE ANY NEGATIVE FEELINGS ABOUT THAT WHOLE EXPERIENCE, WHAT THAT WAS LIKE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: OH, NO NEGATIVE.

IT WAS FUN TO ME BECAUSE I HAD NEVER EXPERIENCED

ANYTHING LIKE THAT, SO -- AND I WAS TREATED VERY

NICE. FOR THE FIRST TIME, YOU KNOW, I HAVE -
LIKE I SAID, I HAVE NEVER EXPERIENCED THAT. SO IT

WAS OKAY.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. DO YOU FEEL THAT IF
YOU WERE SELECTED AS A JUROR ON THIS CASE, THAT IF
THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE APPROPRIATE, YOU COULD COME
BACK OUT INTO COURT AND PUBLICLY RENDER A VOTE TO
EXECUTE KAI HARRIS?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: YES.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. PROSPECTIVE JUROR

NO. 9, YOU GOT A LITTLE BIT EMOTIONAL WHEN YOU

WERE TALKING ABOUT THIS BEFORE.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: YES.

MR. DHANIDINA: AND AGAIN, LET ME JUST
REITERATE, THE GOAL OF US HERE IS NOT TO PUT YOU
ON THE SPOT OR TO JUDGE YOUR OPINIONS ONE WAY OR
ANOTHER, BUT YOU SEEM LIKE SOMEONE WHO HAS THOUGHT
ABOUT THIS, YOU KNOW, THAT YOU HAVE REFLECTED A
LITTLE BIT ON BEING ON A CASE LIKE THIS.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: RIGHT.

MR. DHANIDINA: ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS YOU

```
1
   SAID WHEN THE JUDGE WAS ASKING YOU WAS THAT YOU
2
   ARE OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY; IS THAT RIGHT?
3
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: YES, SIR.
4
        MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU FEEL THAT IT'S WRONG?
5
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: YES.
6
        MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU FEEL LIKE IT'S WRONG
7
   MORALLY?
8
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: WELL, THE THING
9
   ABOUT IT IS, YEAH. I'M JUST -- I'M JUST AGAINST
10
   IT, PERIOD.
11
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. SO IF THERE WERE A
12
   VOTE IN CALIFORNIA TO GET RID OF THE DEATH
   PENALTY, WOULD YOU VOTE TO GET RID OF IT?
13
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: I DON'T KNOW
14
15
   ABOUT -- THAT I CAN'T REALLY SAY.
16
         MR. DHANIDINA: YOU WOULD VOTE FOR IT?
17
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: I DON'T KNOW RIGHT
   NOW AS FAR AS LIKE I WOULD VOTE -- I PROBABLY
18
19
    WOULDN'T EVEN VOTE.
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. BUT YOU FEEL THAT IT'S
20
    MORALLY WRONG TO HAVE THE STATE SANCTION TAKING
21
22
    SOMEBODY'S LIFE?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: WELL, TO TELL YOU
23
    THE TRUTH, IT DEPENDS ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES, YOU
24
25
    KNOW, IF THEY REALLY -- IF THEY DESERVE TO HAVE
    THE DEATH PENALTY, BUT AS FAR AS ME CONCERNED, I'M
26
    AGAINST IT.
27
```

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. YOU SAID YOU DON'T

WANT TO BE IN A POSITION WHERE YOU HAVE TO JUDGE SOMEONE ELSE'S LIFE.

б

PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. DHANIDINA: YOU DON'T WANT TO BE THE PERSON WHO HAS TO COME INTO COURT AND TO PUBLICLY SAY, OKAY, YOU DESERVE TO DIE, OR YOU DESERVE LIFE EVEN THOUGH YOU KILLED SOME PEOPLE. YOU DON'T WANT TO BE PUT IN THAT POSITION?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU FEEL, THEN, IF YOU WERE A JUROR ON THIS CASE, THAT SOME OF THESE PERSONAL FEELINGS THAT YOU HAVE WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO REALLY GIVE A FAIR SHAKE TO BOTH SIDES?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: TO BE HONEST, YES.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HONESTY BECAUSE, AGAIN, WE ARE JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT IS GOING ON INSIDE YOUR MIND. NOBODY HAS TO DO ANYTHING THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO DO, RIGHT, IN A SITUATION.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-0705: THANK YOU.

MR. DHANIDINA: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13, YOU WORK FOR THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: CORRECT.

MR. DHANIDINA: AS A PROSECUTOR, YOU -- I
DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN YOUR MOUTH, BUT YOU
KNOW, I MAY HAVE SOME INSIGHT ON THIS. PART OF
THE REASON WHY YOU HAVE THIS JOB IS BECAUSE WHEN
YOU GO INTO COURT, YOU TAKE POSITIONS THAT YOU

1 BELIEVE ARE THE RIGHT -- THE CORRECT DECISIONS TO 2 TAKE; IS THAT RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: CORRECT. I LIKE TO SEE THAT JUSTICE IS SERVED.

MR. DHANIDINA: RIGHT. AND AS A JUROR, NOW,
THE JUDGE SAYS, OKAY, YOU ARE NOT GOING TO BE A
PROSECUTOR ANYMORE, YOU ARE GOING TO BE, YOU KNOW,
UNBIASED IN THAT SENSE.

YOU INDICATED THAT YOU ARE OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY ALSO; IS THAT RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: NO. I SAID IN

GENERAL. I'M ONE OF THESE TYPE OF PEOPLE THAT

FEEL, AS I MENTIONED, THAT THERE ARE CASES WHERE

IT IS APPROPRIATE. BUT JUST, I GUESS IF YOU JUST

ASK ME, YOU KNOW, WOULD YOU BELIEVE OR DO YOU

BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY, I'M KIND OF ON THE

FENCE, AND IN GENERAL I DON'T BELIEVE IN THE DEATH

PENALTY.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. I GUESS WHAT I'M
TRYING TO GET AT IS, WHEN YOU SAY YOU DON'T
BELIEVE IN IT IN GENERAL, WHAT IS THAT BASED ON?
IS THAT BECAUSE YOU DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD PUBLIC
POLICY, OR YOU DON'T THINK IT'S A MORAL PENALTY TO
HAVE IN OUR SYSTEM? WHAT IS THAT BASED ON?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: I GUESS IT'S BASED ON KNOWING THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, KNOWING PENALTIES AND WHAT HAPPENS TO PEOPLE. I GUESS I KIND OF FEEL AS THOUGH IF SOMEONE IS PUT TO DEATH,

THEY ARE NOT REALLY GOING THROUGH THE PUNISHMENT

PHASE AND GOING THROUGH -- THEY ARE BASICALLY

BEING PUT TO DEATH AND THEY ARE NOT HAVING TO LIVE

OUT AND DEAL WITH WHAT THEY HAVE DONE.

MR. DHANIDINA: OH, INTERESTING. OKAY.

AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT COMES UP A LOT IN THESE CASES. AND CORRECT ME IF I'M PUTTING WORDS IN YOUR MOUTH. DO YOU THINK THAT IN SOME WAYS IF YOU ARE EXECUTED, YOU ARE ALMOST GETTING OFF EASY BECAUSE YOU ARE NOT SERVING OUT THE ENTIRETY OF A LIFE SENTENCE.

IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: IN SOME WAYS. BUT THEN AS I MENTIONED, ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE ARE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT YOU CAN TELL ME AND I WOULD SAY -- I WOULD FEEL DIFFERENTLY. I WOULD FEEL AS THOUGH, NO, THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THIS PERSON.

MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT A LIFE
SENTENCE OR LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE IS IN SOME WAYS A
MORE SEVERE SENTENCE THAN A DEATH SENTENCE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: IT DEPENDS ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES.

MR. DHANIDINA: THE CIRCUMSTANCES WITH RESPECT TO THE CRIME OR THE DEFENDANT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: CIRCUMSTANCES WITH REGARDS TO THE CRIME AND POSSIBLY THE DEFENDANT.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: IT'S HARD FOR ME 1 2 TO SEPARATE, BUT I WOULD SAY DEPENDING ON THE CRIME AND WHAT HAS BEEN DONE. 3 MR. DHANIDINA: IS THERE ANYBODY HERE WHO 4 BELIEVES THAT A LIFE SENTENCE -- SERVING LIFE IN 5 PRISON WHERE YOU ARE LOCKED UP AND, YOU KNOW, YOU 6 ARE BASICALLY IN A CELL FOR THE REST OF YOUR LIFE. 7 THAT THAT IS ACTUALLY WORSE THAN BEING EXECUTED? 8 THERE IS A VARIETY OF HANDS. I WILL 9 TRY TO GO IN ORDER. 10 11 OKAY. NO. 15. PROSPECTIVE M-7163: YES. 12 13 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU BELIEVE THAT? 14 PROSPECTIVE M-7163: YES, I DO. 15 MR. DHANIDINA: WHY DO YOU THINK THAT'S TRUE? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7163: BECAUSE THE PERSON 17 HAS RUINED THEIR LIFE AND NOW THEY HAVE TO REFLECT ON IT FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIFE, WHAT THEY DID. 18 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. LET'S JUST SAY --19 WELL, LET ME ASK SOME OF THE OTHER JURORS. 20: 21 JUROR NO. 6, YOU ALSO RAISED YOUR HAND. 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: NO, NEVER MIND. 24 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. ONE OF THE JURORS IN 25 FRONT HERE. 26 NO. 23. PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: I FEEL THE SAME 27

THAT HE JUST MENTIONED, IT JUST FEELS LIKE A

COP-OUT. 1 2 MR. DHANIDINA: SO ACTUALLY YOU THINK IT WOULD BE MORE PUNISHMENT TO GIVE SOMEONE A LIFE 3 4 SENTENCE VERSUS A DEATH SENTENCE? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: YES. MR. DHANIDINA: NO. 25, YOU THINK THAT IS 6 7 TRUE ALSO? PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: YES. 8 MR. DHANIDINA: NOW, WHAT IF 9 HYPOTHETICALLY -- WE WILL GET BACK TO YOU, JUROR 10 11 15. LET'S SAY THE PERSON WHO COMMITTED THE 12 CRIME ACTUALLY HAD POSITIVE FEELINGS ABOUT IT. 13 ACTUALLY THOUGHT THAT IT WAS A GOOD THING. WOULD 15 THAT PERSON SITTING IN A CELL FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIVES REFLECT IN A WAY THAT WOULD TORTURE 16 THEM, OR DO YOU THINK IT'S POSSIBLE THAT SOME 17 PEOPLE MIGHT BE ABLE TO LIVE OUT THE REST OF THEIR 18 19 LIVES NOT BOTHERED AT ALL BY WHAT THEY HAVE DONE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7163: THAT'S POSSIBLE. 20 MR. DHANIDINA: SO YOU ARE SAYING BASICALLY 21 IF THE PERSON HAS A CONSCIENCE ABOUT IT, THAT IT 22 COULD BE TORTURE TO HAVE TO THINK ABOUT IT ALL THE 23 TIME: IS THAT RIGHT? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7163: YES. SIR. 25 MR. DHANIDINA: BUT IF THE PERSON DOESN'T 26 HAVE A CONSCIENCE ABOUT IT, ACTUALLY THINKS IT'S A 27

GOOD THING, THEN IT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY -- THEY

```
1
   WOULDN'T FALL IN THAT SAME CATEGORY; IS THAT
2
   RIGHT?
3
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7163: NO. SIR.
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. BACK TO YOU JUROR
4
5
   NO. 13. SORRY FOR THE SEGUE.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.
6
7
        MR. DHANIDINA: IF YOU WERE SELECTED AS A
8
   JUROR ON THIS CASE, HAVE YOU ALREADY IN YOUR MIND
9
   THOUGHT OF TYPES OF CIRCUMSTANCES THAT YOU WOULD
    HAVE TO SEE IN ORDER TO RENDER ONE DECISION OR
10
   ANOTHER? FOR EXAMPLE, YOU KNOW, I KNOW IN ADVANCE
11
12
   I BETTER HEAR THAT, YOU KNOW, THIS MAY HAVE
13
   HAPPENED TO THE DEFENDANT IN HIS LIFE FOR ME TO
    GIVE HIM LIFE, OR I BETTER HEAR THAT HE KILLED A
14
15
   BUNCH OF LITTLE KIDS IN ORDER FOR ME TO GIVE HIM
16
   DEATH? HAVE YOU ALREADY THOUGHT OF THE TYPES OF
17
    CIRCUMSTANCES YOU ARE LOOKING FOR?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: NO. AND I'M
18
19
    LISTENING TO YOU.
20
                YOU KNOW, I UNDERSTAND FROM BEING
    INSTRUCTED OR JUST BEING INFORMED BY THE JUDGE
21
    THAT YOU HAVE TO HAVE SUBSTANTIAL AGGRAVATING
22
23
    FACTORS TO EVEN CONSIDER THE DEATH PENALTY. THAT
    DOESN'T MEAN THAT YOU HAVE TO VOTE THAT WAY.
24
    IF YOU HAVE SUBSTANTIAL MITIGATING FACTORS, THEN
25
26
    YOU HAVE TO FIND FOR LIFE IMPRISONMENT.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: SO THAT'S MY

MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S RIGHT.

POSITION RIGHT NOW.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: SO I'M NOT REALLY THINKING ABOUT CIRCUMSTANCES. I THINK THAT IS ONE OF THOSE THINGS THAT WHEN IT HITS YOU, AS FAR AS ALL THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES, THEN I WOULD HAVE TO MAKE THAT CHOICE. AND I HAVEN'T THOUGHT OF NECESSARILY WHAT WOULD CAUSE ME TO FEEL THAT SOMEONE SHOULD BE PUT TO DEATH.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THE ONLY REASON WHY I ASK IS THERE WERE SOME OTHER QUESTIONNAIRES WHERE PEOPLE WERE SAYING, YOU KNOW, THE DEATH PENALTY SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR SERIAL KILLERS OR CHILD PREDATORS OR THAT SORT OF THING. THAT IS THE ONLY REASON WHY I ASKED YOU THAT.

DO YOU FEEL THAT IF YOU ARE PUT IN A SITUATION WHERE THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE DOES SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE THAT YOU CAN FAIRLY CONSIDER COMING INTO COURT AND TELLING KAI HARRIS AS HE SITS HERE ON THAT DAY THAT HE DESERVES TO DIE FOR HIS CRIMES?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: I'M SORRY, ASK YOUR QUESTION AGAIN.

MR. DHANIDINA: YES.

IF YOU FEEL THAT THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE, CAN YOU CONSIDER COMING BACK OUT, RENDERING YOUR VERDICT IN OPEN COURT AND TELLING

```
KAI HARRIS THAT HE DESERVES TO DIE FOR HIS CRIMES?
1
2
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: IF THAT WERE MY
3
   VERDICT. BUT ONCE AGAIN, IT WOULD BE SOMETHING --
   I'M NOT REQUIRED TO COME BACK -- AND EVEN IF THE
   CIRCUMSTANCES ARE AGGRAVATING, I'M NOT REQUIRED TO
5.
6
   FIND FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. BUT I WOULD
   DEFINITELY CONSIDER IT AMONGST AND SPEAK OVER THAT
7
   WITH MY FELLOW JURORS.
8
         MR. DHANIDINA: THAT WAS THE QUESTION, IF YOU
9
10
   WOULD CONSIDER IT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: YES.
11
        MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
12
               JUROR NO. 14, YOU INDICATED A COUPLE
13
   OF THINGS THAT I WANTED TO ASK YOU ABOUT.
14
15
               YOU HAVE BEEN ON JURY SERVICE BEFORE A
16
    COUPLE OF TIMES; IS THAT RIGHT?
17
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: YES. YES.
         MR. DHANIDINA: AND IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN, TWO
18
19.
    OF THE JURIES YOU WERE ON WERE CRIMINAL CASES?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: YES.
20
21
        MR. DHANIDINA: WERE THEY IN THIS BUILDING?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: YES.
22
        MR. DHANIDINA: BUT NOT IN THIS COURTROOM?
23
24
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466:
                                    NO.
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND THOSE TWO CRIMINAL
25.
    CASES THAT YOU WERE ON, BOTH OF THOSE JURIES
26
    REACHED VERDICTS; IS THAT RIGHT?
27
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: UH-HUH.
28
```

MR. DHANIDINA: IN THIS CASE, AS THE JUDGE 1 HAS INSTRUCTED YOU, THE DETERMINATION OF GUILT OR 2 3 INNOCENCE THAT YOU HAD TO MAKE IN THOSE OTHER CASES, THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN MADE, AND THE FACT 4 THAT KAI HARRIS HAS BEEN CONVICTED OF TWO COUNTS 5 6 OF MURDER, TWO COUNTS OF ATTEMPTED MURDER, THAT IS 7 ALREADY SETTLED. CAN YOU SORT OF PUT THAT ISSUE ASIDE 8 AND FOCUS SIMPLY ON WHAT PENALTY YOU THINK KAI 9 HARRIS DESERVES TO HAVE IN THIS CASE? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: YES. 11 MR. DHANIDINA: AND IS PART OF YOU GOING TO 12 BE A LITTLE BIT CURIOUS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, WHAT I'M 13 HEARING ABOUT THIS OTHER GUY WHO MAY HAVE DONE THE 14 CRIME WITH HIM, WHAT DID HIS JURY DO WITH HIS 1.5 CASE? ARE YOU GOING TO LET THAT ENTER INTO YOUR 16 17 MIND? PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: NO. 18 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU WON'T BE CURIOUS ABOUT 19 THAT AT ALL? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: NO. 21 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND AGAIN, I DON'T 22 WANT TO PUT YOU ON THE SPOT, BUT YOU INDICATED YOU 23 HAD A BROTHER THAT WAS IN SOME FORM OF CUSTODY FOR 24 I GUESS IT'S A STATUTORY RAPE SITUATION? 25. PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: NO. HE -- TWO 26 YEARS AGO, MINOR WAS FEMALE UNDER 18, 27

TNAPPROPRIATE TOUCHING.

```
MR. DHANIDINA: INAPPROPRIATE TOUCHING?
1
2
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 1-2466: UH-HUH.
3
        MR. DHANIDINA: HOW OLD WAS HE AT THE TIME?
4
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: 40 SOMETHING.
5
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. DID HE -- WHERE DID HE
   DO HIS CUSTODY? IS IT HERE IN THE COUNTY, OR IS
6
   IT SOME OTHER PART OF THE STATE?
7
8
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: HE IS IN -- I
   DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT -- BLITHE CALIFORNIA.
9
10
        MR. DHANIDINA: DID YOU KNOW WHO THE GIRL
11
   YAS?
12
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: I KNEW HER WHEN
   SHE WAS A CHILD, LIKE FIVE, SIX,
13
        MR. DHANIDINA: SO SHE WAS AN ACQUAINTANCE OF
14
   THE FAMILY, I GUESS?
15
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: HE DATED THE
16
17
   MOTHER.
        MR. DHANIDINA: HE DATED HER MOTHER?
18
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: HE DATED HER
19
   MOTHER.
20
21
        MR. DHANIDINA: DID YOU EVER TALK TO YOUR
   BROTHER ABOUT THAT SITUATION?
22
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: NO. I NEVER KNEW
23
    ABOUT IT UNTIL IT CAME OUT.
24
        MR. DHANIDINA: DID HE HAVE A TRIAL?
25
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: NO. HE ADMITTED
26
    TO IT AND AVOIDED THE TRIAL.
27
        MR. DHANIDINA: SO YOU HAVEN'T TALKED TO HIM
28
```

ABOUT IT AT ALL? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: I TALKED TO HIM 3 ONCE WE FOUND OUT TWO YEARS AGO, BUT NOT WHEN SHE WAS A CHILD. SHE WAS A TEENAGER AT THAT TIME. MR. DHANIDINA: AND DID HE GIVE YOU AN EXPLANATION ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED? PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: WELL, HE SORT OF 7 8 WITHHELD THE TRUTH. I STILL DON'T KNOW THE 9 DETAILS OF WHAT HAPPENED, JUST BITS AND PIECES 10 FROM HIM AND HIM ONLY. THE MOTHER NEVER SAID 11. ANYTHING. THERE WAS NO TRIAL, SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE TRUTH REALLY IS. 12 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU FEEL LIKE YOU WEREN'T 13 GETTING THE FULL STORY? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: YEAH, I KNOW I WASN'T. 16 17 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. IF YOU ARE ON A CASE LIKE THIS -- AND I KNOW YOU SERVED ON SOME PRETTY 18 SERIOUS TRIALS IN THE PAST -- AND IF YOU WERE 19 PERSUADED THAT THE AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE 20 SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE MITIGATING EVIDENCE TO 21 THE POINT WHERE YOU BELIEVE THAT THE DEATH PENALTY 22 IS THE APPROPRIATE AND FAIR PUNISHMENT IN THIS 23 CASE. DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU HAVE IT IN YOU TO COME 24 OUT INTO OPEN COURT AND TO RENDER THAT VERDICT IN 25 FRONT OF EVERYBODY? 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-2466: YES, I DO. YES. 27

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THANK YOU,

1 I ONLY HAVE A FEW MORE TO GO. 2 JUROR NO. 23, YOU INDICATED I THINK WHEN THE JUDGE WAS QUESTIONING YOU, ALSO WHEN THE 3 DEFENSE ATTORNEY WAS QUESTIONING YOU, THAT NOW YOU 4 HAVE HAD SOME TIME TO THINK ABOUT IT SINCE YOU 5 WROTE OUT THE QUESTIONNAIRE, THAT YOU ARE NOT SURE 6 THAT YOU COULD RENDER A DEATH VERDICT IN THIS 7 8 CASE? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: IT WOULD BE VERY HARD FOR ME. I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THE OPTION 10 11 OPEN, BUT IT WOULD BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT. MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. WELL, I THINK IT'S NOT 12 A DECISION THAT ANYONE ON A CASE LIKE THIS WOULD 13 EVER FIND EASY. WHAT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND 14 IS -- YOU KNOW YOURSELF BETTER THAN I DO OR 15 16 ANYBODY ELSE. IF YOU FELT THAT IT WAS THE APPROPRIATE PENALTY BASED ON THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU 17 HEARD, COULD YOU PERSONALLY MAKE YOURSELF 18 RESPONSIBLE FOR VOTING TO EXECUTE KAI HARRIS IN 19 20 THIS CASE? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: THAT IS THE PART THAT I'M NOT SURE OF. I REALLY CAN'T TELL YOU 22 YEAH OR NO BECAUSE IT COULD CHANGE. 23 24 MR. DHANIDINA: REALLY? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: YEAH. MR. DHANIDINA: SO YOU ARE SAYING THAT AS WE 26 PROCEED ON THIS CASE, YOU ARE NOT IN A POSITION TO 27 LET US KNOW ONE WAY OR THE OTHER THAT YOU CAN KEEP 28

AN OPEN MIND AS TO THE PENALTY?

.9

1.1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: I WOULD WANT TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND BUT I CAN'T TELL YOU HUNDRED PERCENT THAT I'M ABLE TO TELL SOMEBODY, YEAH, I WANT THEIR LIFE TAKEN WAY FOR WHATEVER REASON.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. SO YOU FEEL AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY THAT YOU DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY INSIDE, KNOWING YOURSELF, AS WE SIT HERE TODAY, TO ASSURE US ALL THAT IN THE END YOU CAN BE OPEN EQUALLY TO BOTH POTENTIAL PENALTIES IN THIS CASE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6179: CORRECT.

JUROR NO. 25, SAME QUESTION FOR YOU.

BECAUSE YOU HAVE EXPRESSED SOME RESERVATION ABOUT

IT. KNOWING YOURSELF, YOU SAID THAT JUST THINKING

ABOUT IT HAS CAUSED SOME ANXIETY FOR YOU. AND YOU

KNOW, I THINK EVERYBODY HERE RESPECTS THAT. SO

KNOWING WHAT IS GOING ON INSIDE OF YOUR OWN HEAD

AND INSIDE OF YOUR OWN HEART ABOUT BEING A JUROR

POSSIBLY ON A DEATH PENALTY CASE, DO YOU FEEL THAT

YOU PERSONALLY, IF YOU ARE PERSUADED THAT DEATH IS

THE APPROPRIATE SENTENCE, THAT YOU COULD MAKE

YOURSELF RESPONSIBLE FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE

DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: I DON'T KNOW. I FEEL LIKE COMPLETELY I UNDERSTAND HIS FEELINGS.

I'M COMPLETELY OPEN RIGHT NOW, AND I FEEL LIKE THERE ARE TIMES WHEN THE DEATH PENALTY SEEMS APPROPRIATE IN THE ABSTRACT, AND THERE ARE TIMES

1 THAT IT FEELS APPROPRIATE, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT I
2 COULD COME OUT HERE AND SAY TO SOMEONE I DECIDE
3 THAT YOU ARE DYING.

MR. DHANIDINA: RIGHT. AND THE ABSTRACT IS A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT SITUATION --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: YEAH.

MR. DHANIDINA: -- FROM REAL LIFE.

I MEAN HERE YOU ARE POSSIBLY A JUROR ON A CASE WITH A JUDGE AND THE PROSECUTOR AND DEFENSE ATTORNEY AND THE ACTUAL DEFENDANT WHO HAS ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED IS GUILTY OF MURDER. SO NOW YOU ARE IN A SITUATION NOT OF DECIDING IF HE DID IT OR NOT BUT WHAT HAPPENS TO HIM. AND IF YOU VOTE FOR LIFE OR IF YOU VOTE FOR DEATH, THAT IS A VOTE THAT YOU WILL HAVE TO LIVE WITH AND YOU KNOW SLEEP AT NIGHT WITH INDEFINITELY. THAT'S THE REALITY OF IT.

YOU KNOW, THIS PODIUM WILL PROBABLY BE SITTING IN THE EXACT SAME SPOT AT THE END OF THE TRIAL WHEN I GET UP HERE AND THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY GETS UP HERE AND WE ASK YOU TO IMPOSE THE DEATH SENTENCE OR A LIFE SENTENCE, AND YOU WILL BE SITTING IN ONE OF THESE CHAIRS. DO YOU THINK THAT YOU HAVE IT INSIDE OF YOU AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY TO REALLY HONESTLY BE OPEN EQUALLY TO BOTH PENALTIES IN THIS CASE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: I FEEL LIKE THE MORE I THINK ABOUT IT, THE MORE I LEAN TOWARDS

JUST LIFE IN PRISON IN GENERAL, BUT -- AND I DON'T

KNOW WHAT WOULD MEET -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT WOULD

CONCRETELY BE LIKE REALLY, REALLY HORRIBLE,

HORRIBLE LIKE AGGRAVATING FACTORS, BUT I GUESS IF

KNEW REALLY, LIKE, YOU KNOW, I GUESS IT JUST

CCCURS TO YOU OR DOESN'T THAT SOMETHING IS REALLY,

REALLY HORRIBLE AND THEN THE DEATH PENALTY IS

8 BEING SERVED, AND IN THAT CASE I FEEL LIKE I WOULD

9 BE ABLE TO.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. WELL, THAT'S REALLY
WHAT I'M GETTING AT HERE. NOT TO PREJUDGE THE
EVIDENCE WHICH IS WHY WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT
WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO BE IN THIS CASE, BUT
YOU FEEL AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY THAT THERE ARE
CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES CONCEIVABLY THAT YOU COULD
HEAR THAT UNDER WHICH YOU PERSONALLY COULD TELL
THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE THAT THE APPROPRIATE
SENTENCE IS FOR HIM TO DIE FOR THE CRIMES THAT HE
HAS COMMITTED.

DO YOU THINK YOU CAN DO THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-9855: I DON'T KNOW IF I

COULD SAY THAT TO SOMEONE. I THINK I COULD

POSSIBLY FEEL THAT WAY, BUT I DON'T KNOW IF I

COULD LIKE MAKE A FINAL DECISION THAT'S THAT

HEAVY.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

JUROR NO. 26, YOU INDICATED ON YOUR OUESTIONNAIRE A FEW THINGS KIND OF SIMILAR TO WHAT

SOME OTHER JURORS HAVE SAID, SPECIFICALLY I THINK 1 I QUOTED HERE THAT YOU DON'T BELIEVE IN THE DEATH 2 3 PENALTY. 4 IS THAT RIGHT? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: THAT IS CORRECT. 6 MR. DHANIDINA: PART OF THAT IS BASED ON YOUR UPBRINGING AND SOME OF YOUR PERSONAL RELIGIOUS 7 8 BELIEFS? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: CORRECT. 10 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT IT'S IMMORAL TO HAVE A DEATH PENALTY? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S IMMORAL TO HAVE A DEATH PENALTY. I JUST 13 DON'T BELIEVE THAT I COULD VOTE FOR DEATH PENALTY. 14 15 MR. DHANIDINA: UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: ONCE AGAIN, I WOULD HAVE TO HEAR THE CIRCUMSTANCES TO BE ABLE TO 17 18 GIVE YOU THAT ANSWER. 19 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU ALSO SAID IN YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU DIDN'T THINK CALIFORNIA 20 SHOULD HAVE A DEATH PENALTY. 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099; I DON'T BELIEVE PENALTIES SHOULD BE AROUND, PERIOD. I JUST DON'T 23 AGREE WITH THE TAKING SOMEONE ELSE'S LIFE. AN EYE 24 FOR AN EYE JUST LEAVES SOMEBODY BLIND. 25 26 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. YOU KNOW, AGAIN I THINK THAT IS A LEGITIMATE OPINION AS ANY OTHER 27 THAT WE'VE HEARD IN COURT. SO I THINK WHAT I'M , 8

```
TRYING TO GET AT IS, IF YOU DON'T THINK THE STATE
1
   HAS A RIGHT TO TAKE SOMEONE ELSE'S LIFE AND YOU
2
   PERSONALLY THINK THE DEATH PENALTY IS WRONG, DO
3
    YOU STILL FEEL THAT YOU CAN SERVE ON A JURY THAT
    ULTIMATELY COMES TO THE DECISION TO EXECUTE
5
6
    SOMEBODY?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR V-4099: NO, I DON'T.
7
8
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THANKS AGAIN FOR YOUR
   HONESTY.
9
                AND FINALLY JUROR NO. 27, YOU KNOW, NO
10
11
    SURPRISE HERE BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN ASKING THE SAME
12
    QUESTIONS.
                DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU CAN SERVE ON A
13
    JURY THAT IF THE EVIDENCE INDICATES THAT DEATH IS
14
   THE APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT, CAN YOU BE ON A JURY
15
    THAT RENDERS A VERDICT OF DEATH FOR THE DEFENDANT
16
    IN THIS CASE, KAI HARRIS?
17
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: YES.
18
         MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU FEEL YOU COULD DO
19
20
    THAT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: YES, I CAN DO
21
    THAT.
22
         MR. DHANIDINA: YOU COULD SLEEP AT NIGHT?
23
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: I THINK EVERY
24
    CRIME DESERVES PUNISHMENT, AND WHEN YOU --
25
    EVERYTHING THAT YOU DO IN YOUR LIFE YOU HAVE TO BE
26
    RESPONSIBLE AND ACCEPT THE CONSEQUENCES.
27
```

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR G-6745: AND IF WHAT YOU PRESENTED IS NOT ENOUGH, I DON'T SEE WHY NOT. 2 3 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. AND FINALLY JUST A FEW 4 MORE QUESTIONS JUST FOR THE GROUP. 5 IS THERE ANYONE HERE WHO BELIEVES THAT 6 THE DEATH PENALTY SHOULD BE RESERVED ONLY FOR 7 CASES WHERE THERE ARE CERTAIN TYPES OF VICTIMS? 8 AND BY THAT I MEAN ONLY IF THE VICTIM IS A CHILD 9 OR ONLY IF THE VICTIM IS FROM A NICE NEIGHBORHOOD 10 OR A WELL TO DO BACKGROUND? IS THERE ANYONE WHO 11 FEELS THAT WAY AT ALL? 12 NO. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6. YOU KIND OF SMIRKED AT THE PREPOSTEROUS IDEA THAT I STATED. 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: I'M SORRY. 16 MR. DHANIDINA: IT IS PREPOSTEROUS. BUT YOU 17 KNOW, PEOPLE KIND OF FEEL SOMETIMES WHEN THEY ARE WEIGHING THE CASE THE CRIME IS NOT AS BAD IF A 18 CERTAIN TYPE OF PERSON, SO TO SPEAK, IS KILLED, 19 VERSUS ANOTHER TYPE OF PERSON. 20 21 DO YOU THINK THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: WHY SHOULD IT 23 MATTER WHAT TYPE AND WHERE HE LIVED? I MEAN I 24 DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT. 25 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND THAT. 26 27 WHAT ABOUT IF ONE OF THE VICTIMS --

NOT AT THE TIME HE WAS KILLED, BUT LET'S SAY ONE

```
1
   OF THE VICTIMS HAD A PAST WHERE HE WAS INVOLVED IN
2
   GANG-BANGING AND VIOLENCE HIMSELF. DO YOU THINK
   THAT KILLING THAT PERSON IS NOT AS BAD AS KILLING
3
   SOMEBODY ELSE?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: SAY THAT AGAIN.
   I'M SORRY.
         MR. DHANIDINA: WELL, YOU HAVE TWO VICTIMS IN
7
8
   THIS CASE.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: YES.
9
         MR. DHANIDINA: LET'S JUST SAY ONE OF THE
10
11
    VICTIMS HAD SORT OF A CHECKERED PAST, WAS INVOLVED
12
    IN CRIME AND GANGS HIMSELF.
         THE COURT: I THINK THIS IS A LITTLE CLOSE TO
13
14 | PREJUDGING THE CASE.
        MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.
15
               WELL, LET'S NOT TALK SPECIFICALLY
16
    ABOUT THIS CASE. BUT DO YOU THINK THAT WHEN YOU
17
    ASSESS THE PENALTY YOU FEEL THAT A CRIME WOULD BE
18
    NOT AS BAD IF A VICTIM HAD A CRIMINAL HISTORY
19
   VERSUS NO CRIMINAL HISTORY?
20
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: YOU LOST ME
21
    SOMEWHERE.
22
        MR. DHANIDINA: YEAH, I KNOW. I'M LOSING
23
    MYSELF.
24
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: YOU LOST ME.
25
         MR. DHANIDINA: WHAT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND
26
    IS, AS A JUROR, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DETERMINE
27
```

IN SOME RESPECT HOW BAD THE CRIME IS.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765; UH-HUH. 1 2 MR. DHANIDINA: ONE OF THE AGGRAVATING 3 CIRCUMSTANCES YOU CAN CONSIDER IS REFERRED TO AS 4 THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CRIME. AND DO YOU THINK THAT AS A JUROR YOU WOULD LOOK AT THE PERSON WHO 5 б WAS KILLED AND SAY, YOU KNOW WHAT, NO BIG LOSS. 7 THAT PERSON -- THIS CRIME IS NOT AS SERIOUS BECAUSE I DON'T LIKE SOMETHING ABOUT THAT PERSON THAT WAS KILLED. 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: NO, I COULDN'T DO 11 THAT. 12 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU WOULD TREAT ALL VICTIMS EQUALLY? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: EQUALLY. 14 I COULDN'T SAY THAT. 15 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE 16 17 WHO FEELS DIFFERENTLY, THAT THEY THINK AS A JUROR 18 THEY WOULD MAKE A PERSONAL DECISION OF WHETHER, YOU KNOW, THE VICTIM BEING KILLED WAS NOT SO BAD 19 OR WORSE THAN ANOTHER VICTIM? 20 ANYONE THINK THAT IS APPROPRIATE? 21 22 EVERYONE WOULD TREAT ALL THE VICTIMS 23 IN THE CASE EQUALLY REGARDLESS OF THEIR OWN 24 BACKGROUND, WHERE THEY ARE FROM, AND THAT SORT OF 25 THING? DOES EVERYONE AGREE WITH THAT? I SEE A LOT OF NODDING HEADS. OKAY. 26 FINALLY, ONE LAST QUESTION JUST FOR 27

EVERYBODY. IS THERE ANYONE, HERE BY A SHOW OF

```
1
   HANDS, THAT REALLY WANTS TO SERVE ON THIS JURY?
2
               OKAY. I SEE JUST A FEW HANDS HERE.
3
               JUROR NO. 2. JUROR NO. 4 AND JUROR
4
   NO. 16.
               NUMBER 2. DO YOU REALLY WANT TO BE ON
5
б
   THIS JURY?
7
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: I FEEL IF I WERE
8
    SITTING WHERE MR. HARRIS IS SITTING, I WOULD WANT
    SOMEONE LIKE ME ON THIS JURY.
9
10
         MR. DHANIDINA: WHAT ABOUT IF YOU WERE
    SITTING WHERE THE VICTIM'S FAMILY WAS SITTING,
11
    WOULD YOU WANT SOMEONE LIKE YOU ON THE JURY ALSO?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-3050: YES.
13
         MR. DHANIDINA: JUROR NO. 4, WHAT ABOUT YOU?
14
15 WHY DO YOU WANT TO BE ON THIS JURY?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR R-5857: WELL, TO SERVE
16
   JUSTICE.
17
         MR. DHANIDINA: JUROR NO. 16.
18
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR K-6084: I NEVER SERVED ON
19
    A JURY BEFORE. I GET OFF OF WORK. AND -- WELL.
20
    YOU WANT HONESTY.
21
         MR. DHANIDINA: ABSOLUTELY.
22
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR K-6084: AND I JUST REALLY
23
    WANT TO DO IT.
24
25
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
                I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
26
         THE COURT: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
27
         MR. SCHMOCKER: NO OTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR
```

HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN LET'S TAKE A 3 BREAK. WE WILL TAKE ABOUT A 20-MINUTE BREAK. PLEASE DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE. WE WILL CALL YOU BACK IN ABOUT 20 MINUTES. 5 6 7 (THE JURORS LEFT THE В COURTROOM.) 9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE JURORS HAVE LEFT. 10 11 ARE THERE ANY MOTIONS FOR CAUSE BY THE 12 DEFENSE? MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD 13 ASK THE COURT TO CONSIDER A CAUSE REMOVAL OF JUROR 14 15 NO. 2, S-3050. I GUESS MY GREATER CONCERN IS 16 CONTACTING A SPIRITUAL VISOR. 17 MR. DHANIDINA: I'LL STIPULATE TO THAT. THE COURT: WELL, LET ME HEAR IF THERE ARE 18 19 ANY OTHERS. MR. SCHMOCKER: MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT, 20 21 YOUR HONOR. 22 NO OTHERS. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT IS THE PEOPLE'S POSITION AS TO NO. 2. 24 25 MR. DHANIDINA: I WILL AGREE FOR THE SAME REASON. I JUST THINK SHE IS A -- WOULD BE A WILD 26 CARD TO BOTH SIDES IF SHE IS INCLINED TO ASK FOR 27

OUTSIDE SUPERIOR AUTHORITY TO WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN IN

1 | COURT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUROR 2 IS EXCUSED.

ARE THERE ANY BY THE PEOPLE?

MR. DHANIDINA: YES.

THE FIRST -- I WILL JUST GO IN

ORDER -- IS PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9. DO YOU WANT

JUST THE NUMBERS NOW OR THE ARGUMENT AT THE SAME

TIME?

THE COURT: ARGUMENT.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. WITH RESPECT TO THIS
JUROR, SHE I THINK WAS QUITE DIRECT ON SEVERAL
OCCASIONS DURING THE QUESTIONING THAT SHE DOESN'T
BELIEVE IN THE DEATH PENALTY. SHE IS OPPOSED TO
IT. SHE DOESN'T WANT TO JUDGE SOMEONE ELSE'S
LIFE. I BELIEVE THESE VIEWS ARE SINCERE. SHE
EVEN BROKE INTO TEARS AT ONE POINT WHILE ANSWERING
THE QUESTIONS.

QUESTIONNAIRE SOME OF THESE SAME IDEAS, AND SHE SAID MY OPINION -- QUOTE, MY OPINION IS THAT YOU GET TO LIVE. AND I THINK UPON ALL OF THE ANSWERS FROM THE COURT AND FROM THE DEFENSE AND MYSELF, SHE INDICATED A CLEAR OPPOSITION TO THE DEATH PENALTY TO THE POINT WHERE SHE SAID THAT SHE COULDN'T BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES IN THIS CASE, AND SHE SAID THAT WHEN I WAS QUESTIONING HER. SO THAT IS WITH RESPECT TO JUROR NO. 9.

NEXT ONE IS PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23.

HE INDICATED UPON QUESTIONING BOTH BY THE DEFENSE AND THE COURT AND MYSELF THAT WHEN IT CAME RIGHT DOWN TO IT, HE DIDN'T THINK THAT HE COULD BE THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPOSING A DEATH VERDICT. HE DIDN'T THINK THAT HE PERSONALLY COULD DO IT EVEN IF HE THOUGHT THAT IT WERE THE APPROPRIATE VERDICT TO RENDER AS HE SAT HERE TODAY. HE WAS UNABLE TO SAY THAT HE COULD BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES AND KEEP AN OPEN MIND AS TO THE PENALTY.

1.7

28.

NEXT JUROR IS PROSPECTIVE JUROR

NO. 25. SHE INDICATED FROM THE VERY BEGINNING

THAT SHE HAD ANXIETY ABOUT THE DECISION SHE WOULD

HAVE TO MAKE. EVEN IN HER QUESTIONNAIRE SHE

INDICATED THAT SHE WAS BOTH A 3 AND A 4, A 3 BEING

SOMEONE WHO AGREED WITH THE DEATH PENALTY IN

THEORY BUT COULDN'T PERSONALLY IMPOSE IT. SHE

ALSO SEEMED TO GET QUITE EMOTIONAL DURING THE

QUESTIONING AND INDICATED THAT AS SHE SAT HERE

TODAY, SHE COULDN'T SAY THAT SHE COULD KEEP AN

OPEN MIND AS TO RENDERING DEATH AS WELL AS A LIFE

VERDICT IN THIS CASE.

AND FINALLY, JUROR NO. 26 SIMILARLY
SAID THAT BECAUSE OF HIS BELIEFS, HE WOULD NOT BE
ABLE TO BE FAIR. HE OPPOSES THE DEATH PENALTY.
HE SAID THAT SPECIFICALLY IN HIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND
DURING QUESTIONING. HE SAID THAT HE DOESN'T
BELIEVE THE STATE HAS A RIGHT TO TAKE SOMEONE
ELSE'S LIFE, TO HAVE STATE-SANCTIONED EXECUTION,

1 THAT IN THE END IF HE WERE SELECTED AS A JUROR IN
2 THE CASE, THAT HE COULD NOT BE THE PERSON
3 RESPONSIBLE FOR RENDERING A DEATH VERDICT.

SO BASED ON THOSE ANSWERS GIVEN AND THE QUESTIONNAIRES AND IN COURT THAT THOSE JURORS BE EXCUSED FOR CAUSE. THEIR ANSWERS SHOW THEY ARE SUBSTANTIALLY IMPAIRED IN THEIR ABILITY TO FOLLOW THE LAW IN THIS CASE.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE DEFENSE POSITION ON EACH OF THESE, STARTING WITH NO. 9?

MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, IN REGARDS TO

NO. 9, 14 AND 23 -- PARDON ME, NO. 9, NO. 23 AND

NO. 25, I WOULD AGREE THAT THEY ARE SUBSTANTIALLY

IMPAIRED. I DON'T BELIEVE 26 IS, AND WE WOULD

OPPOSE HIS REMOVAL.

MR. DHANIDINA: THE MOTION IS GRANTED AS TO 9, GRANTED AS TO 23, GRANTED AS TO 25. DENIED AS TO 26.

JUROR 26 DID SAY HE WAS OPPOSED TO THE DEATH PENALTY. HE SAID THAT IN SOME OF HIS ANSWERS THAT HE LEANS TOWARDS LIFE IN PRISON, BUT HE DID SAY ON THE OTHER SIDE OF IT THAT HE CAN CONSIDER THE FACTORS, THAT HE CAN CONCEIVE OF A CIRCUMSTANCE IN WHICH HE WOULD VOTE FOR THE DEATH PENALTY. HIS RESPONSES WERE CERTAINLY WIDE-RANGING, BUT ON BALANCE I THINK THAT HE CAN FAIRLY PERFORM HIS DUTIES AND CONSIDER BOTH FORMS OF PUNISHMENT.

1 I WANT TO ADDRESS JUROR 12. MR. SCHMOCKER: NUMBER 12? 2 THE COURT: SHE DOESN'T HAVE ANY REMARKABLE 3 VIEWS ON THE DEATH PENALTY, BUT THIS IS THE WOMAN 4 WHOSE SON WAS KILLED AND WAS QUITE EMOTIONAL ABOUT 5 IT. 7 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK SHE SHOULD BE REMOVED FOR CAUSE. 8 9 MR. DHANIDINA: I AGREE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YEAH, I JUST THINK 10 11 THAT SHE APPEARED TO BE QUITE EMOTIONAL ALTHOUGH SHE KEPT HER EMOTIONS IN CHECK. THAT'S A LITTLE 12 13 TOO CLOSE TO HOME. ALL RIGHT. SO WE WILL EXCUSE 2, 9, 14 12, 23 AND 25 FOR CAUSE. AND THEN WE WILL REPLACE 15 THOSE SEATS AND START WITH PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES. 16 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WHICH JUROR IS 17 NEXT ON YOUR LIST? 18 THE COURT: I'M SORRY, IN WHAT SENSE? 19 MR. SCHMOCKER: ARE WE GOING -- PARDON ME. 20 ARE WE GOING TO FILL BY MOVING UP THE CHAIRS OR --21 THE COURT: CORRECT. 22 MR. SCHMOCKER: OR ARE WE GOING TO FILL FROM 23 THE AUDIENCE? 24 THE COURT: NO, WE WILL MOVE THE CHAIRS UP 25 AND DO PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES. 26 MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. VERY GOOD. 27 THE COURT: SO JUROR 13 WILL GO TO SEAT 2 AND 28

SO FORTH. 1 MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU, YOUR 2 3 HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO WE CAN TAKE A 4 5 BREAK. MR. SCHMOCKER: OH, YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO 6 7 NEED A COUPLE OF MINUTES. I JUST GOT A CALL FROM FEDERAL COURT. THEY PICKED UP ONE OF MY CLIENTS. 8 THEY DIDN'T TELL ME ABOUT THIS. BUT THEY ARE 9 ASKING WHY I'M NOT THERE. 10 THE COURT: OH, WELL YOU CAN TAKE TIME. 11 MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU. I WANT TO EXPLAIN 12 13 IT TO THEM. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S FINE. 14 15 (AT 2:46 P.M., A RECESS WAS 16 TAKEN UNTIL 3:01 P.M.) 17 18 19 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 20 HELD OUTSIDE OF THE JURY'S 21 PRESENCE:) 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENDANT AND 23 COUNSEL ARE HERE. BEFORE WE BRING THE JURORS 24 HERE, MY COURT REPORTER HAS TOLD ME THAT SHE IS 25 FAMILIAR WITH JUROR 13. THEY BELONG TO THE SAME 26 CHURCH AND HAVE HAD SOME SOCIAL CONTACT IN 27

CONNECTION WITH CHURCH.

```
DO YOU WANT ME TO QUIZ THE JUROR AS TO
1
2
   WHETHER THAT WOULD HAVE ANY AFFECT ON HER?
3
        MR. SCHMOCKER: COULD WE SAY SOMETHING TO
   HER? I MEAN I DON'T KNOW ABOUT QUIZZING HER,
4
   BUT --
5
6
         THE COURT: WELL, IT'S A TERM -- IT'S A TERM
   OF ART.
7
        MR. SCHMOCKER: I WOULD ASK SOME INQUIRY.
8
        THE COURT: I WOULD REITERATE THAT SHE CAN'T
9
    HAVE CONTACT WITH THE REPORTER DURING THE TRIAL
10
    AND ASK HER IF THAT WOULD MAKE HER UNCOMFORTABLE
11
    IN ANY WAY, THAT SORT OF THING.
12
        MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT WORKS FOR ME, YOUR
13
    HONOR.
14
15
        THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. FINE.
                AND THEN I UNDERSTAND THAT THE JUROR
16
17
    WHO DISAPPEARED, G-4450, YOU HAVE ALL REACHED AN
18
    AGREEMENT ON.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, I BELIEVE WE HAVE.
19
20
        THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT?
        MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT WOULD BE TO EXCUSE HIM.
21
22
        MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S FINE.
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO HE WILL BE
23
    RELEASED.
24
                IF WE CAN BRING THE JURORS IN.
25
26
27
                (THE JURORS ENTERED THE
                COURTROOM.)
28
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

THAT, YOUR HONOR.

17

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ALL JURORS ARE HERE.

WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE. I KNOW THAT WE TOOK A LONGER BREAK THAN I THOUGHT. WE HAVE A LOT GOING ON IN A CASE LIKE THIS, AND I APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.

FIRST OF ALL, JUROR 13, I UNDERSTAND YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH MY COURT REPORTER.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: YES.

THE COURT: AS YOU KNOW, YOU CAN'T HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH HER ABOUT THE CASE IF YOU SERVE AS A JUROR.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: I UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: ARE YOU OKAY WITH THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: I'M FINE WITH

THE COURT: AND YOU WOULDN'T FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE NOT -- YOU WAVE WAIVE, BUT YOU CAN'T TALK OR EXCHANGE VIEWS ABOUT THE CASE OR EVEN ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE WHILE YOU ARE SERVING AS A JUROR.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: I UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND I KNOW YOU ARE NOT CLOSE FRIENDS BUT YOU DO SEE EACH OTHER IN A CONTEXT, BUT YOU CAN'T -- YOU HAVE TO SORT OF AVOID EACH OTHER IN THAT CONTEXT.

IS THAT OKAY?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: YES, THAT'S FINE.

THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT 1 WOULD MAKE YOU UNCOMFORTABLE OR RELUCTANT TO SERVE 2... 3 AS A JUROR? PROSPECTIVE JUROR D-5649: NO, THERE IS NOT 4 ANYTHING ABOUT THAT. 5 6 THE COURT: OKAY, THANK YOU. 7 ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO ANNOUNCE JURORS WHO ARE EXCUSED. PLEASE WAIT UNTIL I 8 FINISHED, AND THEN IF YOU ARE EXCUSED, YOU OF 9 COURSE HAVE MY THANKS. YOU SHOULD RETURN TO THE 10 JURY ROOM AND TELL THEM THAT YOU HAVE BEEN 11 12 RELEASED. 13 JUROR IN SEAT 2, 9, 12, 23 AND 25. THOSE JURORS ARE EXCUSED. THANK YOU 14 FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION. YOU SHOULD GO BACK TO THE 15 JURY ROOM. PLEASE LEAVE THE CARD ON THE CHAIR 16 17 WHERE YOU ARE NOW. AND WE WILL FILL IN THE EMPTY SEATS 18 STARTING WITH THE JUROR IN SEAT 13. IF YOU COULD 19 20 GO TO SEAT 2, PLEASE. AND THE JUROR IN SEAT 14, IF YOU COULD 21 GO TO SEAT NO. 9 UP ON THE SECOND ROW. 22 AND THE JUROR IN SEAT 15, IF YOU COULD 23 MOVE OVER TO SEAT 12. 24 ALL RIGHT. NOW THE ATTORNEYS ARE 25

GOING TO EXERCISE PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES. THEY ARE

GOING TO ADDRESS THAT TO SEATS 1 THROUGH 12, AND

THEN WE WILL FILL IN THE EMPTY SEATS AS WE JUST

26

27

```
DID WITH SEATS 16 ON.
               IF YOU ARE EXCUSED. YOU HAVE MY
2
3
   THANKS. AND AGAIN. YOU SHOULD GO TO THE JURY ROOM
   AND TELL THEM THAT YOU HAVE BEEN RELEASED.
               THE FIRST PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE IS WITH
5
6
   THE PEOPLE.
7
         MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR-
                THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO PLEASE
8
   THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3.
9
         THE COURT: JUROR 3, MA'AM, YOU ARE EXCUSED.
10
11
                AND JUROR 16, PLEASE GO TO SEAT NO. 3.
12
                DEFENSE --
13
               OOPS, YOU FORGOT SOMETHING? COULD WE
14
    HELP YOU? IS IT --
15
        A JUROR: AN UMBRELLA.
16
                SORRY.
         THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.
17
                ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENSE IS NEXT.
18
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD
19
    ASK THE COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 8.
20
        THE COURT: JUROR 8 IS EXCUSED.
21
22
                JUROR IN SEAT 17, PLEASE GO TO SEAT 8.
                PEOPLE.
23
         MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
24.
                THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO PLEASE
25
    THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9.
26
27
          THE COURT: JUROR 9 IS EXCUSED.
                JUROR 18, PLEASE GO TO SEAT 9.
28
```

1	DEFENSE.
2	MR. SCHMOCKER: I APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR. IT
3	WILL JUST BE A MOMENT.
4	
5	(DEFENSE COUNSEL CONFER.)
6	
7	MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD ASK THE
8	COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 3.
9.	THE COURT: JUROR 3 IS EXCUSED.
LO	JUROR 3.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-7993: OH, THAT'S ME.
12	THE COURT: YES, JUROR SEAT NO. 3. J-6084.
13	AND JUROR 19 GOES TO SEAT 3.
14	PEOPLE ARE NEXT.
15	MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
16	THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO PLEASE
17	THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2.
18	THE COURT: JUROR 2 IS EXCUSED.
19	JUROR 20 GOES TO SEAT NO. 2.
20	DEFENSE.
21	MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD ASK THE
22	COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 3.
23	THE COURT: 3.
24	MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, PLEASE.
25	THE COURT: JUROR 3 IS EXCUSED.
26	AND JUROR 21 GOES TO SEAT NO. 3.
27	PEOPLE.
28	MR. DHANIDINA: PEOPLE ACCEPT THE PANEL AS

```
1
   CONSTITUTED:
         THE COURT: DEFENSE.
2
        MR. SCHMOCKER: WE WOULD ASK THE COURT TO
3
   THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 3.
        THE COURT: JUROR NO. 3, SIR, YOU ARE
5
   EXCUSED.
6
               JUROR 22, PLEASE TAKE SEAT NO. 3.
7
               PEOPLE.
8
9
         MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ACCEPT THE PANEL
10
    AS CONSTITUTED.
        THE COURT: DEFENSE.
11
        MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THE
12
    COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 7.
13
         THE COURT: JUROR IN SEAT 7, MA'AM, YOU ARE
14
15
    EXCUSED.
                JUROR IN SEAT 24 GOES TO SEAT NO. 7.
16
        MR. SCHMOCKER: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR, THAT
17
    WAS OLD NO. 22? 24?
18
         THE COURT: THE JUROR IN SEAT 7 IS C-6782 WHO
19
    WAS FORMERLY IN SEAT 24.
20
         MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.
21
                PEOPLE.
22
        MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
23
                THE PEOPLE ACCEPT THE PANEL AS
24
25
    CONSTITUTED.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK --
26
         THE COURT: DEFENSE.
2.7
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO
28
```

```
1
   THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 7.
2
         THE COURT: JUROR 7 IS EXCUSED.
               JUROR 26, PLEASE TAKE SEAT NO. 7.
3
4
               PEOPLE.
         MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
5
6
               THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO PLEASE
    THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7.
7
8
         THE COURT: SIR, YOU ARE EXCUSED.
9
               AND JUROR IN SEAT 27, SEAT 7, PLEASE.
10
                THE DEFENSE IS NEXT.
         MS. VITALE: MAY WE HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR
11
12
   HONOR?
13
14
               (DEFENSE COUNSEL AND THE
15
                DEFENDANT CONFER.)
16
17
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE ACCEPT THE
    JURY AS PRESENTLY CONSTITUTED.
18
19
         THE COURT: PEOPLE.
         MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO
20
    PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4.
21
22
         THE COURT: JUROR 4 IS EXCUSED.
                ALL RIGHT. WE WILL CALL JURORS UP TO
23
    THE EMPTY SEATS BEGINNING FIRST WITH SEAT NO. 4
24
    AND THEN 13 ON.
25
26
         THE CLERK: B-4751, SEAT NO. 4.
27
                N --
         THE COURT: EXCUSE ME ONE SECOND.
28
```

```
GO AHEAD.
1
         THE CLERK: N-1570 WOULD BE SEAT 13.
2
                M-9028, SEAT 14.
3
                L-0671, SEAT 15.
                0-9824, SEAT 16.
5
                B-8940, SEAT 17.
6
                F-1438, SEAT 18.
7
                Q-4527, SEAT 19.
8
                S-4922, SEAT 20.
9
                M-7882, SEAT 21.
10
                M-8404, SEAT 22.
11
                H-5638, SEAT 23.
12
                C-5140, SEAT 24.
13
                R-2988. R-2988.
14
                DID WE EXCUSE THEM?
15
         THE COURT: NO. CALL THE JURY ROOM, BUT WE
16
    WILL PROCEED WITH WHAT WE HAVE.
17
         THE CLERK: OKAY.
18
                ALL RIGHT. THE NEW JURORS ARE ALL
19
20
    SEATED.
                AS BEFORE, I WILL GO THROUGH THE NEW
21
    JURORS AND DID YOU AND YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO
22
    YOUR QUESTIONNAIRES, AND THEN I WILL ASK ANY
23
    QUESTION THAT I HAVE FROM YOUR ANSWERS.
24
                JUROR NO. 4, GOOD AFTERNOON.
25
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: I WANT TO ADD I
26
    DIDN'T WRITE DOWN THAT I HAVE A FRIEND THAT IS A
27
    POLICE SERGEANT FOR L.A.P.D.
28
```

```
1
         THE COURT: YOU DO?
2
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YEAH.
3
        THE COURT: AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT AREA OF THE
   CITY YOUR FRIEND WORKS IN?
4
5
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: VAN NUYS.
6
        THE COURT: DO YOU EVER TALK TO YOUR FRIEND
7
   ABOUT WORK?
8
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: NO, BECAUSE I --
   WE DON'T REALLY TALK ABOUT WORK. THAT'S WHY I
9
10
   FORGOT TO PUT IT DOWN.
11
        THE COURT: OKAY.
12
               ANY OTHER THINGS THAT YOU HAD TO --
   WANTED TO ADD OR CLARIFY?
13
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: NO.
14
15
        THE COURT: OKAY. IN THE QUESTIONS ABOUT
    GANGS, YOU -- IT WASN'T QUITE CLEAR. YOU MADE
16
   REFERENCE TO SOMEONE GROWING UP IN RAMONA GARDENS.
17
   TAHT EAW OHW
18
19
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751:
                                    ME.
20
        THE COURT: YOURSELF?
21
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YEAH.
        THE COURT: SO YOU GREW UP IN A HOUSING
22
23
   DEVELOPMENT?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YEAH.
24
        THE COURT: AND I'M SURE HAD CONTACT WITH
25
    PEOPLE WHO BELONGED TO GANGS AND GROUPS LIKE THAT?
26
27
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: UH-HUH.
        THE COURT: AND -- I'M SORRY, YOU HAVE TO
28
```

```
ANSWER WITH WORDS.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YES. YES.
2
         THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
3
   EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR
   IN THIS CASE?
5
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: NO.
6
        THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, YOU -- IT'S
7
    OBVIOUSLY PART OF YOUR ---
8
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: I WAS A FORMER
9
    GANG MEMBER.
10
         THE COURT: YOUR BEING, YES. BUT YOU CAN'T
11
    LET THAT AFFECT YOUR JUDGEMENT.
12
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT. RIGHT.
                                                     I
13
   UNDERSTAND THAT.
14
         THE COURT: CAN YOU DO THAT?
15
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YES.
16
         THE COURT: SO HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF THE
17
    PARTICULAR GROUP THAT WE REFERRED TO IN THIS CASE,
18
    BOUNTY HUNTER?
19
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: I HAVE HEARD OF IT
20
    WHEN THEY HAD THAT HBO SPECIAL ABOUT THE CRIPS AND
21
    ALL THAT STUFF, BUT NO.
22
          THE COURT: OKAY. ANYTHING ABOUT THAT HEO
23
    PROGRAM THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS?
24
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: NO.
25
          THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THOSE ARE
26
    THINGS YOU CAN'T TAKE INTO ACCOUNT?
27
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT.

```
1
        THE COURT: IF YOU ARE A JUROR IN THIS CASE,
2
   YOU HAVE TO DEPEND UPON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN
   COURT?
3
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT.
4
        THE COURT: CAN YOU DO THAT?
5
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: UH-HUH.
6
7
        THE COURT: OKAY.
               I'M SORRY. YOU HAVE TO ANSWER WITH
8
9
    CLEAR WORDS, YES OR NO.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YES. OR NO OR
10
11
    WHATEVER --
         THE COURT: BUT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO FOCUS
12
    ONLY ON THE EVIDENCE?
13
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT, YES.
14
15
        THE COURT: THANK YOU.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YOU'RE WELCOMED.
16
17
        THE COURT: JUROR 13, GOOD AFTERNOON.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: GOOD AFTERNOON.
18
19
        THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING NEW?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: NO.
20
         THE COURT: YOU SAID IN -- YOU ARE A LEGAL
21
22
    SECRETARY?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: CORRECT.
23
         THE COURT: AND CURRENTLY YOU WORK FOR A
24
    PRIVATE LAW FIRM THAT DOESN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO
25
    WITH CRIMINAL LAW?
26
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: CORRECT.
27
         THE COURT: IS IT LIKE BUSINESS LAW OR
28
```

```
LITIGATION OR --
1
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: IT'S BUSINESS
3
   LITIGATION.
        THE COURT: OKAY. BUT IN THE PAST, YOU HAVE
   WORKED FOR BOTH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND THE
5
   PUBLIC DEFENDER.
6
       PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: YES.
7
8
       THE COURTS IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
    EXPERIENCE OF WORKING FOR THE D.A. OR THE PUBLIC
9
    DEFENDER THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS?
10
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: NO. I THINK THAT
11
    WORKING ON BOTH SIDES WOULD MAKE ME HAVE A FAIR
12
    OPINION.
13
        THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHICH DID YOU LIKE
   BEST?
15
    PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: I LIKED THEM BOTH.
16
    I WORKED ONLY A YEAR FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.
17
    AND I WORKED FOR FIVE YEARS FOR THE PUBLIC
18
    DEFENDER IN THIS BUILDING.
19
20
        THE COURT: UH-HUH.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: I WAS VERY BUSY.
21
    BUT I LEFT IN 1978, SO IT'S BEEN QUITE SOME TIME.
22
        THE COURT: I KNOW, IT WENT BACK A WAYS.
23
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: UH-HUH.
24
         THE COURT: BUT THERE WEREN'T ANY
25
    PARTICULARLY NEGATIVE OR POSITIVE EXPERIENCES THAT
26
    WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE LAWYERS IN THIS
27
    CASE OR THE ISSUES?
28
```

```
1
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR N-1570: NOT AT ALL.
 2
         THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
 3
                JUROR 14, GOOD AFTERNOON.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-9028: GOOD AFTERNOON.
 5
         THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WANTED TO
 6
    ADD TO YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-9028:
7
                                    NO.
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND I DID NOT HAVE
8
9
    ANY FOLLOW-UP.
10
               JUROR 15, GOOD AFTERNOON.
11
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: GOOD AFTERNOON.
        THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
12
13
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: NO.
14
         THE COURT: IN THE -- I HAVE A FEW THINGS.
    IN THE QUESTION ABOUT LAW ENFORCEMENT, THERE WAS A
15
   QUESTION HAVE YOU OR ANYONE CLOSE TO YOU WORKED IN
16
   THE FIELD OF LAW ENFORCEMENT. YOU MENTIONED A
17
   COUSIN. WHAT KIND OF --
18
19
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: PRISON GUARDS.
         THE COURT: PRISON GUARDS. SO IT'S MORE THAN
20
21
   ONE?
22
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671:
                                    TWO.
23
         THE COURT: YOU HAVE TWO COUSINS?
24
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YES.
        THE COURT: AND ARE THEY PRISON GUARDS HERE
25
   IN CALIFORNIA?
26
27
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YES.
         THE COURT: DO YOU EVER TALK TO THEM ABOUT
28
```

THEIR WORK? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YEP. 2 THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT THEY 3 HAVE TOLD YOU, THEIR EXPERIENCES, THAT WOULD HAVE 4 AN EFFECT ON YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: IT COULD. 6 THE COURT: IT COULD? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YEAH. 8 THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE TO PUT THAT ASIDE. 9 IN OTHER WORDS, I DON'T -- I DON'T SEE ANY NEED TO 10 GET INTO THE DETAILS WHETHER THEY TOLD YOU THEY 11 LIKED THEIR JOB OR THEY HATE THEIR JOB OR THEY 12 LIKE THE PEOPLE THEY WORK WITH OR THEY DON'T LIKE 13 THEM OR THEY HAVE HAD GOOD EXPERIENCE OR BAD 14 EXPERIENCES WITH INMATES. 1.5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: RIGHT. 16 THE COURT: BUT YOU HAVE TO DISREGARD ALL 17 THAT AND REALLY FOCUS ON WHAT IS PRESENTED IN THIS 18 TRIAL. 19 CAN YOU DO THAT? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YES. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. AND I WAS A LITTLE UNCLEAR 22 ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE ON JURIES. HAVE YOU SERVED IN A TRIAL ON A JURY? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YES. 25 THE COURT: ABOUT HOW MANY TIMES? 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: ONCE. 27 THE COURT: ONE TIME. 28

```
ŀ
               WAS THAT A CRIMINAL OR CIVIL CASE?
2
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: CIVIL CASE.
3
         THE COURT: CIVIL. THANK YOU.
4
               AND WHAT ARE YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE
5
   PENALTY ISSUES HERE? YOU INDICATED IN THE WRITTEN
   RESPONSES THAT YOU REALLY DIDN'T HAVE MUCH OF A
6
7
   VIEW.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: I DON'T. I DON'T.
8
         THE COURT: HAVE YOU GIVEN ANY THOUGHT TO
9
10.
   THAT?
11
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: NO.
12
        THE COURT: NO?
13
               YOU HAVE HEARD SOME OF THE DISCUSSIONS
    THAT WE HAVE HAD WITH OTHER JURORS.
14
15
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YES.
         THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY THINGS THAT HAVE
16
17
   BEEN RAISED THAT CONCERN YOU?
18
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: NO.
19
         THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY ISSUES THAT YOU
    THINK YOU WOULD HAVE TROUBLE WITH WHERE YOU
20
    COULDN'T APPROACH IT IN A FAIR AND OPEN-MINDED
21
22
    WAY?
23
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YEP.
24
         THE COURT: WHAT WOULD THAT BE?
25
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: I GREW UP IN
    LOS ANGELES, AND I DON'T WANT TO DEAL WITH NONE OF
26
    THE PEOPLE THAT YOU WOULD HAVE UP IN THIS COURT,
27
    IF I SEE THEM IN THE STREET, I DON'T WANT TO SAY
28
```

1 I'M SORRY. IF I MADE A BAD DECISION, IT WOULD
2 REFLECT ME. I WANT TO GO OUT ON THE STREET AND
3 NOT SEE NOBODY. I WANT TO GO BACK TO WORK. I
4 DON'T WANT TO SEE -- I DON'T WANT TO GO THAT FAR.
5 WITH IT. THAT'S HOW I FEEL, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

1.5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: THE NEIGHBORHOOD
YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT WHERE THAT GANG IS, I'VE
BEEN IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD.

THE COURT: SURE.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: ALL I'M SAYING IS

I LIVE IN L.A. AND I -- I DON'T WANT NO -- TO SEE

NOBODY.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, WHAT IF YOU WERE SELECTED IN THE CASE, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO DO YOUR DUTIES AND EVALUATE THE EVIDENCE, OR WOULD YOU JUST TELL ME TO GO POUND SAND?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: I WOULDN'T TELL YOU THAT PERSONALLY.

THE COURT: I KNOW THAT. I'M BEING FUNNY,
BUT -- OR TRYING TO. BUT YOU SEE WHAT I'M TRYING
TO GET AT. SOME JURORS -- I'VE MET JURORS WHO
SAID, YOU KNOW WHAT, I DON'T CARE WHAT YOU TELL
ME, I'M NOT GOING TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS CASE.
AND OTHERS WHO HAVE SAID, YOU KNOW, I WOULD RATHER
NOT BE HERE, BUT I UNDERSTAND WHAT MY DUTIES ARE,
AND I'LL DO MY BEST.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: I UNDERSTAND WHAT

```
YOU ARE SAYING, BUT I'M SAYING THAT I WOULD RATHER
1
2
   NOT BE ON THIS CERTAIN JURY. I WOULD RATHER NOT
   BE ON. IF IT WAS SOME OTHER TYPE OF CASE,
3
4
    PROBABLY.
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
5
 6
               JUROR 16, GOOD AFTERNOON.
7
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: GOOD AFTERNOON.
        THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO
8
9
    YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
10
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: NO. NO.
        THE COURT: YOU SAID THAT YOU WERE HELD UP AT
11
    GUNPOINT SOME TIME AGO.
12
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: YEAH, WHILE AT
13
    WORK.
14
         THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT,
15
16
    ROUGHLY?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: YEAH, ROUGHLY
17
    ABOUT TEN, TWELVE YEARS.
18
19
         THE COURT: TEN TO TWELVE YEARS AGO.
20
               AND THAT WAS AT WORK?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: YEAH.
21
        THE COURT: AND WHAT KIND OF A WORKPLACE WAS
22
    THAT? WAS IT LIKE A STORE?
23
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: NO, I USED TO WORK
24
    FOR UPS DELIVERY.
25
        THE COURT: UH-HUH. AND SO YOU WERE OUT
26
27
    MAKING ROUNDS?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: RIGHT. RIGHT.
28
```

```
THE COURT: WERE YOU INJURED?
1
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: NO.
2
         THE COURT: DID YOU REPORT IT TO THE POLICE?
3
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR O-9824: YEAH, AND I HAVE
4
   TO GET SOME PICTURES.
5
        THE COURT: OKAY. AND WERE THERE WEAPONS
   INVOLVED?
7
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: YEAH.
8
         THE COURT: A GUN OR A KNIFE?
9
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: PISTOL.
10
         THE COURT: A GUN. OKAY.
11
               ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT WOULD AFFECT
12
   YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR?
13
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: I DON'T THINK SO.
14
         THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
15
                NOW, YOU EXPRESSED SOME NEGATIVE VIEWS
16
   ABOUT THE IDEA OF LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT PAROLE
17
   FOR CERTAIN CRIMES.
18
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: I DON'T BELIEVE IN
19
    LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE, UNLESS MAYBE YOU HAVE LIFE
20
    WITHOUT PAROLE IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT. SO I
21
    CONSIDER THAT EQUAL TO THE DEATH PENALTY.
22
    OTHERWISE, I WOULD JUST AS SOON SEE A CRIMINAL BE
23
    PUT TO DEATH.
24
         THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, IN THIS CASE, AS WE
25
    HAVE TRIED TO EXPLAIN, THE JURORS ARE GOING TO
26
    HAVE TO WEIGH THE TWO CHOICES AND DO SO IN A
27
    SERIOUS WAY, NOT JUST SAY, WELL, I'LL DO IT AND
28
```

```
1
   THEN VOTE FOR THE ONE THEY LIKE BEST. BUT REALLY
2
   LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE, WEIGH IT, EVALUATE
   EVERYTHING AND DETERMINE WHAT IS BEST FOR THIS
3
4
   CASE.
5
               CAN YOU DO THAT?
6
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: YEAH, I TRY MY
7.
   BEST.
8
         THE COURT: BUT CAN YOU DO IT?
9.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: I GUESS. I'LL
10
   TRY. I'LL DO IT, I GUESS.
         THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE
11
12
   YOU COULD CONCEIVE OF ACTUALLY VOTING FOR LIFE IN
13
   PRISON AS OPPOSED TO DEATH? OR WOULD YOUR
14
   PREFERENCE --
15
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: I'M AGAINST --
16
   IT'S BEEN SINCE I'VE BEEN -- AFTER ALL THE -- I
    CAN'T SEE WHAT IS THE REASON FOR KEEPING A PERSON
17
    ALIVE FOR THE REST OF HIS LIFE IN PRISON, UNLESS
18
    IT'S LIKE SOLITARY CONFINEMENT. IN OTHER WORDS, I
19
20
    WOULD JUST AS SOON THE PERSON SUFFER FOR THE REST
    OF HIS LIFE.
21
22
        THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
23.
                JUROR 17, GOOD AFTERNOON.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: GOOD AFTERNOON.
24
        THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO
25
26
    YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: NO.
27
```

THE COURT: SO YOU TOOK SOME CLASSES IN THE

```
AREA OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT?
1
2
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: YES, I DID.
         THE COURT: DID YOU EVER WANT TO PURSUE THAT
3
4
   AS A CAREER?
5
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: YES, I DID.
         THE COURT: AND -- BUT YOU ARE NOW WORKING IN
6
7
   A DIFFERENT FIELD?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: YES, I AM. WELL,
8
9
    IN THE SAME FIELD I WAS WORKING AT THAT TIME.
10.
         THE COURT: WELL, A FIELD DIFFERENT FROM LAW
11
    ENFORCEMENT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: CORRECT.
12
         THE COURT: I SHOULD BE MORE EXACT.
13.
                AND IS THERE ANY REASON YOU DIDN'T
14
    PURSUE LAW ENFORCEMENT? YOU ARE JUST HAPPY DOING
15
    WHAT YOU DO NOW, OR DID YOU FIND SOMETHING ABOUT
16
    LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT YOU DIDN'T LIKE OR WHAT?
1.7
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: I WAS OFFERED A
18
    PROMOTION AT THAT TIME WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT THAT
19
    I WORK IN NOW.
20
         THE COURT: SO YOU GOT A BETTER DEAL WHERE
21
    YOU ARE NOW?
22
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-8940: RIGHT.
23
         THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU.
24
25
                JUROR 18, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO
    ADD?
26
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-6556; JUST THAT I HAVE
27
    AN OUTSIDE COMMITMENT ON FRIDAY THE 20TH OF MARCH.
28
```

```
1
   I KNOW THAT IS OUTSIDE YOUR DATES, BUT I WOULD
   LIKE IT TO BE NOTED.
2
3
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WILL NOTE THAT.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: IN THE AFTERNOON.
4
5
        THE COURT: I DON'T THINK WE ARE GOING TO
б
    INTERFERE WITH THAT, BUT THANK YOU.
7
               YOU SAID THAT YOUR FATHER HAD A
   SITUATION WHEN HE WAS WORKING AT A GAS STATION,
8
   ATTEMPTED ROBBERY.
9
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: YES.
10
        THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
11
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: THAT WOULD HAD TO
12
    HAVE BEEN PROBABLY 12 OR SO YEARS AGO.
13
         THE COURT: AND WAS YOUR FATHER INJURED IN
14
15
    ANY WAY?
16
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: NO, HE WAS NOT.
17
        THE COURT: AND YOUR FATHER, IT SOUNDS LIKE
    HE DEFENDED HIMSELF.
18
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: THAT'S CORRECT.
19
20
        THE COURT: BUT WAS HE -- NO CHARGES WERE
    FILED AGAINST HIM OR ANY OTHER KIND OF --
21
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: THAT'S CORRECT.
22
         THE COURT: -- ARREST OR ANYTHING OF THAT
23
    NATURE?
24
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: NO.
25
         THE COURT: ANYTHING ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE
26
    THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS IN THIS CASE?
27
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: I DON'T BELIEVE
28
```

```
1
   SO, NO.
        THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
2
               JUROR 19, GOOD AFTERNOON.
3
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: GOOD AFTERNOON.
         THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO
5
   YOUR OUESTIONNAIRE?
6
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: NO.
7
         THE COURT: YOU HAVE EXPRESSED SOME VIEWS
8
    ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY AND LIFE IN PRISON WITHOUT
9
    PAROLE. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER THOUGHTS ABOUT
10
    THOSE ISSUES HAVING HEARD OTHERS?
11
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: I BASICALLY --
12
13
   WELL, IN PART I AGREE WITH -- I FORGOT -- JUROR
    NO. 16 IN TERMS OF NOT BELIEVING IN LIFE WITHOUT
14
    PAROLE BECAUSE -- BUT MY REASONING FOR THAT IS
15
    WHAT'S THE POINT? IT'S BITHER YOU LET THE PERSON
16
    HAVE A CHANCE TO REDEEM THEMSELVES OR THERE IS NO
17
    POINT. I BELIEVE: IT IS A HUGE TAX BURDEN TO PUT
18
    SOMEBODY IN PRISON FOR LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE. SO --
19
20
         THE COURT: WELL, THAT IS NOT THE LAW OF THE
    STATE.
21
22
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: NO.
         THE COURT: THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAYS
23
    OTHERWISE, THAT THAT IS AN APPROPRIATE PUNISHMENT
24
    UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.
25
               ARE YOU -- WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT
26
27
    THAT?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: I DISAGREE WITH

1 THAT. SO --2 THE COURT: WOULD THAT HAVE AN EFFECT ON YOUR VIEW OF THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE OR YOUR DECISION 3 MAKING AS TO THE APPROPRIATE PENALTY? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: I DON'T KNOW 6 BECAUSE I -- TO BE HONEST, I AM A VERY OPINIONATED 7 PERSON. I HOLD VERY STRONG OPINIONS ABOUT THINGS, AND THAT IS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAVE COME TO 8 9 BELIEVE. SO I CAN'T SAY BECAUSE I HAVE NEVER BEEN 10 IN A SITUATION WHERE I WOULD HAVE TO, YOU KNOW --I HAVE NEVER SERVED ON A JURY SO, YOU KNOW, I 11 12 CAN'T SAY HOW I WOULD REACT IN A SITUATION LIKE 13 THAT. SO --14 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. JUROR 20, GOOD AFTERNOON. 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: HELLO, THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: NO. THE COURT: SO YOUR HUSBAND WORKS AS A 19 CUSTODY OFFICER FOR A POLICE DEPARTMENT IN ORANGE 20 21 COUNTY? PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES. 22 THE COURT: AND WHAT DOES THAT INVOLVE? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: WHEN CRIMINALS ARE 24 25 ARRESTED, HE PUTS THEM IN JAIL. 26. THE COURT: SO THAT IS A LOCAL LIKE CITY 27 JAIL?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES.

```
THE COURT: AS OPPOSED TO THE COUNTY --
1
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES.
2
         THE COURT: -- JAIL?
3
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES.
         THE COURT: AND HOW LONG HAS HE BEEN DOING
5
   THAT KIND OF WORK?
б
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: FOUR YEARS.
         THE COURT: YOU SAID THAT YOU HAVE A YOUNG
8:
   COUSIN WHO WAS SHOT?
9
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES.
10
         THE COURT: AND FROM WHAT YOU KNOW, WERE
11
   THERE ANY GANG ISSUES INVOLVED, OR WAS IT JUST A
12
13
    PUZZLE?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: JUST A PUZZLE.
14
         THE COURT: JUST A RANDOM STREET SHOOTING?
15
16
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES.
         THE COURT: AND YOUR COUSIN WAS IN THE WRONG
17
   PLACE AT THE WRONG TIME?
18
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 9-4922: RIGHT.
19
         THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
20
    EXPERIENCE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A
21
22
    JUROR?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: NO.
23
         THE COURT: YOU NEED TO PUT THAT ASIDE AND
24
    JUDGE THIS CASE FROM THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED HERE.
25
                CAN YOU DO THAT?
26
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YES.
27
         THE COURT: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
28
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: ONE YEAR. THE COURT: ONE YEAR AGO. 2 3 THANK YOU. 4 AND YOU SAID IN REGARD TO THE PENALTY 5 DETERMINATION THAT THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT WOULD WEIGH ON YOUR MIND. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: YEAH. THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER THOUGHTS 8 ABOUT THAT? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: I DON'T THINK IT 10 IS A DECISION THAT I WOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE 11 12 MAKING. 13 THE COURT: WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO DO IT IF YOU WERE SELECTED ON THIS TRIAL? PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: I WOULD HAVE TO. 15 I WOULD HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION. I WOULDN'T BE 16 17 COMFORTABLE WITH IT, BUT I WOULD HAVE TO DO WHAT'S 18 RIGHT. 19 THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, IF YOU WERE 20 SELECTED, WOULD YOU GO THROUGH THE PROCESS THAT I 21 HAVE TRIED TO DESCRIBE OF WEIGHING ALL THE FACTORS AND AT THE END OF IT BE ABLE TO MAKE YOUR BEST 22 23 DECISION FROM EVERYTHING PRESENTED? OR WOULD IT BE A SITUATION WHERE -- AND IT SOMETIMES COMES UP 24 WHERE A JUROR SAYS, YOU KNOW, I JUST DON'T THINK I 25 CAN DO IT. 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: TO BE HONEST, I 27

JUST DON'T THINK I COULD DO IT. I DON'T.

```
1
         THE COURT: THANK YOU.
2
               JUROR 21, GOOD AFTERNOON.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: GOOD AFTERNOON.
3
         THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
4
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: NO, I DON'T, SIR.
5
         THE COURT: SO YOUR HUSBAND WORKS FOR THE
6
   PROBATION DEPARTMENT?
7
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: MY PARTNER DOES.
8
         THE COURT: YOUR PARTNER, I'M SORRY.
9
               AND THAT IS HERE IN L.A. COUNTY?
10
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: IN SYLMAR.
11
         THE COURT: SYLMAR, UP IN NORTH --
12
13
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: BY MAGIC MOUNTAIN.
         THE COURT: NORTH PART OF THE COUNTY.
14
               ABOUT HOW LONG HAS YOUR PARTNER DONE
15
   THAT KIND OF WORK?
16
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: ABOUT EIGHT YEARS.
17
         THE COURT: EIGHT YEARS.
18
                AND IS THAT WITH JUVENILES OR --
19
20
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: WITH JUVENILES,
21
   YES.
         THE COURT: WITH YOUNG PEOPLE.
22
                ANYTHING ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE THAT
23
    WOULD AFFECT YOUR VIEWS AS A JUROR?
24
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: NO, SIR.
25
         THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
26
                JUROR 22, GOOD AFTERNOON.
27
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: GOOD AFTERNOON.
28
```

```
THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
1
2
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: I BELIEVE THAT I
3
   DIDN'T MENTION THAT -- THE COUNTY OF COMPTON.
   RIGHT, THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, THE AREA OF THE
4
5
   INCIDENT?
6
         THE COURT: NOT FAR FROM THERE.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: OKAY. I GREW UP
7
    IN THE TOWN MYSELF.
8
         THE COURT: YOU MENTIONED THAT. YOU GREW UP
9
    IN COMPTON.
10
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: RIGHT, YEAH.
11
12
         THE COURT: BUT THAT WAS A FEW YEARS AGO?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: THAT WAS SEVERAL
13
    YEARS AGO.
14
        THE COURT: RIGHT.
15
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: I'M KIND OF --
16
        THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH THE
17
    AREA NOW, ANY FAMILY?
18
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: I DON'T WANT --
19
20
    NO, SIR, NO.
         THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOU EXPRESSED SOME
21
    BRIEF THOUGHTS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY AND LIFE IN
22
    PRISON WITHOUT PAROLE.
23
                DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER THOUGHTS ON
24
25
    THAT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: NO, NOT REALLY.
26
         THE COURT: DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO
27
    DO THE KIND OF DECISION-MAKING THAT WE HAVE
28
```

```
DESCRIBED IF YOU WERE SELECTED AS A JUROR IN THIS
1
2
   TRIAL?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-8404: IF I HAD TO, I
3:
   GUESS, BUT I REALLY WOULDN'T LIKE TO HAVE IT ON MY
   CONSCIOUS. I'M NOT ONE OF THOSE WHO LIKES TO HAVE
5
   THINGS ON YOUR MIND AND IT KEEPS YOUR MIND
6
   ROLLING. I DON'T WANT TO HAVE SOMERODY ELSE'S
7
ä
   SOUL ON MY BRAINS HERE. IT DON'T SOUND TOO GOOD.
9
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10
               JUROR 23, GOOD AFTERNOON.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR H-5638: GOOD AFTERNOON.
11
         THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
12
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR H-5638: NO, NOTHING TO
13
14
   ADD.
         THE COURT: AND I DID NOT HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP
15
16
   QUESTIONS FROM YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE.
17
               JUROR 24, GOOD AFTERNOON.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: GOOD AFTERNOON,
18
    SIR.
19
         THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?
20
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: NO, SIR.
21
               YOU ARE RETIRED?
22
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: YES, SIR.
23
         THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF WORK DID YOU DO
24.
   BEFORE YOU RETIRED?
25
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: I WAS A BARTENDER.
26
         THE COURT: AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN
27
28
    RETIRED?
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: OH, ABOUT TEN
1
   YEARS.
2
3
        THE COURT: AND IT LOOKS LIKE YOU KEEP
   YOURSELF BUSY.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR F-1438: YOU SAY YOU ARE
    INVOLVED IN COOKING, CHESS, READING?
6
7
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: YES, SIR.
        THE COURT: JOGGING?
8
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: YES, SIR.
9:
10
        THE COURT: THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR YOU, THANK
11
    YOU.
12
              ALL RIGHT. WE CAN HEAR QUESTIONS FROM
    THE DEFENSE.
13
14
        MR. SCHMOCKER: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, YOUR
15
    HONOR.
16
               GOOD AFTERNOON. I GUESS YOU HEARD A
    LOT FROM ME. I'M SORRY WE CAN'T GET THIS DONE A
17
18
    LITTLE BIT MORE QUICKLY. I HOPE WE CAN DO THIS --
19
    WE WILL GET THROUGH IT.
20
               JUROR NO. 24.
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: YES, SIR.
21
22
        MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU HAD SOME CONFLICTS IN
    REGARDS TO THE DEATH PENALTY OR LIFE IMPRISONMENT.
23.
24
    IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: NO.
25
        MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. IT LOOKED LIKE YOU SAW
26
    THE LIFE IMPRISONMENT AS AN APPROPRIATE PENALTY IN
27
    SOME CASES?
28
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: TRUE. 2 MR. SCHMOCKER: BUT IT LACKED CATHARSIS IS 3 THE WAY YOU PUT IT. WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: WELL, FOR THE 5 6 FAMILIES OF THE VICTIMS, IT WOULD BE AN OPEN 7 WOUND, PERHAPS, TO SEE THE PERPETRATOR TO CONTINUE TO EXIST WHILE THEIR LOVED ONE WAS NO LONGER 8 AROUND. AND FINALIZING IT WITH THE DEATH OF THE 9 PERPETRATOR WOULD SORT OF BE THE END OF THE 10 SITUATION CLEARLY AND COMPLETELY. 11 MR. SCHMOCKER: I UNDERSTAND. THAT'S AN 12 INTERESTING VIEW. 13 NOW, TELL ME HOW DO YOU THINK THAT 14 WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE A JUROR IN THIS 15 16 CASE? PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: MYSELF, TO MAKE A 17 DECISION EITHER WAY? 18 MR. SCHMOCKER: YES. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: IT WOULDN'T AFFECT 20 21 ME. MR. SCHMOCKER: SO YOU WOULDN'T CONSIDER THAT 22 AS ONE OF THE ISSUES? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: NO. NOT FOR ME, 24 25 NO. MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU WOULD JUST CONSIDER THE 25 MITIGATING AND AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES? 27 PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: YES, SIR. 28

```
MR. SCHMOCKER: CAN YOU SEE A CIRCUMSTANCE --
1
2
   CAN YOU SEE A SCENARIO WHERE MR. HARRIS -- YOU
3
   KNOW HE HAS BEEN CONVICTED OF MURDER TWO TIMES?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: UH-HUH.
5
        MR. SCHMOCKER: SAME EVENT.
б
               DO YOU SEE IN MIND A SITUATION WHEREBY
7
   YOU COULD VOTE FOR LIFE?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: IT'S POSSIBLE THE
8
   CIRCUMSTANCES OUTSIDE OF HIS CONTROL ESCALATED OUT
10
   OF HIS CONTROL, PERHAPS THAT WOULD BE MITIGATION.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. SO YOU COULD CONSIDER
11
   MITIGATION EVEN IN THE DEATH CIRCUMSTANCES?
12
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR C-5140: THERE IS NO
13
   ABSOLUTES. SO SOMETHING COULD BE EITHER WAY
   DEPENDING ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES.
15
        MR. SCHMOCKER: I UNDERSTAND. OKAY. THANK
   YOU. THANK YOU.
17
               DOES ANYBODY HAVE A DIFFERENT POINT OF
18
19 VIEW IN REGARDS TO THAT? ANYONE WANT TO DIG IN?
               NO. ALL RIGHT.
20
               JUROR NO. 21?
21
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: YES.
22
        MR. SCHMOCKER: HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN
23
    LIVING -- WHERE ARE YOU LIVING NOW?
24
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: I LIVE IN
25
26
    WHITTIER.
27
         MR. SCHMOCKER: AND ARE YOU A LONG-TIME
    RESIDENT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY?
28.
```

```
1
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: MAYBE 20 YEARS.
2
         MR. SCHMOCKER: OH, OKAY. THAT SOUNDS LIKE A
3
   LONG TIME TO ME.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: YEAH.
4
5
         MR. SCHMOCKER: WHERE ELSE HAVE YOU LIVED IN
6
   L.A. COUNTY?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: IN HIGHLAND PARK.
7
         MR. SCHMOCKER: HAVE YOU HAD ANY EXPERIENCE
8
9
   WITH GANGS THERE?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: I MEAN I KNOW
10
11
    THERE WAS SOME THERE, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHO THEY
12
   ARE.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: NO PARTICULAR NEGATIVE
13
14
   EXPERIENCES?
15
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR M-7882: NO.
16
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
17
   THANK YOU.
18
               AND JUROR NO. 20, DO YOU THINK THAT --
   WOULD YOU BE AN APPROPRIATE JUROR IN THIS CASE?
19
20
   WE ARE LOOKING FOR SOMEBODY WHO COULD CONSIDER
21
    BOTH?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: NO.
22
23
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU JUST CAN'T DO IT?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR S-4922: NO.
24
         MR. SCHMOCKER: JUROR NO. 19, ARE YOU AN
25
   APPROPRIATE JUROR IN THIS CASE? DO YOU THINK YOU
26
    CAN CONSIDER --
27
28
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: I DON'T THINK I AM
```

```
HONESTLY JUST BECAUSE, LIKE I STATED EARLIER, MY
1
   VIEWS ON ONE OF THE TWO CHOICES IS -- IT
2
   DOESN'T -- IT DOESN'T SEEM LIKE A GOOD CHOICE TO
3
   ME. SO --
4
5
       MR. SCHMOCKER: I UNDERSTAND.
               THIS ISN'T ABOUT RIGHT AND WRONG. WE
6
7
   ARE JUST TRYING -- WE JUST WANT TO KNOW WHAT
A.
   PEOPLE THINK.
               ANYBODY ELSE WHO THINKS THEY WOULD NOT
9
10
   BE AN APPROPRIATE JUROR IN THIS CASE? THEY DON'T
   SEEM TO BE RAISING THEIR HANDS OR JUMPING IN ON
11
12
   THIS.
13
               JUROR NO. 15, YOU HAD SOME
   RESERVATIONS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT YOU WOULD BE A
14
15
   GOOD JUROR; IS THAT RIGHT?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: NO.
16
17
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY
18
   RESERVATIONS?
        PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: NO.
19
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU HAD RESERVATIONS ON
20
    SOMETHING, AND I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS. CAN
21
   YOU TELL ME?
22
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: I DON'T RECALL.
23
    YOU HAVE TO BRING IT BACK UP TO ME. I DON'T WANT
24
25
    TO SAY SOMETHING OUT OF TURN.
    MR. SCHMOCKER: LET ME SEE.
26
                OH, OH, OKAY. YOU HAVE RATHER STRONG
27
```

28

FEELINGS ABOUT GANGS.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: WHEN YOU LIVE IN ŀ L.A. AND DEAL WITH L.A., GANGS ARE AROUND, YOU 2 3 LEARN TO STAY AWAY FROM IT AND LET THEM BE TO THEY SELVES AND EVERYBODY AROUND. LIKE I SAID, I DON'T 4 WANT TO GO THROUGH L.A. WITHOUT --5 MR. SCHMOCKER: EVERYBODY AGREES THAT GANGS 6 7 ARE GENERALLY NEGATIVE? IS THAT FAIR TO SAY? DOES ANYBODY DISAGREE WITH THAT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YEAH. 9 MA'AM, YOU SAID THAT WAY WHEN YOU WERE 10. YOUNG YOU WERE A MEMBER OF A GANG, JUROR NO. 4? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: UH-HUH, YEAH 12 MR. SCHMOCKER: AND THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE IN 13 A GANG THAT MIGHT BE GOOD AND SOME ARE BAD, RIGHT? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4.751: WHAT DO YOU MEAN 15 BY GOOD AND BAD? 16 MR. SCHMOCKER: WELL, I MEAN THINGS ARE 17 RELATIVE. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8-4751: RIGHT. I MEAN --19 I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY GOOD AND HAD. I 20 MEAN YOU HAVE TO BE MORE SPECIFIC AS GOOD AND BAD. 21 MOST OF THE PEOPLE THAT ARE IN A GANG ARE 22 TEENAGERS AND KIDS, AND A LOT OF THEM MAKE BAD 23 DECISIONS AND THAT'S WHY THEY ENDED UP THERE. 24 THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THEY ARE A BAD 25 PERSON. THEY COULD DO BAD THINGS, BUT THAT 26 DOESN'T MEAN THAT THEY ARE A HAD PERSON. AND IT 27

IS UP TO THE INDIVIDUAL TO SEE IF THEY WANT TO

STAY THERE OR MOVE ON AND DO SOMETHING BETTER WITH 1 2 . THEIR LIVES. MR. SCHMOCKER: WELL, THANK YOU, MA'AM. I 3 THINK WE LEARNED A LOT FROM THAT. I APPRECIATE 4 THAT. I LEARNED SOMETHING. THANK YOU. 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YOU'RE WELCOMED. MR. SCHMOCKER: AND JUROR NO. 8, YOU HAVEN'T 7 PREVIOUSLY --8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-9579: I HAVEN'T SAID 10 ANYTHING. MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU HAVEN'T SAID ANYTHING. 11 WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THIS PROCESS? 12 WHAT -- MEANING DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE A GOOD 13. JUROR IN THIS CASE? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-9579: WELL, YOU KNOW, I 15 SERVED ON A CIVIL CASE BEFORE. I ENJOYED THE 16 PROCESS IMMENSELY. I THINK IT IS OUR CIVIC DUTY, 17 18 AND I'M HAPPY TO SERVE. 19 I DO HAVE TO SAY THAT I AM VERY BUSY AT WORK, SO I'M A LITTLE PERSONALLY TORN BETWEEN 20 WANTING TO BE AT WORK AND WANTING TO BE HERE AT 21 THE SAME TIME, BUT I DO ENJOY THE PROCESS AND I DO 22 ENJOY BEING A PART IT. 23 MR. SCHMOCKER: LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT LIFE 24 WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. 25 DO YOU SEE THAT AS A POSSIBILITY IN 26 REACHING A DECISION? 27 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-9579: I DO SEE IT AS: A 28

1 POSSIBILITY. MR. SCHMOCKER: AND DO YOU THINK THAT YOU 2 TEND TO, GENERALLY SPEAKING -- NOT ABOUT THIS 3 CASE, BUT GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU THINK THAT 4 BOTH OF THESE PENALTIES ARE VERY SERIOUS 5 6 PENALTIES? PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-9579: I DO BELIEVE BOTH 7 OF THEM ARE SERIOUS. 8 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU BELIEVE ONE IS MORE 9 SERIOUS THAN THE OTHER? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-9579: I DO BELIEVE THAT 11 DEATH IS MORE SERIOUS THAN LIFE IN PRISON. 12 MR. SCHMOCKER: OKAY. AND DO YOU UNDERSTAND 13 THAT NOBODY WILL EVER ORDER YOU TO EXECUTE 14 SOMEBODY OR ORDER YOU TO REACH A DEATH VERDICT. 15 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR J-9579: I UNDERSTAND THAT. 17 MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 19 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 20 MR. DHANIDINA. 21 MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU. 22 THIS IS JUST AS TO THE NEWLY SEATED 23 JURORS, CORRECT? 24 THE COURT: IF THERE IS SOME AREA THAT YOU 25 NEED TO FOLLOW UP, GO AHEAD. 26 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. THANK YOU. 27 JUROR NO. 4, MA'AM, YOU INDICATED SOME

OPINIONS ABOUT OVERALL FAIRNESS OF THE JUSTICE 1 SYSTEM. 2 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YEAH. 4 5 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU FELT THAT THE SYSTEM WAS 6 OFTENTIMES UNFAIR TO POOR PEOPLE? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT. 8 MR. DHANIDINA: AND I THINK YOU SAID. WITH RESPECT TO THE DEATH PENALTY, SOMETHING LIKE YOU 9 NEVER SEE A WEALTHY PERSON GET THE DEATH PENALTY. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT, YES. 11 1.2 MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU THINK THERE IS SOMETHING ABOUT -- THERE IS SOMETHING TO THAT, 13 14 THAT THE SYSTEM FAVORS WEALTHY PEOPLE OVER --PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: I THINK WEALTHY 15 PEOPLE CAN AFFORD BETTER ATTORNEYS, AND THAT'S WHY 16 THEY DON'T END UP ON DEATH ROW. NOT JUST DEATH 17 18 ROW, BUT ANYTHING IN GENERAL THAT IF THERE IS GOING TO BE A POOR PERSON AND A RICH PERSON, THE 19 20 RICH PERSON CAN AFFORD A BETTER DEFENSE THAN A POOR PERSON. SO MOST LIKELY THE POOR PERSON WILL 21 22 GO TO JAIL. BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT I'M NOT --I MEAN THE DEATH PENALTY IS THE LAW, AND IF THAT'S 23 THE LAW AND THE PERSON EARNS THAT OR DOES 24 SOMETHING BAD ENOUGH TO BE PUT TO DEATH, THEN THEY 25 SHOULD BE PUT TO DEATH. 26

BUT THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I

DON'T LIKE THE DEATH PENALTY BECAUSE I DON'T THINK

27

```
IT'S EQUAL BECAUSE OF HOW MUCH -- YOU KNOW, IF YOU
1
   MAKE MORE MONEY YOU ARE LESS LIKELY TO GO TO JAIL.
         MR. DHANIDINA: AND THAT'S A TOTALLY
3
4
   LEGITIMATE POINT TO HAVE.
5
               ARE YOU FAMILIAR AT ALL WITH THE SCOTT
    PETERSON CASE?
б
7
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YES.
         MR. DHANIDINA: WOULD YOU AGREE THAT WAS A
8
    SITUATION WHERE A WEALTHY PERSON DID IN FACT GET
9
10
    THE DEATH PENALTY?
11
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR 8-4751: RIGHT. BUT THAT'S
12
    ONE PERSON OUT OF HOW MANY. I MEAN I COULD BRING
    UP O.J. AND THEN THERE IS ANOTHER THING THERE.
13
14
    MEAN YOU COULD GO BACK AND FORTH ON BRINGING CASES
15
    BACK AND FORTH ON MONEY AND NO MONEY, BUT THAT'S
    ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WOULD SAY THAT THE
16
    JUSTICE SYSTEM IS NOT EQUAL WHEN YOU DON'T HAVE
17
18
   ANY MONEY.
19
         MR. DHANIDINA: DO YOU THINK SOMETIMES
    VICTIMS ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY BASED ON HOW MUCH
20
    MONEY THEY HAVE OR HOW MUCH INFLUENCE THEY HAVE IN
21.
    SOCIETY?
22
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: YES.
23
         MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S NOT FAIR EITHER, IS
24
    TT?
25
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: RIGHT.
26
         MR. DHANIDINA: WITH THIS IDEA IN MIND, IF
27
    YOU ARE SEATED AS A JUROR, YOU KNOW, THIS CONCEPT
```

OF THE JUSTICE SYSTEM DOESN'T EXIST ANYMORE.

6.

9.

1.3

1.5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: THAT QUESTION

ASKED ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY, IF I AGREED OR

WHATEVER -- I FORGOT HOW IT WAS WORDED ABOUT THE

DEATH PENALTY, WHICH IS DIFFERENT THAN BEING ON A

JURY AND HAVING TO DECIDE IF SOMEONE DESERVES LIFE

IN PRISON AND SOMEONE DESERVES THE DEATH PENALTY,

BECAUSE THAT IS THE LAW, THAT IS THE CHOICES THAT

YOU HAVE. I MIGHT NOT LIKE THE LAW, BUT THAT IS

THE LAW OF THE LAND, SO WE HAVE TO GO WITH WHAT

THE LAW SAYS.

SO I WOULD HAVE TO HEAR ALL THE
EVIDENCE AND SAY, YOU KNOW, I MIGHT NOT LIKE IT
AND I MIGHT NOT AGREE WITH IT, BUT THAT IS THE LAW
AND WE HAVE TO GO BY THE LAW.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. ARE YOU GOING TO
CONSIDER THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ATTORNEYS INVOLVED
IN THE CASE, YOU KNOW, IN DETERMINING WHETHER I
THINK THAT ATTORNEY IS BETTER THAN THE OTHER ONE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: NO, I WOULD -- WELL, IF YOU HAVE BETTER EVIDENCE. I MEAN IF YOU PRESENT YOUR CASE BETTER THAN THE OTHER ONE.

I MEAN BETTER? WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY BETTER?

MR. DHANIDINA: YOU ARE THE ONE WHO BROUGHT IT UP THAT SOMETIMES PEOPLE HAVE BETTER LAWYERS THAN OTHER PEOPLE AND THAT THAT AFFECTS HOW FAIR THE SYSTEM IS.

SO ALL I'M ASKING IS, ARE YOU GOING TO BE THINKING ABOUT WHETHER YOU THINK ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER IS GETTING THE KIND OF REPRESENTATION THAT YOU THINK THEY SHOULD HAVE?

2.

3.

12:

22:

23:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: NO, I'M JUST GOING TO SEE WHAT THE EVIDENCE EACH ATTORNEY PRESENTS, AND WITH THAT, THEN YOU MAKE YOUR DECISION.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. IF YOU ARE SELECTED TO SIT AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE, ARE YOU GOING TO BE CURIOUS ABOUT WHETHER, YOU KNOW, THE VICTIM COMES FROM A POOR BACKGROUND OR THE DEFENDANT COMES FROM A POOR BACKGROUND? ARE YOU GOING TO LET THOSE TYPES OF THINGS AFFECT HOW YOU VIEW THE EVIDENCE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: IF THAT IS NOT

PART OF THE EVIDENCE, I WOULDN'T CONSIDER IT. I'M

JUST SUPPOSED TO CONSIDER WHATEVER EVIDENCE YOU

PRESENT. IF THAT IS NOT PART OF THE EVIDENCE,

THEN THAT IS NOT SOMETHING I'M GOING TO THINK

ABOUT BECAUSE SOMEBODY RICH DID TWO PEOPLE AND

KILLED THEM THAT DOESN'T MATTER. ON THIS PHASE OF

THE TRIAL, HE IS ALREADY CONVICTED, SO IT DOESN'T

MATTER IF HE HAD MONEY OR NO MONEY TO GET

CONVICTED BECAUSE NOW WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE

PENALTY PHASE OF IT.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR B-4751: SO THAT DOESN'T MATTER. IF ONE OF THE ATTORNEYS BRINGS IT UP, THEN THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO

CONSIDER AS A JURY, BUT THAT IS NOT SOMETHING I'M

GOING TO BE THINKING ABOUT WHEN YOU ARE PRESENTING

YOUR EVIDENCE.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15, THAT IS YOU, SIR?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: YES.

MR. DHANIDINA: JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON WHAT I
THINK HAS BEEN ASKED OF YOU BEFORE, AND WE HAVE TO
BE DIRECT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THE COURT REPORTER IS
TAKING EVERYTHING DOWN. ARE YOU CONCERNED THAT IF
YOU WERE SEATED AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE THE
RESULT -- THE VERDICT THAT YOU REACHED MIGHT
JEOPARDIZE YOUR SAFETY DOWN THE ROAD BEING IN
CERTAIN NEIGHBORHOOD?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: CORRECT. I DON'T
WANT TO SEE NOBODY. I DON'T WANT TO SEE NONE OF
THE JURY MEMBERS OR NONE OF THE WITNESSES IN HERE,
I DON'T WANT TO SEE THEM. I DON'T WANT TO SEE
THEM HERE, I DON'T WANT TO SEE THEM OUT IN THE
STREET, I DON'T WANT TO SEE THEM PERIOD. THAT'S
WHAT I'M SAYING, ON THIS CASE. IF IT WAS ANOTHER
TYPE OF CASE AND I'M NOT REALLY DEALING WITH
SOMEBODY'S LIFE OR THEIR WELL-BEING OR TRYING TO
MAKE A DECISION OVER THAT, THEN IT MAY BE
SOMETHING DIFFERENT. BUT AT THIS TIME, NO.

MR. DHANIDINA: SO YOU DON'T -- DO YOU FEEL LIKE YOU WOULD LET THAT CONCERN FOR YOUR OWN PERSONAL SAFETY --

1 PROSPECTIVE M-7163: YES, I WOULD. 2 MR. DHANIDINA: -- AFFECT YOU IN THE OUTCOME OF THE CASE? 3 PROSPECTIVE M-7163: YES, I WOULD. MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU. 5 I JUST WANTED TO BE A LITTLE BIT MORE EXPLICIT ABOUT THAT. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR L-0671: OKAY. 8 MR. DHANIDINA: JUROR NO. 16, YOU INDICATED 9 THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE THE POINT TO LIFE WITHOUT 10 PAROLE BECAUSE YOU FELT THAT IT'S JUST AS BAD AS 11 DEATH. 12 13 WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: I WOULD JUST AS SOON SEE THESE CRIMINALS IN THIS CASE IN A WAY 15 16 THAT HE WON'T BE ABLE TO HURT NO ONE NO MORE. SOMETHING LIKE THAT ANYWAY. 17 18 MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. NOW, AT THIS STAGE IN THE GAME AS THE JUDGE HAS EXPLAINED TO YOU, THERE 19 20 ARE REALLY TWO CHOICES FOR THE JURY THAT IS SELECTED. THE PUNISHMENT THAT IS MORE SEVERE 21 WHICH THE LAW DETERMINES AS DEATH, AND THE 22 PUNISHMENT THAT IS LESS SEVERE WHICH IS LIFE 23 WITHOUT PAROLE, WHICH MEANS THE JURY IS HERE TO 24 SORT OF DETERMINE IF THE DEFENDANT DESERVES THE 25 MORE SEVERE PUNISHMENT OR THE LESS SEVERE 26

28 DO YOU FEEL LIKE YOU CAN SIT AS A

PUNISHMENT.

JUROR AND EVALUATE ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU HEAR FROM BOTH SIDES TO DETERMINE IF HE DESERVES THE MORE SEVERE OR THE LESS SEVERE PUNISHMENT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: WELL, I HAVE TO FOLLOW THE COURT'S ORDERS, I GUESS, RULES. AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, MY THINKING, I MIGHT BE GOING AGAINST MY WISHES OR MIGHT NOT. MY THINKING, I HAVE TO GO BY THE RULES.

MR. DHANIDINA: SO ULTIMATELY WOULD YOU BASE YOUR DECISION ON THE LAW THAT THE JUDGE INSTRUCTS YOU WITH?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-9824: I HAVE TO.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19, YOU

INDICATED -- ONE OF THE LAST THINGS YOU SAID IS

YOU DON'T THINK YOU WOULD BE A GOOD JUROR ON THIS

CASE BECAUSE OF YOUR STRONG OPINION REGARDING A

LIFE SENTENCE VERSUS A DEATH SENTENCE; IS THAT

RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. DHANIDINA: AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG,
BUT I THINK WHAT YOU WERE SAYING WAS EITHER
SOMEBODY CAN BE REHABILITATED IN WHICH CASE THEY
SHOULD HAVE A CHANCE AT PAROLE, OR THERE IS NO
HOPE IN REHABILITATING THEM AND WHAT'S THE
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN KEEPING THEM FOREVER AND
EXECUTION.

IS THAT KIND OF YOUR OPINION? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-4527: YES, THAT'S 2 3 CORRECT. MR. DHANIDINA: NOW, UNDERSTANDING HOW 4 5. OPINIONATED THAT YOU ARE ON THIS PARTICULAR TOPIC, IF THE JUDGE INSTRUCTS YOU TO BASE YOUR DECISION 6 7 ON AGGRAVATING EVIDENCE AND MITIGATING EVIDENCE SO THAT THE MORE SEVERE PENALTY WOULD BE DEATH, AND 8 IF THE DEFENDANT YOU FELT DESERVED A LESS SEVERE 9 PENALTY, IT'S LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE, THOSE BEING THE 10 ONLY TWO OPTIONS THAT WE HAVE IN A CASE LIKE THIS. 11 COULD YOU FOLLOW THOSE INSTRUCTIONS OF 12 THE COURT, OR WOULD YOU NOT ABLE TO FOLLOW THE 13 14 JUDGE'S INSTRUCTIONS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: I WOULD BE ABLE TO 15 FOLLOW THE JUDGE'S INSTRUCTIONS EXCEPT I WOULD 1.6 HAVE A BIAS ALREADY. I MEAN THAT'S -- LIKE I 17 SAID, I DON'T KNOW IF I COULD PUT ASIDE EVERYTHING 18 AND BASE EVERYTHING JUST ON WHAT I HEAR BECAUSE OF 19 WHAT I SAID OF MY OPINION ABOUT LIFE WITHOUT 20 21 PAROLE. MR. DHANIDINA: WELL, THAT'S INTERESTING. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: SO --23 MR. DHANIDINA: WHAT IF -- ARE YOU SAYING 24 THAT YOU WOULD HAVE A BIAS TOWARDS THE DEATH 25 PENALTY? 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 0-4527: VOTING IN FAVOR OF 27 28: THE DEATH PENALTY, YEAH.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. SO IF YOU HEARD THE EVIDENCE AND YOU ACTUALLY FELT THERE WAS MORE MITIGATION THAN AGGRAVATION, SOME REALLY GOOD THINGS ABOUT THE DEFENDANT THAT YOU BELIEVED WERE TRUE, ARE YOU SAYING THAT BECAUSE THE ONLY OPTIONS ARE DEATH OR LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE, YOU WOULD VOTE TO EXECUTE HIM?

3.

13.

23.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: NO. I MEAN IN THE END, I WOULD HAVE TO FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN BY THIS COURT, BUT MY OWN PERSONAL OPINION WOULD BE, AS I STATED BEFORE, WHAT IS THE POINT OF LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE IF YOU THINK THAT THE PERSON -- THE MITIGATING FACTORS OUTWEIGH THE AGGRAVATING FACTS, THEN YOU SHOULD GIVE HIM A CHANCE TO REDEEM HIMSELF, YOU KNOW. BUT I MEAN THAT'S NOT THE -- THAT IS NOT ONE OF THE CHOICES IN THIS CASE.

MR. DHANIDINA: RIGHT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU UNDERSTAND. WE HAVE TWO CHOICES, AND THE JUDGE IS GOING TO EXPLAIN SORT OF HOW YOU AS A JUROR WOULD PICK ONE CHOICE OR THE OTHER.

DO YOU THINK YOU CAN PUT SOME OF YOUR PERSONAL BIASES ASIDE AND FOLLOW THE PROCEDURE AS INSTRUCTED BY THE COURT, OR DO YOU THINK THAT, NEVER MIND WHAT THE JUDGE SAYS, I'M JUST GOING TO DO WHAT I WANT TO DO?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: NO, I DON'T THINK
MY BIAS IS THAT STRONG THAT I WOULD GO AGAINST THE
INSTRUCTIONS.

```
1
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR Q-4527: BUT YEAH.
2
         MR. DHANIDINA: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
3
               I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
 4
         THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY MOTIONS OR OTHER
- 5
    MATTERS?
         MR. DHANIDINA: YES.
 7
        MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 8
        THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE A -- MAY I
 9
    SEE COUNSEL AT SIDEBAR?
10
11
12
               (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
13
               HELD AT SIDEBAR:)
14
         THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO GO THROUGH THE
15
    MOTIONS FOR CAUSE AND PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES THIS
16
17
    AFTERNOON OR --
         MR. DHANIDINA: WE MAY AS WELL, THEN WE WON'T
18
    HAVE TO ORDER ANYBODY BACK.
19
20
         MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT'S FINE.
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO DO THE
21
    MOTIONS FOR CAUSE AT SIDEBAR HERE, OR SHALL I
22
    EXCUSE THE JURY?
23
         MR. DHANIDINA: WHATEVER IS EASIER FOR THE
24
25
    COURT.
          THE COURT: I DON'T CARE.
26
         MR. SCHMOCKER: WE CAN DO IT HERE.
27
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
28
```

DEFENSE.

1.0

MR. SCHMOCKER: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION FOR CAUSE IN REGARDS TO 15, 16 AND 19.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE PEOPLE'S POSITION?

MR. DHANIDINA: WE WILL AGREE WITH JUROR 15.

THE COURT: AND 16 AND 19?

MR. SCHMOCKER: ON 16, HE IS THE JUROR THAT
TOLD US THAT HE DIDN'T SEE THE POINT OF LIFE
WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. HE SOUNDED TO
ME LIKE HE WAS SUBSTANTIALLY IMPAIRED IN HIS
ABILITY TO RETURN SUCH A VERDICT.

THE COURT: AND 19 FOR THE SAME REASON?

MR. SCHMOCKER: FOR THE SAME REASON.

THE COURT: PEOPLE.

MR. DHANIDINA: TO ME THESE JURORS, THEY ARE NO DIFFERENT FROM THE GENTLEMAN ON THE LAST PANEL, NO. 26 THAT WE HAD WHO HAD VERY STRONG PERSONAL BELIEFS BUT SAID THAT HE COULD SET THOSE ASIDE AND FOLLOW THE COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS.

BOTH OF THESE TWO JURORS, WHILE EXPRESSING A PERSONAL DISAGREEMENT WITH THE PENALTY CHOICES, BOTH I THINK WERE SINCERE IN STATING IN THE END THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO SET THOSE ASIDE AND WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT IS THAT THEY WOULD FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN BY THE COURT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL GRANT THE MOTION

```
AS TO 16 AND 19. I DO HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THEIR
1
2
   ABILITY TO FOLLOW THE LAW.
3
        MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
         THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY OTHER PEOPLE'S
4
5
   . RMOITOM
         MR. DHANIDINA: 20.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I WILL SUBMIT IT, YOUR HONOR.
7
   OR I MEAN I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO -- I'M NOT
8
    GOING TO ARGUE AGAINST IT.
9
        THE COURT: THE MOTION IS GRANTED AS TO JUROR
10
    20. SHE DID EXPRESS SERIOUS RESERVATIONS ABOUT
11
12
    HER ABILITY TO RENDER A DECISION, AND I BELIEVE
    THAT SHE WOULD HAVE PERSONAL DIFFICULTY DECIDING
13
    IN THIS CASE. SO IT'S GRANTED.
14
        MR. DHANIDINA: OH, YOU KNOW WHAT. I DO HAVE
15
   ONE MORE.
16
                22 I THINK ALSO STATED THAT HE DIDN'T
17
   FEEL COMFORTABLE BEING ON THIS JURY BECAUSE HE
18
    DIDN'T THINK THAT HE COULD RENDER PENALTY OF
19
    DEATH. HE DIDN'T WANT IT WEIGHING ON HIS
20
    CONSCIOUS. HE SAID HE DIDN'T WANT SOMEBODY'S SOUL
21
    WEIGHING ON HIS CONSCIOUS OR SOMEBODY'S LIFE
22
    WEIGHING ON HIS CONSCIENCE.
23
        MR. SCHMOCKER: I SEE HIM AS LESS IMPACTED
24
    THAN THE OTHER JURORS.
25
         THE COURT: I AGREE. 22 IS DENIED.
26
```

I THINK HE DID EXPRESS SOME FEELINGS

ALONG THOSE LINES, BUT HIS ULTIMATE EXPRESSION WAS

27

```
THAT HE COULD FOLLOW THE LAW AND MAKE A DECISION,
1
2
   AND I BELIEVE THAT.
3
               SO 15, 16, 19 AND 20.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I'M SORRY, WHICH NUMBERS?
4
         THE COURT: 15, 16, 19 AND 20.
5
6
         MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.
7
8
                (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
9
               HELD IN OPEN COURT IN THE
10
               PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
11
        THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE FOLLOWING JURORS
12
   ARE EXCUSED.
13
14
               JURORS IN SEATS 15, 16, 19 AND 20.
    THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION. YOU SHOULD
15
   GO TO THE JURY ROOM AND TELL THEM THAT YOU HAVE
16
17
   BEEN EXCUSED.
               ALL RIGHT. WE WILL RETURN TO
18
   PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES ADDRESSED TO SEATS 1 THROUGH
19
20
    12.
             IF YOU ARE EXCUSED, YOU HAVE MY THANKS
21
    AND YOU SHOULD GO TO THE JURY ROOM.
22
23
               THE NEXT PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE IS WITH
    THE DEFENSE.
24
25
         MR. SCHMOCKER: WE ACCEPT THE JURY AS
   PRESENTLY CONSTITUTED, YOUR HONOR.
26
27
        THE COURT: PEOPLE.
        MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO
28
```

```
PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4.
1
         THE COURT: JUROR 4 IS EXCUSED.
2
                JUROR IN SEAT 13, PLEASE TAKE SEAT
3
   NO. 4.
4
                DEFENSE IS NEXT.
5
         MR. SCHMOCKER: WE ACCEPT THE JURY, YOUR
б
7
   HONOR.
         THE COURT: PEOPLE.
8
         MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO
9
    PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9.
10
         THE COURT: JUROR IN SEAT 9 IS EXCUSED.
11
                JUROR 14, SEAT 9, PLEASE.
12
                AND THE DEFENSE IS NEXT.
13
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD ASK THE
14
    COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 9.
15
          THE COURT: JUROR 9, MA'AM, YOU ARE EXCUSED.
16
                JUROR 17 GOES TO SEAT NO. 9.
17
                PEOPLE.
18
          MR DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO
19
    PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7.
20
          THE COURT: JUROR 7 IS EXCUSED.
21
                JUROR 18 GOES TO SEAT 7.
22
                DEFENSE.
23
          MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD ASK THE
24
    COURT TO THANK AND EXCUSE JUROR NO. 7.
25
          THE COURT: JUROR 7 IS EXCUSED.
26
                 JUROR 21 GOES TO SEAT NO. 7.
27
                 PEOPLE.
28
```

MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO 1 2 PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2. THE COURT: JUROR NO. 2 IS EXCUSED. 3 JUROR 22 GOES TO SEAT NO. 2. 4 5 AND THE DEFENSE IS NEXT. 6 MR. SCHMOCKER: WE ACCEPT THE JURY AS 7 PRESENTLY CONSTITUTED, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: PEOPLE. MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO 9 PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2. 10 THE COURT: JUROR 2 IS EXCUSED. 11 12 JUROR 23, PLEASE TAKE SEAT NO. 2. 13 DEFENSE. 14 MR. SCHMOCKER: WE ACCEPT THE JURY, YOUR 15 HONOR. THE COURT: PEOPLE. 16 MR. DHANIDINA: THE PEOPLE ASK THE COURT TO 17 PLEASE THANK AND EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6. 18 19 THE COURT: JUROR 6. MR. SCHMOCKER: MAY WE APPROACH, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: ACTUALLY JUROR 6, HAVE A SEAT. 21 22 WHAT -- WE ARE ALMOST AT THE END OF THE DAY AND WE ARE GOING TO NEED ADDITIONAL 23 JURORS. THERE ARE -- THERE IS ANOTHER GROUP OF 24 JURORS, BUT UNFORTUNATELY THEY ARE NOT SCHEDULED 25 TO BE HERE UNTIL WEDNESDAY MORNING. SO I THINK 26 WHAT MAKES THE MOST SENSE IS TO BREAK FOR THE DAY 27 AND EXCUSE EVERYONE, INCLUDING JUROR NO. 6. ALL 28

JURORS TO RETURN ON WEDNESDAY AT 9:00 OF CLOCK. 2 SO AT THAT TIME WE WILL HAVE AN ADDITIONAL GROUP OF JURORS, AND I BELIEVE WE WILL 3 COMPLETE JURY SELECTION ON WEDNESDAY, BUT LOGISTICALLY THAT IS THE WAY THAT IT IS SO I 5 APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE. 6 7 IT WILL NOT BE NECESSARY FOR YOU TO COME TO THE COURTHOUSE AT ALL TOMORROW. SO YOU 8 DON'T NEED TO BE HERE. BUT YOU DO NEED TO BE HERE 9 WEDNESDAY THE 25TH AT 9:00 O'CLOCK. SO EVERYBODY 10 IS EXCUSED UNTIL WEDNESDAY THE 25TH AT 9:00 11 12 O'CLOCK. THANK YOU ALL SO MUCH FOR YOUR PATIENCE. WE WILL SEE YOU THEN. 13 14 15 (THE JURORS LEFT THE COURTROOM.) 16 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ALL OF THE JURORS 18 HAVE LEFT. 19 WHAT IS IT THAT THE DEFENSE WANTED TO 20 21 RAISE? 22 MS. VITALE: YOUR HONOR, IT IS A BATSON-MILLER TYPE MOTION. THE EXCLUSION OF AT 23 LEAST THREE FEMALE BLACKS, YOUR HONOR, FROM THIS 24 PANEL WHEN EACH OF THEM IN OUR OPINION MAINTAINED THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO ASSESS AND JUDGE THE 26 EVIDENCE FAIRLY AND PROVIDE A FAIR TRIAL TO BOTH 27. THE PROSECUTION AND THE DEFENSE. IT'S OUR 28

POSITION THAT THERE WAS NO LEGITIMATE REASON FOR 1 EXCUSING THOSE INDIVIDUALS. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE -- AND WHAT 3 REMEDY ARE YOU REQUESTING? 4 MS. VITALE: MAY I HAVE A MOMENT? 5 б 7 (COUNSEL CONFER.) 8 MS. VITALE: YOUR HONOR, I THINK A -- JUST 9 10 MOVE FOR A MISTRIAL AT THIS POINT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AS OPPOSED TO 11 12 RESEATING THE JUROR? MS. VITALE: WELL, AT LEAST TWO OF THEM HAVE 13 ALREADY BEEN EXCUSED, AND THE THIRD ONE IS STILL 14 THERE. SO NOW WE HAVE A PATTERN OF THREE BLACK 15 FEMALES BEING EXCUSED, AND I DON'T THINK THAT 16 THERE WERE MORE THAN FOUR BLACK FEMALES AND MAYBE 17 TWO BLACK MALES OUT OF A WHOLE PANEL, AND THE 18 19 PROSECUTOR EXCUSED AT LEAST THREE OF THOSE FEMALES. I THINK ONE MAY HAVE BEEN FOR CAUSE, 20 21 0750 CK. 22 THE COURT: WELL, ALL RIGHT. 23 THE PEOPLE, BY MY RECORDS, HAVE EXERCISED TEN PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES, THE FIRST, 24 B-7993, WAS A FEMALE HISPANIC. THE SECOND, J-2466 25 26 WAS A FEMALE BLACK. THE THIRD, D-5649, WAS A FEMALE BLACK. 27

THE FOURTH, V-4099, MALE HISPANIC.

THE FIFTH, R-5857, MALE HISPANIC. 1 THE SIXTH, B-4751, FEMALE HISPANIC. 2 THE SEVENTH, J-6556, FEMALE BLACK. 3 THE 8TH, 6745, G, FEMALE HISPANIC. 4 THE NINTH, A-1180, MALE WHITE. 5 THE 10TH, M-8404, MALE HISPANIC. б AND THE CURRENT JUROR, P-9765, FEMALE 7 8 BLACK. MS. VITALE: SO I MISSPOKE, YOUR HONOR. 9. THAT'S FOUR FEMALE BLACKS. 10 THE COURT: YES. ALTHOUGH I HAVE TO SAY, 11 JUROR -- THE THIRD PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE, D-5649 I 12 BELIEVE IS AFRICAN-AMERICAN, BUT I HAD A QUESTION 13 MARK BY THAT. BUT I BELIEVE -- SHE IS THE CITY 14 15 ATTORNEY. MS. VITALE: YES. 16 THE COURT: APPEARS TO ME TO BE 17 AFRICAN-AMERICAN, BUT IS NOT AS CLEAR AS THE 18 OTHERS THAT I --19 MR. SCHMOCKER: SHE DID DESCRIBE HERSELF IN 20 HER QUESTIONNAIRE AS BEING A MEMBER OF A LAW 21 SOCIETY FOR FEMALE AFRICAN-AMERICANS. THE COURT: OH, SHE DID. I'M SURE SHE IS 23: 24 FEMALE. 25 MR. SCHMOCKER: YEAH. THE COURT: I HAVE NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. 26 ALL RIGHT. 27

1 (INTERRUPTION IN PROCEEDINGS.) 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: I'M SORRY. CAN I CHECK TO SEE IF I LEFT MY CELL PHONE? 4 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. б PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: I'M SORRY. 7 THE COURT: DID YOU FIND IT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR P-9765: YES. THANK YOU. 8 9 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 10 11 (JUROR P-9765 LEFT THE 12 COURTROOM.) 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO THE PEOPLE WANT TO 14 ADDRESS WHETHER THERE IS A PRIMA-FACIE CASE? 15 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU KNOW, YOUR HONOR, GIVEN 16 17 THE CASE LAW, I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO CONCEDE PRIMA-FACIE CASE AND JUST CONTINUE ON AND PROVIDE 18 19 THE JUSTIFICATION. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 20. MR. DHANIDINA: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I WILL JUST 21 GO IN THE ORDER THAT I HAVE THEM. 22 AND -- YEAH, LET ME JUST START WITH. 23 JUROR NO. 2466. 2466 WAS -- FROM HER 24 QUESTIONNAIRE, I GOT SOME INFORMATION OF TWO 25 RELATIVES, INCLUDING A BROTHER AND A SON THAT HAD 26 RUN-INS WITH THE LAW, AND WHAT THE SON WAS FOR AN 27 28 UNREGISTERED GUN. THE BROTHER WAS IN CUSTODY FOR

I GUESS AN UNLAWFUL TOUCHING OF A MINOR WHO WAS A FAMILY FRIEND OR ASSOCIATE.

9.

18.

28.

THOSE ARE THE PRIMARY REASONS FOR THAT
JUROR. AND JUST TYPICALLY I FIND THAT JURORS THAT
ARE VERY CLOSE RELATIVES WITH PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN
CONVICTED OR HAD RUN-INS WITH THE LAW IN SERIOUS
CASES TO IDENTIFY MORE WITH THE DEFENDANT'S SIDE
OF THE CASE AND HIS FAMILY AND WITNESSES THAT WILL
TESTIFY. SO JUST AS MATTER OF COURSE, I TEND NOT
TO KEEP JURORS WITH THAT BACKGROUND ON THE JURY IF
I CAN AVOID IT.

JUROR 5649 WAS THE CITY ATTORNEY THAT
WE TALKED ABOUT. SHE SAID THAT SHE WAS AGAINST
THE DEATH PENALTY IN GENERAL. SHE SAID THAT
SEVERAL TIMES. IN ADDITION TO THAT, SHE INDICATED
THAT -- IN HER QUESTIONNAIRE, THAT HER SON HAD
MULTIPLE RUN-INS WITH THE LAW BOTH A HIT-AND-RUN
AND KNIFE POSSESSION CASES, AND BASED ON HER
GENERAL NEGATIVE FEELINGS TOWARDS THE DEATH
PENALTY AND THAT SITUATION IN HER FAMILY, I
EXCUSED THAT JUROR.

NEXT IS JUROR NO. 6556. JUROR

NO. 6556 INDICATED A FEW THINGS THAT WERE

TROUBLING TO ME. ONE, THIS IS A VERY RELIGIOUS

JUROR WHO INDICATED WHEN I ASKED THAT SHE WOULD

BASICALLY THROUGH PRAYER SEEK GUIDANCE AND

STRENGTH WHILE ON THE JURY.

WHILE I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANYTHING

WRONG WITH THAT PERSONALLY, I AM ALWAYS WARY OF
JURORS THAT INDICATE THAT THEY WOULD SEEK THAT
TYPE OF GUIDANCE WHILE THEY ARE ON A JURY. I
TRIED TO EXERCISE PEREMPTORIES AGAINST ALL PEOPLE
ON THE JURY WHO RAISED THEIR HANDS WHEN I POSED
THAT AS A QUESTION. I THINK THAT I HAVE DONE
THAT.

IN ADDITION, KIND OF GOING ALONG WITH THAT GENERAL PHILOSOPHY, THIS JUROR INDICATED THAT SHE BELIEVED PEOPLE JOIN GANGS BECAUSE THEY SORT OF GET CAUGHT UP IN SITUATIONS BEYOND THEIR CONTROL WHICH I THOUGHT WAS AN OVERLY LENIENT WAY OF LOOKING AT A SITUATION, ESPECIALLY SINCE WE HAVE A CASE WITH A GANG MEMBER WHERE I KNOW FROM A PREVIOUS TRIAL THE DEFENSE HAS GOT INVOLVED IN A CRIME SINCE HE WAS ENCOURAGED BY HIS CO-DEFENDANT.

SHE ALSO INDICATED ON HER

QUESTIONNAIRE THAT SHE BELIEVED THAT ALL PEOPLE

CAN CHANGE, AND I FOUND THAT TO BE A PARTICULARLY

LENIENT VIEW WHEN I KNOW OUR DEFENSE ARGUMENT IN

THIS CASE FOR PENALTY IS THAT KAI HARRIS OUGHT TO

BE ALLOWED TO LIVE BECAUSE HE WOULD STILL HAVE AN

OPPORTUNITY TO CHANGE.

HER ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION KIND OF LED ME TO BELIEVE SHE WOULD BE AMENABLE TO THAT ARGUMENT. AND THAT WAS IT FOR THAT PARTICULAR JUROR.

AND THE LAST ONE, JUROR NO. 96 --

18.

1 EXCUSE ME, 9765 HAD A SITUATION -- LET'S SEE WHERE
2 IS IT HERE.

8.

OH, THAT WAS ANOTHER JUROR THAT

INDICATED THAT -- SHE RAISED HER HAND WHEN I ASKED

THE QUESTION OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD SEEK GUIDANCE OR

WISDOM THROUGH PRAYER. SHE WAS ANOTHER JUROR THAT

ANSWERED THAT WAY WHEN I ASKED THAT QUESTION, AND

SO SHE ALONG WITH SOME OF THE OTHER JURORS,

INCLUDING SOME THAT THE DEFENSE HAS NOT CHALLENGED

THAT RAISED THEIR HANDS, I USED PEREMPTORIES ON

THOSE.

THE LAST POINT THAT I WILL BRING UP WITH RESPECT TO THE FINAL PEREMPTORY WAS THAT I KNEW HER SEAT WOULD BE FILLED BY JUROR NO. 5140 WHO, BASED ON HIS ANSWERS ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE, I FELT WOULD BE A VERY DESIRABLE JUROR TO HAVE ON THE PANEL.

I CAN TALK MORE ABOUT JUROR 5140 IF
THE COURT WANTS AS TO I DIDN'T THINK HE IS A GOOD
JUROR FOR MY SIDE. IN FACT, I WILL JUST SO THE
RECORD ISN'T SILENT AS TO IT.

I PARTICULARLY LIKED HIS ANSWERS
REGARDING THE DEATH PENALTY GIVING A SENSE OF
CLOSURE OR CATHARSIS FOR THE VICTIM'S FAMILY. I
FELT THAT THAT JUROR WOULD BE ONE THAT WOULD BE
AMENABLE TO VICTIM IMPACT TYPE EVIDENCE THAT I AM
EXPECTING TO PRESENT IN THIS CASE.

BASED ON THOSE ANSWERS -- AND ALSO

1 THAT HE PUT ON HIS QUESTIONNAIRE THAT THE REASON

2 PEOPLE JOIN GANGS IS TO ENGAGE IN CRIMINAL

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

2.7

28.

3 BEHAVIOR. THAT VIEW IS MORE IN LINE WITH THE

4 | POINT OF VIEW I EXPECT TO BE ARGUING IN THIS CASE.

5 THAT IS WHY THAT JUROR IS A DESIRABLE JUROR FOR

THE PROSECUTION TO HAVE SEATED ON THE PANEL.

THE COURT: DOES THE DEFENSE WISH TO ADDRESS ANY OF THESE?

MS. VITALE: SUBMITTED, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE MOTION IS GRANTED AS TO JUROR P-9765. I DO FIND THAT THERE HAS NOT BEEN A SUFFICIENT SHOWING THAT THE CHALLENGE WAS EXERCISED ON A PERMISSIBLE GROUND.

HER RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE ARE VERY UNREMARKABLE. SHE ACTUALLY EXPRESSES SOME POSITIVE FEELINGS ABOUT POLICE OFFICERS. SHE HAS SAID THAT SHE EXPRESSES SOME NEGATIVE VIEWS ABOUT GANGS. AND AS TO THE PENALTY ISSUES, SHE RANKS HERSELF AS A NO. 4 AND BASICALLY SAYS THE SAME THING IN WORDS, THAT IT DEPENDS ON THE EVIDENCE. AND HER RESPONSES -- I DON'T REMEMBER HER SAYING ANYTHING IN REGARD TO RELIGION.

MR. DHANIDINA: I ASKED FOR A SHOW HANDS.

THE COURT: SHE MAY HAVE RAISED HER HAND IN REGARD TO JURORS WHO MIGHT ENGAGE IN PRAYER OR RELIGIOUS CONTEMPLATION, BUT I CERTAINLY DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING THAT SHE SAID THAT WOULD RAISE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT AN

```
ATTITUDE THAT WOULD DISPLACE THE LAW WITH
1
   RELIGIOUS BELIEFS WHICH IS REALLY WHAT THE CONCERN
2
3
   IS. SO --
         MR. DHANIDINA: YOUR HONOR, MAY I -- SINCE WE
    HAVE --
    THE COURT: AND JUST TO FOLLOW MY THOUGHTS.
 6
    AND AS FOR THE REFERENCE FOR THE NEXT JUROR IN
    LINE, I JUST DON'T SEE THAT AS A VALID GROUND. I
 8
    THINK THAT IS EXTRANEOUS TO THE ISSUES OF THE
9
10
    JUROR IN QUESTION.
        MR. DHANIDINA: I -- YOU KNOW, YOUR HONOR,
11
    I'M GLAD THAT YOU BROUGHT UP THAT LAST POINT
12
    BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THE CASE LAW DOES SUPPORT MY
13
14
    POSITION WITH RESPECT TO THAT JUROR SO MAY I ASK
15
    THE COURT TO WITHHOLD THE RULING TO GIVE ME AN
16
    OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THAT AUTHORITY TO THE
17
    COURT, YOU KNOW, BECAUSE I HAVE LOOKED AT THESE
    CASES VERY RECENTLY, AND I REMEMBER THAT BEING A
18
19
    PERMISSIBLE REASON WHICH WAS PART OF MY
20
    CALCULATION IN TRYING TO PICK THE JURY. SO IF THE
    COURT WOULD INDULGE ME, I CAN PRESENT THAT.
21
         THE COURT: WE CAN ADDRESS THAT FURTHER AT
22
    1:30 TOMORROW.
23:
24
         MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
         THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW THAT IT'S GOING TO
25
    AFFECT MY RULING, BUT I AM INTERESTED TO SEE THE
26
2.7
    AUTHORITIES.
```

MR. DHANIDINA: YOUR HONOR, I'M JUST

CONCERNED IN PARTICULAR ABOUT THE COURT'S FINDING.
SO JUST FOR MY OWN CLARIFICATION.

THE COURT: SURE.

15.

2.7

EVIDENCE.

MR. DHANIDINA: IS THE COURT FINDING THAT THE REASONS STATED ARE INSUFFICIENT AS A MATTER OF LAW, OR THAT THEY HAVE BEEN GIVEN BASICALLY FALSELY TO THE COURT AS SOME SORT OF A SUBTERFUGE?

THE COURT: NO, NOT THE LATTER. I DON'T VIEW BATSON MOTIONS AS A CONTEST OF WHAT IS BELIEVABLE AND NOT. I THINK IT IS A MATTER OF EVALUATING THE FACTORS THAT HAVE BEEN GIVEN AND DETERMINING IF IT IS LEGALLY SUFFICIENT TO SUSTAIN THE BURDEN OF PROOF AS TO WHETHER A JUROR WAS EXCUSED FOR NEUTRAL REASONS OR RACE. AND I'M NOT -- I DON'T THINK IT IS A MATTER OF CULPABILITY OR OF ANY KIND. I THINK IT IS -- MY JOB IS TO EVALUATE THE

MR. DHANIDINA: NO, I UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: AND YOU KNOW, I BELIEVE YOUR REASONS ARE SINCERE, JUST THAT MY TENTATIVE RULING IS I DON'T THINK THEY ARE ADEQUATE TO OVERCOME THE FACTORS IN REGARD TO THIS JUROR.

MR. DHANIDINA: THAT WILL HELP ME IN CITING
THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY TO THE COURT. SO I
APPRECIATE THAT.

THE COURT: AND THEN THE DEFENSE CAN GIVE

SOME FURTHER THOUGHT AS TO REMEDY. I AM CERTAINLY

PREPARED TO RESEAT THE JUROR IF THE DEFENSE AGREES

```
1
   TO THAT. I SUPPOSE IF THE DEFENSE WISHES TO
  DECLARE A MISTRIAL, THEN WE WILL SET -- I DON'T
3
   KNOW THAT WE HAVE ENOUGH JURORS IN THE NEXT GROUP
   TO SELECT A JURY, ALTHOUGH WE COULD TRY, START
  FROM SCRATCH WITH THE GROUP THAT IS COMING IN ON
5
   WEDNESDAY MORNING. BUT YOU CAN GIVE SOME THOUGHT
6
   TO THAT AS WELL.
7
8
        MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.
9
               WE WILL BE HERE TOMORROW AT 1:30.
   THEN.
10
11
     THE COURT: AT 1:30.
12
              ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. WE ARE IN
13
   RECESS.
14
              (AT 4:31 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT
15
             WAS TAKEN UNTIL FEBRUARY 24,
16
17
              2009.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1 CASE NUMBER: TA074314 2 CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. KAI HARRIS LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2009 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 108 HON. MICHAEL JOHNSON, JUDGE 5 REPORTER: LORA JOHNSON, CSR NO. 10119 6 TIME: 2:00 P.M. 7 8 APPEARANCES: 9 DEFENDANT, KAI HARRIS, PRESENT 10 WITH COUNSEL, JOHN SCHMOCKER AND 11 LYNDA VITALE, BAR PANEL; HALIM 12 DHANIDINA, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 13 REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE 14 OF CALIFORNIA. 15 16 17 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 18 HELD OUTSIDE OF THE PROSPECTIVE 19 JURY'S PRESENCE:) 20 21 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. PEOPLE VS. HARRIS. THE DEFENDANT AND 22 23. ALL COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. THIS AFTERNOON WE ARE GOING TO ADDRESS 24 THE MOTION IN LIMINE AND FURTHER DISCUSS THE 25 26 BATSON-WHEELER ISSUES. 27 MS. VITALE: YES. THE COURT: WHICH WOULD YOU LIKE TO DO FIRST? 28

MR. DHANIDINA: WELL, SINCE WE HAVE OUR 1 WITNESS HERE FOR THE MOTION IN LIMINE, MAYBE WE Ž COULD DO THAT FIRST. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 4 5 MR. DHANIDINA: SO THE PEOPLE WOULD CALL TO 6 THE STAND DETECTIVE MARK THARP. THIS IS FOR THE 7 1994 GUN POSSESSION INCIDENT. 8 THE COURT: YES. YES. GO AHEAD. 9. 10 MARK THARP, 11 CALLED BY THE PEOPLE AS A WITNESS, WAS SWORN AND 12 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 13 THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE 14 TESTIMONY YOU SHALL GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING 15 BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE 16 TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD. 17 THE WITNESS: I DO. 18 THE CLERK: PLEASE HAVE A SEAT. 19 WILL YOU PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR 200 FIRST AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD. 21 THE WITNESS: MARK THARP, FIRST NAME M-A-R-K, 22 LAST NAME T-H-A-R-P. 23 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND THIS CONCERNS 25 AGGRAVATING FACTOR NO. 2, THE POSSESSION OF 25 FIREARMS IN --2.7 MR. DHANIDINA: MARCH 22ND, 194. 28

```
THE COURT: 1994, YES.
1
2
              GO AHEAD.
        MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
3
4
5
                   DIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MR. DHANIDINA:
6
         Q GOOD AFTERNOON, DETECTIVE.
7.
         A GOOD AFTERNOON.
8
             SIR, WHAT WAS YOUR OCCUPATION AND
9
         Q
   ASSIGNMENT BACK IN MARCH OF 19947
10
              I WAS A DETECTIVE TRAINEE ASSIGNED TO
11
    SOUTHEAST DIVISION AND ON LOAN TO SOUTH BUREAU
12
    GANG UNIT.
13
         Q ON THAT DAY, WERE YOU WORKING WITH A
14
15
    PARTNER, AN OFFICER TERRONES, T-E-R-R-O-N-E-S?
16
         A ON WHICH DATE, SIR?
17
         Q MARCH THE 22ND.
              I BELIEVE SO, YES.
18
        A
              AND WERE THE TWO OF YOU INVESTIGATING
19
        -Q
    A ROBBERY UNDER L.A.P.D., FILE NUMBER 941808068?
20
21
        A
               YES.
22
         Q PURSUANT TO THAT, DID YOU SERVE A
    SEARCH WARRANT?
23.
24
         A YES.
              WHERE WAS THAT SERVED?
25
               THE SEARCH WARRANT WAS SERVED AT THE
26
27
    RESIDENCE OF KAI LAVAR HARRIS, WHICH IS -- THAT
    TIME WAS 1756 EAST 113TH STREET IN THE CITY OF
28
```

LOS ANGELES IN SOUTHEAST DIVISION. 1 2 AND THAT INDIVIDUAL NAMED KAI LAVAR HARRIS, IS THAT SOMEBODY WHO IS IN COURT TODAY? 3 YES, THE DEFENDANT AT THE END OF THE TABLE WITH THE BLUE JUMPSUIT. 5 6 WAS MR. HARRIS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF Q SERVICE OF THE SEARCH WARRANT? 7 HE INITIALLY WAS PRESENT. HE 8 A ATTEMPTED TO EVADE US BY FLEEING BETWEEN THE 9 HOUSES. HE WAS WITH ANOTHER MALE, A MALE 10 11 HISPANIC. HE WAS ARRESTED AND TAKEN INTO CUSTODY 12 WITHOUT INCIDENT. 13 DID YOU ACTUALLY ENTER THE RESIDENCE. 14 THEN, PURSUANT TO THE SEARCH WARRANT? 15 YES, WE DID. DESCRIBE IF YOU FOUND ANY ITEMS OF 16 EVIDENTIARY VALUE PURSUANT TO THAT SEARCH WARRANT. 17 18 WE WERE -- YES, WE DID. WE WERE LOOKING FOR EVIDENCE OF THE INITIAL CRIME WHICH 19 20 WAS A ROBBERY WITH A HANDGUN OR FACSIMILE HANDGUN WHICH APPEARED TO BE OF A CERTAIN TYPE AND MODEL 21 AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS AT THE CRIME, SPECIFICALLY A 22 BANDANA. AND WE LOCATED EVIDENCE SIMILAR TO THAT, 23 EVIDENCE THAT HAD BEEN EARLY -- ITEMS THAT HAD 24 BEEN INDICATED BY THE VICTIM OF THE CRIME IN A 25 26 ROOM BELONGING TO MR. HARRIS. 27 NOW, WHEN YOU SAY A ROOM BELONGING TO

MR. HARRIS, DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT OBJECTIVE FACTS

1 YOU MADE NOTE OF THAT LED YOU TO THE CONCLUSION
2 THAT THAT ROOM BELONGED TO MR. HARRIS?

20:

CLOTHING, THAT OF A 16-YEAR-OLD AT THAT TIME IN HISTORY, 16-YEAR-OLD MALE, AROUND THE ROOM, SCATTERED AROUND THE BED. THE ROOM WAS KIND OF IN DISARRAY INDICATIVE OF A YOUNG MAN AT THAT TIME. AND OTHER PERSONAL ITEMS, SOME OF WHICH WERE MARIJUANA PLANTS. I ASKED HIS MOTHER OR FOLKS AROUND WHO THEY BELONGED TO AND ALL INDICATIONS WERE THAT THEY WERE HIS PLANTS. I ASKED HIM -- I ASKED HIM OUTSIDE OF MIRANDA IF THEY WERE HIS PLANTS, AND HE SAID YES, HIM AND HIS HOMEBOYS THAT THEY WERE GROWING.

I FOUND VARIOUS OTHER ITEMS THAT MADE

IT PRETTY COMMON SENSE JUDGEMENT AT THAT TIME THAT

IT WAS HIS ROOM AND HIS ROOM SOLELY.

Q SPECIFICALLY DID YOU FIND ANY ITEMS
THAT RELATED TO GANG AFFILIATION OR GANG
MEMBERSHIP IN THE ROOM THAT YOU WERE ATTRIBUTING
TO BEING HIS ROOM?

A BEFORE -- YES. I FOUND 115TH STREET
SIGN WHICH HAD BEEN STOLEN FROM THE CITY OF
LOS ANGELES, CITY PROPERTY, INDICATIVE OF THAT SET
AND THAT AREA.

I FOUND A RED BANDANA. I FOUND OTHER ITEMS. AND IT'S HARD FOR ME TO REMEMBER ALL THE WAY BACK THERE, BUT IT WAS ENOUGH TO MAKE AN

```
1
   IMPRESSION AT THAT TIME THAT THERE WAS NO DOUBT
2
   THAT THIS WAS HIS ROOM.
3
               WAS THERE A DRESSER LOCATED IN THE
4
   BEDROOM?
5
               YES, THERE WAS.
         A:
              AND ANYTHING CONNECTED TO IN OR AROUND
6
   THE DRESSER THAT COULD BE CONNECTED TO THE
7
   DEFENDANT, MR. HARRIS?
8
9
         A IF YOU --
10
         THE WITNESS: MAY I REVIEW THE REPORT?
11
         THE COURT: YES.
         THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
12
13
               YES, THERE WAS A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE
14
    SUBJECT, OR THE SUSPECT, I'M SORRY, MR. HARRIS, IN
    THAT DRESSER.
15
16
   BY MR. DHANIDINA:
17
               DESCRIBE -- OR IN THE RESIDENCE IN
18.
    GENERAL, DID YOU FIND ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT COULD
19
   BE CONNECTED TO MR. HARRIS EITHER BY PHOTOGRAPH OR
20
   BY NAME?
         A IN A HALLWAY, I FOUND -- IN A CLOSET
21
    IN THE HALLWAY, I FOUND LETTERS ADDRESSED TO THE
22
23
    SUBJECT.
24
              SO THEY ACTUALLY HAD HIS NAME, KAI
         Q
    HARRIS --
25
               YES, SIR.
26
         Α
               -- WITH THE ADDRESS ON IT?
27
         A YES, SIR.
28.
```

O DESCRIBE WHAT ITEMS OF -- ANY ITEMS 1 2 RELATING TO FIREARMS OR AMMUNITION THAT YOU FOUND 3 AND WHERE THOSE ITEMS WERE FOUND IN THE RESIDENCE? I FOUND A HANDGUN, MODEL RAVEN P25 IN 5 THE ROOM. THAT WAS UNDER THE BED. I FOUND A .22 CALIBER RIFLE AND 6 7 AMMUNITION FROM HIS DRESSER, AS WELL AS A FACSIMILE BB GUN, PELLET GUN, WHICH RESEMBLED AN 8 ACTUAL FIREARM, A SKI MASK AND A PLASTIC REPLICA 9 .45 CALIBER HANDGUN. AND WHEN I SAY .45 CALIBER, 10 IT LOOKED LIKE .45 CALIBER HANDGUN. AND THAT AND 11 LOTS OF AMMUNITION. 12 WERE THE FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION ALL 13 FOUND IN THE SAME PART OF THE ROOM? 14 IN THE VICINITY OF HIS BED, SOMEWHERE 15 16 REACHABLE, SOMEWHERE YOU WOULD CONSIDER PUTTING 1.7 SOME OF YOUR PERSONAL ITEMS. Q SO EITHER DIRECTLY ON THE BED OR 18 WITHIN JUST AN ARM'S LENGTH? 19 A OVER -- YEAH, I DON'T REMEMBER IT TO 20 BE A VERY LARGE ROOM. 21 22 THE COURT: WERE ALL OF THESE THINGS FOUND WITHIN THE BEDROOM? 23 24 THE WITNESS: ALL OF THEM, YOUR HONOR, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE MARIJUANA PLANTS WHICH WERE 25 ON THE WINDOWSILL OF THE BEDROOM ON THE OUTSIDE 26 WINDOWSILL. AND SOME LETTERS ADDRESSED TO THE 27

SUBJECT AT THAT TIME, THEY WERE IN A HALLWAY

```
CLOSET.
1
      THE COURT: THANK YOU.
2.
        MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING
3
   FURTHER.
5
         THE COURT: CROSS-EXAMINATION.
6
       MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
7
8
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
   BY MR. SCHMOCKER:
9
10
    Q DETECTIVE, THE HOUSE THAT YOU
11
   SEARCHED, HOW MANY BEDROOMS WERE IN IT?
12
        A I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY, BUT I'M --
   IT'S 1994, AND WE PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON HIS
13
    BEDROOM. I THINK WE CLEARED THE HOUSE, AND I HAD
14
    A UNIT, A TACTIC UNIT CLEAR THE HOUSE FOR ME, AND
15
    THEN I PROCEEDED INTO HIS BEDROOM.
16
17
              WAS IT A TWO-STORY OR ONE-STORY HOUSE?
         Q
              I BELIEVE IT TO BE A TWO-STORY HOUSE.
18
19
              AND WERE THE BEDROOMS GENERALLY
         Q
20.
   UPSTAIRS?
21
        A YES.
         Q IS THE BEDROOM THAT YOU SEARCHED
22
    UPSTAIRS OR DOWNSTAIRS?
23.
              UPSTAIRS AND THIS IS -- YOU KNOW,
24
   THIS IS THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION. THIS IS
25
26
    1994.
              AND THE REPORT -- YOU PREPARED A
27
    REPORT WITH REGARDS TO THAT?
28
```

- 1		
1	A	YES.
2.	Q	AND THE REPORT YOU HAVE WITH YOU HERE
3	TODAY?	
4	A	MAY I LOOK AT IT?
5	Q	SURE:
6		(PAUSE WHILE WITNESS VIEWS
7		DOCUMENT (S) .)
8	A	THANK YOU.
9	Q	IS THAT THE REPORT? DID YOU BRING THE
10	REPORT WITH	YOU TODAY?
11	A	YES, I DID.
12	Q	AND BESIDE THE REPORT, WAS THERE A
13	VIDEOTAPE MADE OF THE SEARCH?	
14	A	NO.
15	Q	WERE THERE ANY PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN OF
16	THE INTERIC	R?
17	A	YES. THERE WERE AS WAS POLICY IN
18	1994, POLA	ROID PHOTOGRAPHS WERE TAKEN AND
19	SUBMITTED V	VITH THE CASE PACKAGE, I BELIEVE, TO,
20	YOU KNOW,	THE RECORDS UNIT. THAT DIDN'T COME BACK
21	WITH ANY O	F THIS.
22	Q	NO MENTION IN THE REPORT IN REGARDS TO
23	THE PHOTOGR	RAPHS, IS THERE?
24	A	I WOULD HAVE TO REVIEW IT AGAIN.
25	BUT NO, NO	T THAT I CAN SEE.
26	Q	YOU MENTIONED THERE WAS A STATEMENT
2.7	MADE BY MR	HARRIS OUTSIDE OF MIRANDA?
28	A	YES.

```
1
         Q IS THAT MENTIONED IN THE REPORT?
2
              YES, IT IS.
              WHEN THE HOUSE WAS CLEARED, HOW MANY
3
   PEOPLE CAME OUT?
4
5
         A
            I DON'T RECALL.
           OKAY. WERE THERE ANY -- WAS THERE
6
         Q
7
   ANYBODY BESIDES MR. HARRIS DETAINED?
8
        Α
              THERE WAS ONE OTHER INDIVIDUAL THAT
   WAS DETAINED.
9
10
         Q IS THAT THE MALE HISPANIC THAT WAS
11
   WITH HIM?
12
         A
              YES.
13
              WHAT ABOUT -- WHAT ABOUT WAS THERE ANY
   ADULTS?
14
            YES. HIS MOTHER WAS THERE IN THE
15
        A
16
   HOUSE.
              OKAY. AND DID YOU INQUIRE OF HER
17
   WHERE HER SON SLEPT?
18
19
               I DON'T RECALL.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: MAY I JUST HAVE A MOMENT,
20
   YOUR HONOR?
21.
        THE COURT: YES.
22
   BY MR. SCHMOCKER:
23
         Q BESIDES KAI, DID YOU -- YOU SAID KAI
24
25
    FLED THE SCENE; IS THAT CORRECT?
26
         Α
              HE ATTEMPTED TO FLEE, YES.
27
              AND THE -- HIS MOTHER WAS THERE WHEN
   THE HOUSE WAS SEARCHED; IS THAT RIGHT?
28
```

```
1
         A
               YES. YES. SIR.
               WAS THERE ANY OTHER ADULTS -- ADULTS
         Q
3
   THERE?
4
               I DON'T RECALL.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR
5
   HONOR.
6
7
         THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?
В
        MR. DHANIDINA: NO. THANK YOU.
9
        THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR. YOU ARE EXCUSED.
10
        THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
        THE COURT: SO WHAT WOULD THE PEOPLE SEEK TO
11
    INTRODUCE AS TO THIS INCIDENT?
12
         MR. DHANIDINA: YOU KNOW, YOUR HONOR, SIMILAR
13
   TO LAST TIME WE BROUGHT THIS UP, I THINK THE --
14
    ANY WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION ARE RELEVANT.
15
16
    CONCEDE THE POINT THAT THE COURT BROUGHT UP
    REGARDING THE MARIJUANA. I DON'T THINK THIS IS
17
   NECESSARY NECESSARILY FOR THAT TO COME IN, BUT I
18
    CERTAINLY THINK ANY FIREARMS, AMMUNITION. THERE
19
   WAS A KNIFE AS WELL AS A SKI MASK AND BANDANA.
20
    THE REASON WHY I WOULD SEEK THE SKI MASK AND
21
   BANDANA IS BECAUSE IT PUTS THE ITEMS IN A CONTEXT
22.
    FOR THE JURY WHERE THEY CAN CONSIDER THE WEAPONRY
23
    AS BEING -- CONSTITUTING AN IMPLIED THREAT OF
24
    VIOLENCE BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE POSSESSION
25
   OF THOSE WEAPONS. IT ALSO -- IN FACT, YOU KNOW, I
26
    WOULD ADD TO THAT THE STREET SIGN SHOWS CONNECTION
27
```

BETWEEN THE DEFENDANT HIMSELF AND THE ROOM AND:

```
SOME OF THE OTHER FOUNDATIONAL ITEMS THAT THE
1
   DETECTIVE TALKED ABOUT IN ORDER TO CONVEY TO THE
2
   JURY THAT THERE WAS OBJECTIVE THINGS FOUND IN THE
3.
   ROOM THAT COULD BE CONNECTED TO THE DEFENDANT
   HIMSELF. BUT I WOULD CONCEDE THE MARIJUANA AS NOT
5
   BEING RELEVANT TO THIS PARTICULAR INQUIRY.
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE DEFENSE
7
   OBJECTIONS AND ANY ARGUMENT?
8
         MR. SCHMOCKER: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
9
   WE WILL SUBMIT IT ON THE STATE OF THE RECORD.
10
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN I WILL PERMIT --
11
    WELL, I DO FIND FROM THE TESTIMONY OF OFFICER
12
13
    THARP THAT THERE IS A SUFFICIENT FOUNDATION
    LINKING THE ITEMS TO THE DEFENDANT, AND THE PEOPLE
14
    ADMIT EVIDENCE OF A SEARCH AND THAT THE RELEVANT
15
    ITEMS WERE FOUND CONSISTING OF THE FIREARMS, AMMO,
16
    THE REPLICA WEAPONS, THE KNIFE, THE SKI MASK, ALL
17
    OF WHICH ARE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES EVIDENCE THAT
18
    THERE WAS NO LEGITIMATE POSSESSION OTHER THAN
19
    POTENTIAL USE FOR VIOLENT PURPOSES.
20
                IN ADDITION, THE PEOPLE MAY INTRODUCE
21
    AS EVIDENCE OF PERSONAL OR IDENTIFYING ITEMS THE
22
    STREET SIGN, THE BANDANA, THE LETTERS.
23
         MR. DHANIDINA: AND THERE IS ALSO A
24
    PHOTOGRAPH FROM THE DRESSER.
25
         THE COURT: AND A PHOTOGRAPH.
26
```

28 RELEVANCE TO IDENTIFYING THE DEFENDANT WITH THE

27

THE MARIJUANA, WHILE IT MAY HAVE SOME

1 ROOM, I WILL EXCLUDE UNDER EVIDENCE CODE 352. 2 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I INQUIRE WITH REGARDS TO THE STREET SIGN. I'M SORRY. IT 3. WAS IDENTIFYING -- I WOULD OBJECT. I DON'T REALLY 4 THINK THAT IT'S IDENTIFYING MR. HARRIS TO THE 5 6 LOCATION. MR. DHANIDINA: WELL, I THINK THE TESTIMONY 7 OF THIS DETECTIVE, AS WELL AS DETECTIVE SCHMIDT 8 9 WHO IS EXPECTED TO TESTIFY, CAN CONNECT A STOLEN 115TH STREET SIGN WITH THE -- A SET OF THE 10 11 PARTICULAR GANG THE DEFENDANT BELONGED TO. 12 THE COURT: THAT IS HOW I UNDERSTOOD THE 13 OFFICER'S TESTIMONY, IS THAT IT WAS -- HE FELT IT WAS FURTHER EVIDENCE LINKING THE DEFENDANT WITH 14 THE ROOM, AND THAT IT WAS THE KIND OF SIGN THAT HE 15 WOULD HAVE WANTED TO POSSESS. 16 MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY WELL. THANK YOU, YOUR 17 HONOR. 18 19 MR. DHANIDINA: BEFORE WE CONTINUE --THE COURT: PARDON ME? GO AHEAD. 20 MR. DHANIDINA: I WAS JUST GOING TO ASK 21 BEFORE WE GOT TO ANYTHING ELSE, IF I COULD JUST 22 HAVE A SECOND TO INFORM THE DETECTIVE THAT HE 23 24 NEEDS TO COME BACK ON THURSDAY. THE COURT: YES. 25 MR. DHANIDINA: I'LL BE RIGHT BACK. 26

28 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. EVERYONE IS HERE 1 AGAIN. I HAVE ALREADY RULED ON THE SHANK, 2 PERMITTING THE INTRODUCTION OF THAT. 3 AS FAR AS THE LAST ITEM TO WHICH THE 4 DEFENSE HAD AN OBJECTION --5 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU KNOW, YOUR HONOR, I DON'T 6 7 MEAN TO INTERRUPT, BUT I FORGOT TO TELL THE COURT -- I DID TELL THE DEFENSE -- THAT I AM GOING 8 TO BE WITHDRAWING THAT LAST ITEM. 9 THE COURT: THE ASSAULT IN CUSTODY? 10 MR. DHANIDINA: YES, FROM FEBRUARY 2008. I'M 11 WITHDRAWING THAT ONE. 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 13 14 THEN THE OTHER ISSUE IS THE BATSON QUESTION. I RECEIVED THE BRIEF WHICH THE PEOPLE 15 16 FILED AND REVIEWED IT. THERE WERE ALSO SOME SUPPLEMENTAL CASES SUBMITTED, PEOPLE VERSUS 17 18 ALAMEIDA AND PEOPLE VS. JOHNSON. I DON'T HAVE THE CITES. DID YOU -- DO 19 YOU HAVE THOSE WITH YOU FOR THE RECORD? 20 MR. DHANIDINA: YOU KNOW, I BELIEVE I SENT 21 THOSE BY WAY OF E-MAIL TO THE COURT, THOUGH I LEFT 22 THEM UP IN MY OFFICE. BUT IF THE COURT CAN ACCESS 23 ITS E-MAIL, THE NAMES AND THE CITES WOULD BE ON 24 25 THERE. MR. SCHMOCKER: I THINK I HAVE THE JOHNSON 26

28 THE COURT: I HAVE THEM.

27

CITE.

IT'S PEOPLE VS. JOHNSON, 47 CAL. 3D 1194, PAGE 1220. PATTERSON, P-A-T-T-E-R-S-O-N, VERSUS ALAMEIDA, A-L-A-M-E-I-D-A, WHICH IS A 3. FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT DECISION AT 2008 U.S. DISTRICT LEXIS, 91711, AND THE OTHER CASE IS PEOPLE VS. ALVAREZ, A-L-V-A-R-E-Z, 14 CAL. 4TH, 155 AT 195. SO IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER THAT

SO IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER THAT

EITHER SIDE WOULD LIKE TO ADD BY WAY OF ARGUMENT?

MS. VITALE: JUST BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR, IF I

MAY.

FIRST OF ALL, I'M A LITTLE TAKEN ABACK
BY THE CONTENT OF THE INTRODUCTION, AND I'M SURE
THE COURT IS NOT GOING TO BE CONSIDERING THE
EFFECT THAT IT MAY HAVE -- ITS RULING MAY HAVE ON
THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY. I'M NOT SURE WHY THAT
IS EVEN A CONSIDERATION.

MR. HARRIS OBVIOUSLY IS ON TRIAL FOR HIS LIFE, AND SO I THINK THE BENEFIT OF ANY DOUBT SHOULD ALWAYS SHIFT TO THE DEFENDANT IN ANY CASE, BUT PARTICULARLY IN THIS CASE.

THE CONCERN AGAIN IS THE EXCLUSION OF
THE EXCUSAL OF FOUR FEMALE AFRICAN-AMERICANS ON
THE BASIS STATED BY THE PROSECUTION AFTER
STIPULATING TO A PRIMA-FACIE SHOWING, ON THE BASIS
THAT THEY WERE INDICATING THAT THEY WOULD SEEK
SOME GUIDANCE THROUGH PRAYER.

TAKEN IN THAT CONTEXT, IN LIGHT OF

```
EVERYTHING ELSE THAT THEY SAID BOTH IN THEIR
1
2:
   QUESTIONNAIRE AND ORALLY, IT IS OUR POSITION THAT
3
    THAT ALONE, STANDING ALONE, IS NOT A BONA FIDE
   REASON TO HAVE EXCLUDED THESE FEMALE
4
5
   AFRICAN-AMERICAN JURORS
6
                I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO TAKE JUDICIAL
7
   NOTICE THAT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE ANY
    MORE AFRICAN-AMERICAN MEMBERS OF THE JURY LEFT AT
8
    THIS TIME, ALTHOUGH WE ARE NOT THROUGH WITH OUR
9
10
    SELECTION OF THE REMAINING JURORS OUT OF THE B
    GROUP.
11
                IT IS OUR POSITION THAT COUNSEL HAS
12
    NOT ARTICULATED A RACE NEUTRAL REASON FOR
13
    EXCLUDING THESE AFRICAN-AMERICANS AND PARTICULARLY
14
15
              I THINK THERE IS A PERCEPTION THAT
    SOMETIMES FEMALE JURORS HAVE A TENDENCY TO MAYBE
16
17
   GIVE SOME GREATER WEIGHT TO MITIGATION EVIDENCE,
18
    AND WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT THE PEOPLE HAVE SHOWN A
19
    SUFFICIENT BONA FIDE NON RACIAL REASON FOR
20:
   EXCLUDING THESE JURORS, PARTICULARLY JUROR 5649,
21
    WHO IS A PROSECUTOR, HAS FRIENDS IN LAW
22
    ENFORCEMENT.
23
                I THINK HER SON HAD SOME MINIMAL
    RUN-IN WITH THE LAW ON A WEAPONS CHARGE.
24
    SOUNDED AS THOUGH HE MAY HAVE HAD A DIVERSION KIND
25
   OF DISPOSITION. SHE WAS CLEAR THAT SHE WOULD
26
    FOLLOW THE LAW. SHE VERY ARTFULLY STATED WHAT THE
27
```

LAW WAS WITH RESPECT TO CONSIDERATION OF THE DEATH

PENALTY, AND THE FACT THAT SHE MAY HAVE -- SHE 1 CERTAINLY DIDN'T SAY THAT SHE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO 2 OPPOSE THE DEATH PENALTY IF THE CIRCUMSTANCES 3 WARRANTED IT. NONE OF THE JURORS THAT WERE 4 EXCLUDED HAD ANY OVERRIDING CONCERN ABOUT IMPOSING 5 THE DEATH PENALTY UNDER THE PROPER SET OF 6 CIRCUMSTANCES, AND IT IS OUR BELIEF THAT THERE HAS 7 NOT BEEN A PROPER SHOWING OR AN ADEQUATE SHOWING В BY THE PEOPLE THAT THERE WAS A RACE-NEUTRAL REASON 9 FOR EXCLUDING THESE AFRICAN-AMERICANS FROM THIS 10 PANEL. 11 12 SUBMITTED. 13

THE COURT: AND WHAT ARE YOU SEEKING BY WAY OF REMEDY?

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MS. VITALE: I THINK WE STATED YESTERDAY THAT
WE WOULD BE MOVING FOR A MISTRIAL. I THINK THE
COURT SUGGESTED PERHAPS LEAVING ONE OF -- THE LAST
JUROR TO BE EXCUSED ON. IT IS JUST HARD TO KNOW
WHAT IS COMING UP WITH THE B GROUP, YOUR HONOR,
QUITE FRANKLY. SO I THINK WE ARE OPEN TO
SUGGESTIONS FROM THE COURT WITH RESPECT TO THAT,
BUT I THINK OUR POSITION WOULD BE TO START OVER
AGAIN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE.

MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.

YOUR HONOR, THE REASON WHY I ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION FROM THE COURT YESTERDAY IS BECAUSE

MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE WHEELER BATSON LINE OF CASES IS THAT, AS LONG AS A RACE-NEUTRAL REASON IS OFFERED, ONE OR MORE IS OFFERED FOR ANY PARTICULAR CHALLENGED JUROR AND THAT IT'S A LEGITIMATE REASON -- AND BY LEGITIMATE, I JUST MEAN SINCERELY GIVEN OR TRUTHFULLY GIVEN REASON, THEN THAT REALLY ULTIMATELY IS THE END OF THE INQUIRY. THERE -- EVEN THE FACT THAT OTHER JURORS MAY HAVE FELT ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY IN PARTICULAR, THAT IS GETTING INTO THE AREA OF A CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE, AND THAT'S NOT WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT HERE.

3.

21.

22.

I THINK IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO NOTE
THE DEFENSE HAS STATED THAT THERE ARE NO MORE
AFRICAN-AMERICANS LEFT ON THE JURY. THE DEFENSE
THEMSELVES MADE A MOTION FOR CAUSE TO ELIMINATE
ONE OF THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN JURORS YESTERDAY. AND
WE DO HAVE ANOTHER HALF OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURY
PANEL COMING IN. JURY SELECTION IS BY NO MEANS
CONCLUDED OR EVEN NECESSARILY NEARING AN END.

WITH RESPECT TO THE JURORS THAT THE
DEFENSE IS TALKING ABOUT THAT WE TALKED ABOUT A
LITTLE BIT YESTERDAY, IN PARTICULAR THE FINAL ONE
THAT I USED A CHALLENGE ON, INDICATING THAT SHE AS
WELL AS OTHER JURORS -- AND NON AFRICAN-AMERICAN
JURORS, TOO, I MIGHT ADD -- THAT I STRUCK WITH MY
PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES, THAT SHE WOULD SEEK SOME
SORT OF A GUIDANCE IN PRAYER IS SOMETHING THAT I
IN EVERY CASE AM VERY WARY OF, BUT IN PARTICULAR

IN A DEATH PENALTY CASE WHERE JURORS I THINK ARE
OFTENTIMES TEMPTED -- AND WE SAW YESTERDAY FROM
ONE JUROR IN PARTICULAR -- TO CONSULT OUTSIDE
AUTHORITY, OUTSIDE RESOURCES IN COMING TO A
DECISION WHEN FACED WITH A DIFFICULT DECISION LIKE
THIS. THAT BY ITSELF IS A RACE-NEUTRAL REASON,
UNLESS THE COURT THINKS THAT I'M CONTRIVING IT TO
MISLEAD THE COURT.

BUT IN ADDITION TO THAT HE -THE COURT: WELL, I JUST DON'T RECALL ANY
QUESTIONS OF JUROR 6 ABOUT THAT.

MR. DHANIDINA: THAT'S TRUE.

THE COURT: AND I LOOKED BACK THROUGH THE NOTES, AND YOU DID QUESTION A GREAT MANY OTHERS WHO RAISED THEIR HANDS ABOUT SAYING THAT THEY MIGHT PRAY AT VARIOUS TIMES DURING THE TRIAL, BUT NOT NO. 6.

MR. DHANIDINA: NOT ALL OF THEM, YOUR HONOR.
BUT THERE WERE -- I DID I THINK TWO OR THREE OF
THEM. AND I JUST DIDN'T WANT TO BELABOR THE POINT
BY LOOKING LIKE I WAS ATTACKING ALL OF THESE
JURORS, AND I THINK I WAS SAYING IT IS A
LEGITIMATE ATTITUDE TO HAVE, IT'S TOTALLY
REASONABLE. I THOUGHT THE POINT HAD BEEN MADE,
AND FROM MY STANDPOINT I WAS JUST TRYING TO
IDENTIFY WHO THEY WERE. BUT THEN IN ADDITION TO
THAT, THE POINT THAT I WAS TRYING TO GET TO WAS
THE FACT THAT AT LEAST WITH THE ONE ALTERNATE THAT

WAS LEFT AT THE TIME YESTERDAY WAS A JUROR THAT I.

WAS ANXIOUS TO GET ON THE PANEL, AND THAT WAS

BECAUSE OF A VARIETY OF THINGS THAT HE SAID IN HIS

QUESTIONNAIRE AND ALSO IN COURT REGARDING, YOU

KNOW, HOW THE PENALTY MIGHT IMPACT THE VICTIM'S

FAMILY, WHICH I CONSIDER TO BE A FAVORABLE OPINION

FOR OUR SIDE SINCE WE ARE GOING TO BE PRESENTING

VICTIM IMPACT TESTIMONY.

14:

23.

2.7

28.

THIS WAS AN AREA THAT THE COURT OPINED YESTERDAY WAS NOT A RELEVANT INQUIRY.

THE COURT: WELL, I DIDN'T SAY THAT. I SAID
I HAD NEVER SEEN A CASE WHICH HAS UPHELD A
CHALLENGE ON THAT ALONE.

MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY. WELL, IN ANY EVENT,
THE -- I WASN'T PRESENTING THAT CHALLENGE, THAT
PARTICULAR POINT BY ITSELF, AND THAT WAS PART OF
THE REASON WHY I SUBMITTED THE ALVAREZ CASE
BECAUSE, SINCE MY EARLIEST DAYS IN TRAINING, THAT
HAS BEEN SORT OF THE STATE OF THE LAW THAT WE
DISCUSSED IN RESPECT TO JURY SELECTION AND THESE
TYPES OF CHALLENGES.

FROM MY READING OF THE CASES, AS LONG
AS REASONS THAT ARE ADVANCED ARE NOT SHAM EXCUSES
AND THEY ARE RACE-NEUTRAL IN AND OF THEMSELVES, AS
THEY WERE -- LIKE I INDICATED YESTERDAY, THERE
WERE NON AFRICAN-AMERICAN JURORS THAT RAISED THEIR
HAND TO THE PRAYER QUESTION AND THEY WERE EITHER
DISMISSED FOR CAUSE OR BY THE USE OF ONE OF MY

PEREMPTORIES.

1.4

18.

2.7

THE COURT: WELL, AGAIN, NOT ACCORDING TO MY
REVIEW OF THE NOTES. THERE IS A JUROR SITTING UP
THERE NOW WHO RAISED HER HAND AND IN FACT YOU
QUESTIONED ABOUT PRAYER, JUROR IN SEAT 5. AND SHE
IS STILL THERE.

MR. DHANIDINA: AND AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, WE -THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THERE IS A WHOLE
VARIETY OF FACTORS THAT GO INTO IT, BUT --

MR. DHANIDINA: AND WE ARE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF SELECTING THIS JURY. YOU KNOW, I THINK WE ARE AT 10 AND 8 PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES, AND I AM NOT REALLY AT A POINT WHERE I BELIEVE THE JURY IS FINALIZED. I'M TAKING ALL THE FACTORS IN THE COMPOSITE OF THE JURY TOGETHER.

I'M SENSING FROM THE COURT THAT THE COURT IS NOT PERSUADED BY THE AUTHORITY THAT I HAVE SUBMITTED WHICH MAY JUST BE A DIFFERENCE IN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT IS REQUIRED.

I AM AT LEAST GRATIFIED BY WHAT THE COURT STATED YESTERDAY IN THE RECORD THAT THE COURT DOESN'T BELIEVE I'M OFFERING CONTRIVED EXCUSES, AND I APPRECIATE THAT.

WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IF -- BEING AT
THE POINT WHERE WE ARE, IF THE COURT WOULD PERMIT
ME, I WOULD OFFER TO WITHDRAW THE PEREMPTORY
CHALLENGE AND WE CAN RESEAT THE JUROR, AND IF
FURTHER INQUIRY IS NECESSARY OR ANYTHING ELSE

COMES OUT, THEN WE CAN ADDRESS IT AT THAT POINT.

BUT IF IT IS ALL RIGHT WITH THE COURT AND WITH

COUNSEL, I HAVE NO PROBLEM JUST WITHDRAWING IT AND

4 | CONTINUING WITH JURY SELECTION AS WE HAVE GONE.

б

20.

I THINK THE COURT'S VIEW OF A GRANTED WHEELER-BATSON MOTION AND MY VIEW ARE SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT WHICH IS WHY I PUT IN SOME OF THE LANGUAGE THAT I DID IN THE INTRODUCTION. AND RATHER THAN GET TO THAT POINT, YOU KNOW, IF IT CAN BE AVOIDED, AND GIVEN SOME OF THE COURT'S COMMENTS YESTERDAY WHICH I APPRECIATE, I'M GOING TO ASK THE COURT IF IT WOULD PERMIT ME TO WITHDRAW THE CHALLENGE AND JUST PROCEED WITH JURY SELECTION.

THE COURT: I'LL HEAR FROM THE DEFENSE.

MS. VITALE: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT WHAT IS HAPPENING IS THAT WE ARE LUMPING TOGETHER THE PEOPLE THAT SAID THAT THEY MIGHT ASK FOR GUIDANCE DURING THIS TRIAL WITH JUROR NO. 2 WHO ACTUALLY SOUGHT THE ADVICE OF A SPIRITUAL VISOR. AND I DON'T THINK ANY OF THOSE PEOPLE THAT WERE EXCUSED BECAUSE THEY SAID THEY PERHAPS WOULD SEEK SOME GUIDANCE THROUGH PRAYER FALL UNDER THE SAME CATEGORY AS THE JUROR WHO SOUGHT OUTSIDE ADVICE.

I MEAN SOME OF US SEEK GUIDANCE
THROUGH PRAYER AS TO WHICH ELEVATOR TO GET ON IN
THIS BUILDING, AND THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE
WOULDN'T THEREAFTER FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE
COURT OR THE RULES OF LAW THAT GOVERN US ALL.

SO I DON'T AGAIN THINK THAT THE 1 2 REASONS GIVEN BY THE PROSECUTION ARE BONA FIDE FOR HAVING EXCUSED THOSE VERY LIMITED NUMBER OF BLACK 3 FEMALE JURORS. I THINK WE STILL HAVE THAT SAME 4 PROBLEM, EVEN WITH THE SEATING OF THE JUROR THAT 5 COUNSEL FORMERLY EXCUSED AND HAS OFFERED TO б 7 RETRACT. 8 I'M GOING TO DEFER TO MY --9 (DEFENSE COUNSEL 10 CONFER.) 11 12 MS. VITALE: AND WE ARE TAKING THE POSITION 13 THAT A MISTRIAL IS APPROPRIATE. 14 THE COURT: HAVE YOU LOOKED AT PEOPLE VS. 15 WILLIS, 27 CAL. 4TH, 811, IN TERMS OF THE REMEDIES 16 17 THAT IT DISCUSSES? MS. VITALE: NO, I HAVE NOT. 18 THE COURT: WOULD YOU LIKE THAT OPPORTUNITY? 19 MS. VITALE: YES, I WOULD. 20 21 THE COURT: IT TALKS ABOUT RESEATING THE JUROR, PROVIDING ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES. 22. MS. VITALE: ALL RIGHT. 23 THE COURT: IF REQUESTED, CONDUCTING 24 PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES AT SIDEBAR UNDER A MORE 25 CONTROLLED CIRCUMSTANCE. IT BASICALLY SAYS A 26 WHOLE VARIETY OF REMEDIES ARE AVAILABLE. 27 MS. VITALE: THAT IS WHY WE ARE ASKING FOR 28

```
SOME GUIDANCE FROM THE COURT BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY THE
1
2
   MISTRIAL IS THE MOST SEVERE PENALTY TO IMPOSE AT
  THIS POINT. SO --
        THE COURT: WELL --
4
        MR. DHANIDINA: MAY I INTERJECT WITH JUST ONE
5
6
  POINT?
7
      THE COURT: NO, NOT YET.
         MR. DHANIDINA: OKAY.
8..
         THE COURT: I MEAN I AM STILL INCLINED -- AND
9.
   I WILL EXPLAIN MY REASONS AND SO FORTH -- TO GRANT
10
   THE MOTION.
11
              AND AS I WILL EXPLAIN, I DO NOT FIND
12
13
   THAT THE DEFENSE HAS SUSTAINED THE MOTION WITH
14
   REGARD TO THE FIRST THREE. BUT THE ONE THAT I DO
15
   FIND THE DEFENSE HAS SUSTAINED ITS BURDEN ON IS
16
    THE JUROR WHO IS CURRENTLY IN SEAT NO. 6, JUROR
17
   P-9765.
18
               WELL, I MAY AS WELL EXPLAIN MYSELF,
   AND THEN I CAN -- IF YOU WANT MY SUGGESTION OR MY
19
    THOUGHTS ON REMEDY, I WILL GIVE THEM. OF COURSE
20
    THEY ARE NOT BINDING.
21
22
        MS. VITALE: YES.
         THE COURT: I REVIEWED THE PEOPLE'S
23
   PEREMPTORIES YESTERDAY. THE JURORS IN QUESTION
24
   ARE J-2466, THE PEOPLE'S SECOND PEREMPTORY, A
25
    BLACK FEMALE. SHE INDICATED THAT SHE HAD A SON
26
    WHO HAD BEEN ARRESTED. SHE EXPRESSED FAVORABLE
27
    REVIEWS ABOUT GANGS. SHE SAID PEOPLE IN GANGS ARE
```

1 SEARCHING FOR LOVE, AMONG OTHER THINGS. SHE 2 REALLY HAD NO THOUGHTS ABOUT PENALTY.

THE PEOPLE, IN GIVING JUSTIFICATIONS,
HAVE SAID THAT THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT HER
FAMILY MEMBERS BEING ARRESTED AND CONVICTED. SHE
HAD ANOTHER FAMILY MEMBER WHO WAS CONVICTED. AND
HER RATHER FAVORABLE VIEWS ABOUT GANGS. I FIND
THAT ENTIRELY SUFFICIENT. SHE HAS A NUMBER OF
PROBLEMS.

THE NEXT IS JUROR D-5649. THAT WAS
THE THIRD PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE BY THE PEOPLE.
THAT'S A BLACK FEMALE. SHE IS A LAWYER FOR THE
CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. FRANKLY, I THINK ANY TIME
YOU HAVE A LAWYER, IT IS A PROBLEM -- OR A
POTENTIAL PROBLEM. BUT MORE TO THE POINT, THE
PEOPLE SAID THAT THEY HAD CONCERNS ABOUT HER VIEWS
ON THE DEATH PENALTY. SHE IN FACT SAID SHE IS
AGAINST THE DEATH PENALTY IN GENERAL AND THAT SHE
AGREES MOSTLY WITH LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF
PAROLE.

SHE HAD TALKED ABOUT HER SON BEING ARRESTED, THOSE VIEWS ON THE DEATH PENALTY, THOSE VIEWS ABOUT HER SON TO A LESSER EXTENT, BUT MOSTLY HER VIEWS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY ARE ENTIRELY SUFFICIENT, AND I CREDIT THOSE.

THE NEXT JUROR IN QUESTION IS J-6556.

THAT WAS THE PEOPLE'S SEVENTH PEREMPTORY

CHALLENGE, ALSO A BLACK FEMALE. SHE TOLD US THAT

SHE WAS A DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES SOCIAL WORKER. SHE EXPRESSED IN HER QUESTIONNAIRE SHE HAD FRIENDS WHO WERE POLICE OFFICERS, OBVIOUSLY POSITIVE. BUT SHE ALSO EXPRESSED FAVORABLE VIEWS ABOUT GANGS. SHE SAID THAT YOUNG PEOPLE WERE FORCED INTO GANGS. SHE ALSO EXPRESSED RATHER STRONGLY HELD RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AGAINST THE DEATH PENALTY. SHE TOLD US THAT SHE HAD FAMILY MEMBERS WHO WERE IN PRISON.

7`

1.2

25:

26.

THE PEOPLE SAID THAT SHE WAS EXCUSED
BECAUSE OF HER EXTREMELY RELIGIOUS VIEWS
CONCERNING THE DEATH PENALTY AND HER POSITIVE
VIEWS ABOUT GANGS. I FIND THAT FULLY SUPPORTED,
AND I CREDIT THAT AND HER LEGITIMATE REASONS.

AS I SAID, MY CONCERN IS JUROR P-9765.

THAT WAS THE PEOPLE'S ELEVENTH PEREMPTORY

CHALLENGE. SHE IS A BLACK JUROR, FEMALE BLACK,

AND AS NOTED SHE IS THE LAST REMAINING

AFRICAN-AMERICAN JUROR AMONG THIS FIRST GROUP.

SHE WAS SEATED IN NO. 6 INITIALLY, AND SHE REMAINED THERE THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS UNTIL SHE WAS EXCUSED BY THE PEOPLE LATE YESTERDAY.

SHE TOLD US IN HER QUESTIONNAIRE THAT
SHE IS SINGLE, SHE HAS FOUR CHILDREN. IT APPEARS
THAT EVERYTHING ABOUT HER AND HER CHILDREN IS
STABLE. THEY ARE ALL WORKING AND SO FORTH. SHE
IS A TYPIST FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER.
SHE SAID SHE HAS RELATIVES IN LAW ENFORCEMENT.

SHE TOLD US THAT HER SON WAS ARRESTED BUT WAS
FAIRLY TREATED BY THE POLICE. SHE EXPRESSED IN
HER QUESTIONNAIRE POSITIVE VIEWS ABOUT THE POLICE.
SHE EXPRESSED NEGATIVE VIEWS ABOUT GANGS. SHE
EXPRESSED NO PREFERENCE REGARDING THE DEATH
PENALTY, NO STRONG VIEWS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY.

HER COMMENTS WERE SO UNREMARKABLE THAT
I DID NOT ASK HER ANY QUESTIONS. MR. SCHMOCKER
DID NOT ASK HER ANY QUESTIONS. THE PEOPLE
QUESTIONED HER AND SHE ACTUALLY SAID THAT -- IN
REGARD TO QUESTIONS ABOUT HER SON'S ARREST, THAT
SHE THOUGHT HE WAS TREATED VERY WELL, HE WAS
RELEASED IMMEDIATELY. SHE SAYS SHE HAD NO HARD
FEELINGS ABOUT THE POLICE.

SHE RESPONDED TO MR. DHANIDINA'S
QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DEATH PENALTY BY SAYING
WITHOUT QUALIFICATION SHE COULD RETURN A DEATH
VERDICT IF THE FACTS WERE THERE, AND SHE AGREED
WITH MR. DHANIDINA THAT THE DEATH PENALTY IS NOT
RESERVED FOR WEALTHY VICTIMS OR VICTIMS WHO HAVE
SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT SHE THOUGHT IT SHOULD
APPLY TO ALL VICTIMS EQUALLY.

THE PEOPLE HAVE SAID THAT -- THEY

EXPRESSED TWO CONCERNS, ONE THAT SHE RESPONDED

THAT SHE WOULD SEEK GUIDANCE THROUGH PRAYER DURING

THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL, AND SECONDLY THAT THE

NEXT JUROR WAS MORE FAVORABLE TO THE PROSECUTION.

I LOOKED THROUGH THE RECORD, AND I

18:

20.

SIMPLY DID NOT FIND ANY QUESTIONS OF JUROR NO. 6

OR ANY INDICATIONS THAT SHE WAS QUESTIONED ABOUT

PRAYER. AND I HAVE TO SAY I DON'T REMEMBER -
MR. DHANIDINA MAY BE RIGHT THAT SHE RAISED HER

HAND, BUT UNLIKE SEVEN OTHER JURORS, SHE WAS NEVER

ASKED WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO PRAY ABOUT OR WHAT ARE

YOUR CONCERNS? SEVEN OTHER PEOPLE WERE

SPECIFICALLY OUESTIONED.

1.1

26.

28.

I THINK IT IS SIGNIFICANT THAT THE PEOPLE ACCEPTED THE PANEL SEVERAL TIMES WITH THIS JUROR, JUROR NO. 6 AND HER SEAT MATE, JUROR NO. 5, WHO ALSO SAID SHE WOULD PRAY.

SO IT'S -- WHEN I LOOK AT ALL OF THESE
THINGS, I THINK THAT THE DEFENSE HAS A STRONG
SHOWING THAT THERE IS SOME RACIAL DISCRIMINATORY
INTENT OR EFFECT.

SHE IS THE LAST JUROR. SHE IS THE ONLY REMAINING BLACK JUROR. HER QUESTIONNAIRE IS NEUTRAL, IN SOME RESPECTS POSITIVE TO THE PROSECUTION. HER ORAL QUESTIONS WERE NEUTRAL AND IN SOME RESPECTS POSITIVE TO THE PROSECUTION. AND THEN WHEN I LOOK AT THE PROSECUTION'S JUSTIFICATIONS, I JUST DON'T SEE ANY SUPPORT IN THE RECORD ABOUT CONCERNS FOR PRAYER.

AND AS FOR THE FINAL POINT THAT THE

NEXT JUROR IS PREFERABLE, I CERTAINLY APPRECIATE

THAT, BUT I JUST DON'T THINK THERE IS CASE LAW

WHICH SUPPORTS THAT AS A SUFFICIENT REASON BY

1 ITSELF, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE NEXT JUROR IS A MALE 2 WHITE.

THE CASES THAT HAVE BEEN CITED,

PEOPLE VS. ALVAREZ, PEOPLE VS. ALAMEIDA, BOTH

INVOLVE SITUATIONS WHERE THERE WERE A VARIETY OF

FACTORS THAT HAD BEEN CITED BY THE PROSECUTION,

ACTUALLY A HANDFUL OF FACTORS, ONLY ONE OF WHICH

WAS AN EXPRESSION ABOUT A PREFERENCE FOR LATER

JURORS OR THE OVERALL COMPOSITION OF THE PANEL.

AND SO I JUST -- I MEAN IT SEEMS TO ME
TO DEFEAT THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF BATSON-WHEELER TO
BE ABLE TO SAY WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO IT THAT THE
ONLY VALID JUSTIFICATION IS A PREFERENCE FOR THE
NEXT JUROR WHO IS OF A DIFFERENT RACIAL GROUP.

SO AGAIN, I DON'T VIEW THESE AS SOME KIND OF SEARCH FOR MISCONDUCT BY LAWYERS. I HAVE JUST VIEWED IT AS A MATTER OF WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE.

I MEAN I SPENT A GOOD DEAL OF MY
CAREER AS A LAWYER DEFENDING PEOPLE IN CIVIL
RIGHTS AND DISCRIMINATION CASES, AND I DEFENDED A
LOT OF GOOD PEOPLE WHO HAD THE BEST OF INTENTIONS,
BUT IF THE EVIDENCE ISN'T THERE, YOU KNOW, THE
RULING IS THE WAY IT IS. AND THAT'S KIND OF THE
WAY I SEE THIS.

I'M NOT GOING TO REPORT MR. DHANIDINA
TO ANYBODY. I DON'T THINK HE IS ENGAGED IN SOME
KIND OF INTENTIONAL MISCONDUCT. BUT WHEN I WEIGH

THE EVIDENCE, I JUST, AS I HAVE TRIED TO EXPRESS,

I FIND THAT THE PEOPLE'S EXPLANATION IS NOT STRONG
IN COMPARISON WITH THE FACTORS RELIED UPON BY THE

DEFENSE.

9.

15.

26.

28.

MR. DHANIDINA: WOULD THE COURT THEN -THE COURT: SO JUST TO FINISH MY THOUGHTS, I
DO RECOMMEND THAT THE DEFENSE READ PEOPLE VS.
WILLIS, 27 CAL. 4TH, 811. IT GIVES THE COURT
DISCRETION TO FASHION ALTERNATIVE REMEDIES TO A
MISTRIAL. IT IS A QUESTION OF WHAT THE VICTORIOUS
PARTY, IN THIS CASE THE DEFENSE, WANTS. IT IS NOT
SOMETHING I WOULD COMPEL OR CAN COMPEL. IT IS
ESSENTIALLY A STIPULATION BY THE DEFENSE AS TO
WHAT THE APPROPRIATE REMEDIES ARE.

YOU ASKED, SO I WILL TELL YOU. MY
VIEW IS YOU ACCEPTED THE PANEL A NUMBER OF TIMES
WITH JUROR NO. 6 ON IT, AND SO IF WE RESEAT
JUROR NO. 6, IT SEEMS TO ME IT PUTS YOU RIGHT BACK
IN THE SAME PLACE THAT YOU WERE BEFORE WE
ENTERTAINED ALL OF THIS.

THERE ARE A NUMBER OF -- A LARGE
NUMBER OF JURORS WHO ARE REMAINING, SO IT IS NOT
AS THOUGH WE ARE DOWN TO THE LAST SELECTION OR
TWO. AND IF THERE IS SOME OTHER REMEDY THAT YOU
REQUEST IN ADDITION SUCH AS AN ADDITIONAL
PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE OR TO DENY THE PEOPLE THE
PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE THAT THEY HAVE USED, OR TO
MAKE -- EVEN THOUGH WE ARE RESEATING NO. 6 TO NOT

`

```
GIVE BACK THE PEOPLE THE PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE THAT
1
   WAS USED TO DENY HER OR TO ELIMINATE HER, YOU
2
   KNOW. I'M NOT VERY ARTICULATE IN WHAT I'M SAYING.
3
   BUT YOU KNOW, THERE IS A VARIETY OF ALTERNATIVES.
4
               AND AGAIN, IT IS UP TO YOU AT THIS
5
   POINT. IF WHAT YOU SAY IS, NO, WE WANT A
6
   MISTRIAL, START ALL OVER, THEN I GUESS WE WILL SET
7
   A NEW TRIAL DATE IN THE FUTURE AND GET STARTED
8
   AGAIN. BUT IT'S UP TO YOU.
9
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, IF WE COULD HAVE
10
11
   AN OPPORTUNITY TO READ THAT CASE.
12
        THE COURT: YES.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: I HAVE READ CERTAIN CASES IN
13
   REGARDS TO REMEDIES ALREADY, BUT I'M NOT FAMILIAR
14
    WITH THE WILLIS CASE, AND I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A
15
16
   LOOK AT IT.
17
         THE COURT: SO DO YOU WANT TO DO THAT THIS
18
    AFTERNOON OR REPORT BACK TOMORROW OR WHAT?
         MR. SCHMOCKER: HOW ABOUT THIS AFTERNOON.
19
20
    LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT IT RIGHT NOW.
21
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN USE MY VOLUME
    EVEN.
22
23
        MS. VITALE: THANK YOU.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU.
24
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING
25
    FURTHER. THEN?
26
```

MR. SCHMOCKER: NO, SIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO WE CAN TAKE A

27

28

RECESS AND YOU CAN LOOK AT THE CASE. 1 2 (AT 2:45 P.M., A RECESS WAS 3 TAKEN UNTIL 3:05 P.M.) 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE TAKEN A 6 RECESS. EVERYONE IS BACK. 7 8 WHERE DO WE STAND? MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU FOR 9 ALLOWING ME TO READ THE WILLIS CASE. I UNDERSTAND 10. 11 THE WILLIS CASE, AND I HAVE READ THE ISSUE IN 12 SIMILAR CONTEXT. AND I HAVE SPOKEN WITH MY CLIENT 13 IN REGARDS TO IT. WE WOULD ASK FOR A MISTRIAL. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I'M SURE YOU 14 HAVE CONSIDERED THE ISSUE CAREFULLY. 15 MR. SCHMOCKER: WE DID. 16 THE COURT: AND THAT'S YOUR CALL. 17 VERY WELL. THEN THE MATTER IS 18 DECLARED A MISTRIAL. 19 MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE -- OR 20 MR. DHANIDINA STARTED THE INQUIRY OF THE CLERK. 21 UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL OF A TRIAL 22 DATE IN AUGUST. I HAVE ADVISED MR. HARRIS OF 23 24 THAT. MR. DHANIDINA: THIRD WEEK OF AUGUST. 25: MR. SCHMOCKER: AND MR. HARRIS WOULD BE 26 WILLING TO WAIVE TIME IN ORDER TO HAVE A DATE IN 27 AUGUST. 28

THE COURT: 17TH, YOU MEAN? 2 MR. DHANIDINA: WHATEVER THE BEGINNING OF THE 3 THIRD WEEK IS. MS. VITALE: IT IS. 4 5 MR. SCHMOCKER: SHOULD WE --6 THE COURT: SO IS THAT WHEN YOU WANT TO START? 7 MR. SCHMOCKER: YES. 8 THE COURT: SO AUGUST 17. WE CAN MAKE THAT 9 EIGHT OF TEN WITH WEDNESDAY THE 19TH AS THE LAST 10 11 DAY. MR. SCHMOCKER: VERY WELL. 12 13 THE COURT: MR. HARRIS, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL WITHIN 60 DAYS OF TODAY'S DATE WHICH WOULD 14 BE THE LATTER PART OF APRIL. THE DATE THAT WE 15 16 HAVE DISCUSSED IS BEYOND THAT. 17 DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT DELAY? 18 THE DEFENDANT: YES. 19 THE COURT: SO THE LAST DAY FOR YOUR TRIAL, THEN, WOULD BE AUGUST 19. 20 21 DO YOU AGREE TO THAT? THE DEFENDANT: YES. 22 THE COURT: WHEN DO YOU WANT TO RETURN? 23 MR. SCHMOCKER: SHOULD WE HAVE SOME SORT OF A 24 25 STATUS CALL IN THE MEANTIME? 26 THE COURT: RIGHT. IN EARLY JUNE? 27 MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT WOULD BE FINE. 28

THE COURT: JUNE 5, FRIDAY?

3.

19:

24.

MR. SCHMOCKER: JUNE 5 WOULD BE FINE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. VERY WELL.

MR. DHANIDINA: YOUR HONOR, WOULD THE COURT -- I DON'T MEAN TO BE REDUCTANT ON THIS PARTICULAR COURT, BUT JUST BASED ON THE COURT'S COMMENTS, WOULD THE COURT CONSIDER ADDING INTO THE MINUTES SOMETHING TO THE EFFECT THAT THE COURT IS NOT -- IS GRANTING A MISTRIAL BUT NOT MAKING A FINDING OF PROSECUTION MISCONDUCT?

IT WOULD BE HELPFUL, YOU KNOW, RATHER THAN ORDERING UP TRANSCRIPTS AND THAT SORT OF THING.

THE COURT: WELL, I'M -- WE CAN PUT IT IN THE MINUTE ORDER, OR I CAN WRITE-UP AN ORDER.
WHATEVER THE PARTIES WANT.

MR. DHANIDINA: A MINUTE ORDER IS FINE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, THE MINUTE ORDER SHOULD INDICATE THAT THE MOTION WAS GRANTED BASED UPON THE WEIGHING OF EVIDENCE, AND THE COURT DETERMINED THAT THE DEFENSE SUSTAINED ITS BURDEN OF PROOF UNDER BATSON. THE COURT DOES NOT FIND ANY KIND OF INVIDIOUS CONDUCT OR OTHER MISCONDUCT BY THE PROSECUTION, IT'S SIMPLY A FACTOR OF WEIGHING THE EVIDENCE.

MR. DHANIDINA: I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK 28 YOU.

```
1
        MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
2
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
3
               VERY WELL. WE WILL SEE EVERYONE
 4
    JUNE 5.
5
      MR. DHANIDINA: THANK YOU.
 6
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, WE WILL BE
7
   DECLARING A -- WE ARE READY FOR TRIAL. THE COURT
 8
    UNDERSTANDS THAT. WE ARE WAIVING TIME.
9
        THE COURT: YES.
        MR. SCHMOCKER: BUT WE ARE READY FOR TRIAL.
10
11
         THE COURT: RIGHT.
12
               LET ME JUST ADDRESS ONE OTHER THING.
   IT WOULD SEEM TO ME UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES IT IS
13
   NO LONGER NECESSARY TO RETAIN ALL OF THESE
14
15
    QUESTIONNAIRES IN THE RECORD.
16
               AM I WRONG ABOUT THAT?
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOU ARE NOT WRONG. I DON'T
17
18
    BELIEVE YOU ARE WRONG.
19
        THE COURT: SO EVERYBODY AGREES THAT WE CAN
    DESTROY THE QUESTIONNAIRES FOR ALL OF THE JURORS?
20
         MR. SCHMOCKER: THAT WOULD BE AGREEABLE.
21
22
         MR. DHANIDINA: AGREED.
23
         THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
         MR. SCHMOCKER: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY ASK, THE
24
   COURT OF COURSE WILL TAKE CARE OF PANEL B? PANEL
25
26
    B IS DUE TOMORROW.
         THE COURT: AS WELL AS THE REMNANTS OF
27
    PANEL A.
28
```

MR. SCHMOCKER: PANEL A, YES. WELL, WE WOULD HELP IF YOU WANT US TO. THE COURT: NO, I THINK I WILL PROBABLY BRING THEM ALL IN THE COURTROOM AND JUST SAY THAT THE TRIAL HAS BEEN DISCONTINUED. I'M NOT GOING TO GIVE ANY REASONS, BUT I APOLOGIZE FOR EVERYONE б THAT WE TOOK UP THEIR TIME, BUT THESE THINGS HAPPEN. MR. SCHMOCKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. (AT 3:13 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT 11. WAS TAKEN UNTIL JUNE 5, 2009.)

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

People v. Donte Lamont McDaniel

Supreme Court No. S171393 Superior Court No. TA074274

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am over the age of 18, not a party to this cause. I am employed in the county where the mailing took place. My business address is 1111 Broadway, 10th Floor, Oakland, California, 94607. I served a copy of the following document(s):

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

by enclosing it in envelopes and

Los Angeles, CA 90013

Los Angeles, CA 90012

/ depositing the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid;
/X / placing the envelopes for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

The envelopes were addressed and mailed on August 6, 2015, as follows:

Kathy Pomerantz, Deputy Attorney

Donte McDaniel #G-53365

General CSP-SQ
Office of the Attorney General 4-EB-41

300 S. Spring St., Ste. 1702 San Quentin, CA 94974

LeQuincy Stuart James Brewer, Esq.

Clerk of the Court–Appeals Division

Los Angeles County Superior Court

333 Washington Blvd. #449

Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

210 W. Temple St.

John Daley, Esq. California Appellate Project 7119 West Sunset Blvd. #1033 101 Second St., Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90046 San Francisco, CA 94105

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed on August 6, 2015 At Oakland, California.

MÁRCUS THOMAS

·			